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## 1. Introduction

This report summarizes the methodologies, results, and findings of a traffic impact study conducted by Kenig, Lindgren, O'Hara, Aboona, Inc. (KLOA, Inc.) for the proposed Islamic Center of Naperville (ICN) to be located in Naperville, Illinois. The site, which contains a singlefamily home, is located on the east side of $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue at Honey Locust Drive. As proposed, the ICN is to be developed in five phases over the next 30 years and is to contain a school, mosque, multi-purpose hall, and gymnasium. Access to the Phase I of the facility is proposed to be provided via a full access drive and a restricted right-turn in/right-turn out access drive on $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. Ultimately, access to the facility is proposed to be provided via two full access drives on $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.

The purpose of this study was to examine background traffic conditions, assess the impact that the proposed facility will have on traffic conditions in the area, and determine if any roadway or access improvements are necessary to accommodate traffic generated by the proposed facility. Figure 1 shows the location of the site in relation to the area roadway system. Figure 2 shows an aerial view of the site.

The sections of this report present the following:

- Existing roadway conditions
- A description of the proposed facility
- Directional distribution of the facility traffic
- Vehicle trip generation for the facility
- Future traffic conditions including access to the facility
- Traffic analyses for the weekday morning, afternoon, and evening peak hours
- Recommendations with respect to adequacy of the site access and adjacent roadway system

Traffic capacity analyses were conducted for the weekday morning, afternoon, and evening peak hours for the following conditions:

1. Existing Conditions - Analyze the capacity of the existing roadway system using existing peak hour traffic volumes in the surrounding area.
2. Projected Conditions - Analyze the capacity of the future roadway system using the projected traffic volumes that include the existing traffic volumes, ambient area growth not attributable to any particular development, and the traffic estimated to be generated by the proposed facility. Per the direction of the City of Naperville, the study examined the first phase of the facility assuming two access alternatives (the north access drive only and both access drives) and the total buildout of the facility assuming both access drives.


Site Location
Figure 1


Aerial View of Site
Figure 2

## 2. Existing Conditions

Existing transportation conditions in the vicinity of the site were documented based on field visits conducted by KLOA, Inc. in order to obtain a database for projecting future conditions. The following provides a description of the geographical location of the site, physical characteristics of the area roadway system including lane usage and traffic control devices, and existing peak hour traffic volumes.

## Site Location

As indicated previously, the site is located on the east side of $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue at Honey Locust Drive. Land uses in the area primarily consist of single-family homes with the PennCross Knoll residential subdivision to the north, the Tall Grass residential subdivision to the east and south, and the Ashwood Pointe residential subdivision to the west.

## Existing Roadway System Characteristics

The characteristics of the existing roadways near the facility are described below and illustrated in Figure 3.
$248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue is a north-south, minor arterial roadway that extends from $95^{\text {th }}$ Street south to its terminus at $127^{\text {th }}$ Street. Between $95^{\text {th }}$ Street and $103^{\text {rd }}$ Street, $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue has one lane in each direction. At its unsignalized intersection with Honey Locust Drive, $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue has an exclusive left-turn lane and a through lane on the northbound approach and a shared through/right-turn lane on the southbound approach. At is intersections with Lapp Lane and Landsdown Avenue, $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue provides a shared left-turn/through lane on the southbound approaches and a shared through/right-turn lane on the southbound approaches. A pedestrian and bicycle crossing, with marked crosswalk and warning signs, is located on $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue immediately north of the subject site. $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue has a posted speed limit of 45 mph , carries an average daily traffic (ADT) volume between 11,400 and 12,000 vehicles (IDOT Year 2019) within the vicinity of the site, and is under the jurisdiction of the City of Naperville.

Honey Locust Drive is a residential road that serves the Ashwood Pointe subdivision and has one lane in each direction. At its unsignalized intersection with $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, Honey Locust Drive is under stop sign control and has two eastbound lanes striped for an exclusive left-turn lane and an exclusive right-turn lane. Honey Locust Drive has a posted speed limit of 25 mph and is under the jurisdiction of the City of Naperville.

Lapp Lane is a residential road that serves the Penncross Knoll subdivision and has one lane in each direction. At its unsignalized intersection with $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, Lapp Lane is under stop sign control and has one westbound lane striped for a shared left-turn/right-turn lane. Lapp Lane has a posted speed limit of 25 mph and is under the jurisdiction of the City of Naperville.


Landsdown Avenue is a residential road that serves the Tall Grass subdivision and has one lane in each direction. At its unsignalized intersection with $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, Landsdown Avenue is under stop sign control and has one westbound lane striped for a shared left-turn/right-turn lane. Landsdown Avenue has a posted speed limit of 25 mph and is under the jurisdiction of the City of Naperville.

