

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	18-8	897	Version:	1		
Туре:	Pub	lic Hearing		Status:	Agenda Re	eady
File created:	10/1	1/2018		In contro	ol: Planning a	nd Zoning Commission
On agenda:	10/1	7/2018		Final ac	tion:	
Title:	Conduct the public hearing to consider a variance to allow a screened in porch to encroach into the rear yard setback at 1224 Stonebriar Court -PZC 18-1-078					
Sponsors:						
Indexes:						
Code sections:						
Attachments:	1. Application, 2. Disclosure of Beneficiaries, 3. Legal Description, 4. Standards, 5. Plat of Survey, 6. Existing Porch, 7. Proposed Screened-in Porch, 8. Location Map, 9. HOA Approval					
Date	Ver.	Action By			Action	Result
10/17/2018	1	Planning	and Zoning	Commission	accept	Fail

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION AGENDA ITEM

ACTION REQUESTED:

Conduct the public hearing to consider a variance to allow a screened in porch to encroach into the rear yard setback at 1224 Stonebriar Court -PZC 18-1-078

DEPARTMENT: Transportation, Engineering and Development

<u>SUBMITTED BY:</u> Gabrielle Mattingly

BOARD/COMMISSION REVIEW:

Official notice for the public hearing for PZC 18-1-078 was published in the Naperville Sun on September 30, 2018.

BACKGROUND:

The property is located on Lot 4 of the Hobson Villas, which is generally located northwest of the intersection of Wehrli Road and 75th Street, and is zoned R2 (Single Family and Low Density Multiple Family Residence District). The property is approximately 0.13 acres and is improved with a duplex and attached open-air deck.

DISCUSSION:

The existing duplex includes an attached deck that is located approximately 10' from the rear property line, encroaching 15' into the 25' rear yard setback. Per Section 6-2-3:3.2 of the Municipal Code, decks are permitted to encroach 10' into the rear yard setback. While permitted in conjunction with construction of the duplex in 2004, the current deck is considered nonconforming due to its 15' encroachment into the required rear yard (vs. 10' encroachment that is permitted by code).

The petitioner, Charles J. Bellock is proposing to extend the roof on the rear of the duplex to cover the existing deck and construct a screened in area. The new screened in deck will maintain the same

footprint as the existing deck; however, once it becomes a roof and enclosed structure, it must comply with the 25' rear yard setback required in the R2 district. Therefore, the petitioner is requesting approval of a variance from Section 6-6C-7:1 of the Naperville Municipal Code to allow the proposed screened deck area to encroach 15' into the 25' required rear yard setback. If approved, the screened-in porch would be 16' deep by 11.58' wide (185 square feet) and encroach 15' into the rear yard setback.

The petitioner's responses to the Standards for Granting a Variance are included in the Development Petition. Staff does not concur with the responses to the standards submitted by the petitioner. Staff's comments with respect to the variance standards, based upon a review of the subject property and applicable Code provisions are as follows:

Variance Standard #1: The variance is in harmony with the general purpose and intent of this Title and the adopted comprehensive master plan.

Staff Comments: The R2 district has a minimum rear yard setback of 25'. The Code provides an allowance for patios, decks, and porches to extend up to 10' into the rear yard setback as an outdoor amenity and given the limited bulk that they add to the rear yard. The proposed screened in porch is not considered to be a deck given that it is an enclosed roof structure and is therefore required to meet the 25' setback. The proposed screened in porch, extending 15' into the rear yard setback, far exceeds permitted setback allowance and does not maintain the intention of an open rear yard.

Variance Standard #2: Strict enforcement of this Title would result in practical difficulties or impose exceptional hardships due to special and unusual conditions which are not generally found on other properties in the same zoning district.

Staff Comments: The subject property is zoned R2. It is not unique from other properties in the same zoning district and strict enforcement of the R2 setback requirements does not result in a hardship.

Variance Standard #3: The variance, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood and will not be a substantial detriment to adjacent property.

Staff Comments: The petitioner has submitted several photos of existing properties in the neighborhood that have screened in porches. Staff conducted review of these properties and determined that the majority of the existing screened in porches comply with the setback requirements and are ground level screened in porches. Staff finds the petitioner's proposed screened in porch adds additional bulk to the existing subject property given the screened in porch is not at ground level. In addition, the majority of the improvements in the subdivision are open air decks, not screened in porches.

Key Takeaways

- The petitioner requests a variance to convert the existing deck into a screened in porch that extends 15' into the 25' rear yard setback.
- Staff does not support the requested variance as the screened in porch is not consistent with the underlying Code requirements.