## The Tall Grass Greenway Trail At-Grade Crossing

The Tall Grass Greenway Trail generally extends in an east-west direction along the northern property line of the site and has an at-grade crossing with $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue just north of the northern property line. Currently, the crossing is designed with the following striping and signage enhancements (see Figure 3):

- A high visibility, bicycle style crosswalk is provided on $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.
- Combined Bicycle/Pedestrian (W11-15) warning signs with Ahead plaques (W16-9P) are located on northbound and southbound $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue in advance of the trail crossing.
- Combined Bicycle/Pedestrian (W11-15) warning signs with Supplemental Arrow plaques (W17-7P) are provided on both directions of $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue at the crossing.


## $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Roadway Improvements

The City of Naperville is currently conducting a Phase 1 study for the widening and improvements to $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue between $95^{\text {th }}$ Street and $103^{\text {rd }}$ Street. Similar to the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue cross-section south of $103^{\text {rd }}$ Street, the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue improvement will likely include the widening of the road to provide two lanes in each direction separated by a landscaped median. Exclusive left-turn lanes will be provided at most intersections and access drives. In addition, various pedestrian and bicycle enhancements will be considered within the study area, including the Tall Grass Greenway Trail at-grade crossing. According to the City of Naperville web site, construction is expected to begin in 2024 and last for approximately 12 months.

## Existing Traffic Volumes

In order to determine current traffic conditions in the vicinity of the site, KLOA, Inc. conducted peak period vehicle, pedestrian, and bicycle traffic counts at the following intersections.

- $\quad 248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue with Lapp Lane
- $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue with Honey Locust Drive
- $\quad 248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue with Landsdown Avenue

The traffic counts were performed on Tuesday, January 14, 2020 during the weekday morning (7:00 to 9:00 A.M.), afternoon (noon to 3:00 P.M.), and evening (4:00 to 6:00 P.M.) peak periods. From the turning movement count data, it was determined that the weekday morning peak hour generally occurs between 7:00 and 8:00 A.M., the weekday afternoon peak hour generally occurs between 1:00 P.M. and 2:00 P.M., and the weekday evening peak hour generally occurs between 4:30 and 5:30 P.M. It should be noted that the pedestrian and bicycle activity at the three intersections was very limited. The existing peak hour traffic volumes are shown in Figure 4 and the results of the traffic counts are located in the Appendix.

## Crash Analysis

KLOA, Inc. obtained crash data ${ }^{1}$ from IDOT for the most recent available five years ( 2014 to 2018) for the intersections of $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/Lapp Lane, $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/Honey Locust Drive, and $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/Landsdown Avenue. A review of the crash data showed that four crashes were reported at the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/Lapp Lane intersection, four crashes were reported at the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/Landsdown Avenue intersection, and no crashes were reported at the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/Honey Locust Drive intersection. Further, the crash data indicated that no fatalities were reported at any of the intersections.

[^0]

## 3. Traffic Characteristics of the Proposed Facility

In order to properly evaluate future traffic conditions in the surrounding area, it was necessary to determine the traffic characteristics of the proposed facility, including the directional distribution and volumes of traffic that it will generate.

## Proposed Facility Plan

The ICN will be developed in five phases over 30 years that will include a mosque, school, multipurpose hall, and a gymnasium. Below is a description of each phase of the development.

Phase I is proposed to consist of a 26,219 square-foot mosque with construction to begin in 2020. Initially, the mosque will provide worship space for approximately 300 men and 150 women and ultimately for approximately 500 men and 350 women with a 3,259 square-foot expansion to be completed as part of Phase $V$. In accordance with the Muslim faith, the mosque will hold five daily prayers and the following services and activities:

- Jumuah Prayer (Friday afternoon - two prayer services)
- Ramadan
- Eid (Muslim holiday)
- Religious activities such as weddings, funerals, and special observances throughout the week

Phase II is proposed to consist of a 41,479 square-foot school with 25 classrooms and space for support activities such as offices, storage, multipurpose room, mechanical spaces, staff lounge, nurse's office, etc. with initial construction to begin in 2030. It is anticipated that the school will have a maximum enrollment of 250 students (kindergarten through $5^{\text {th }}$ grade and pre-school children). The school is proposed to operate Monday through Thursday from 7:00 A.M. to 3:00 P.M. and 7:00 A.M. to noon on Friday. In addition, religious classes will be held on Saturdays between 9:00 A.M. and 2:00 P.M. with a maximum enrollment of 500 students.

Phase III is proposed to consist of a 22,814 square-foot multi-purpose hall that will be used for conferences, interfaith events, and wedding receptions with construction to begin in 2040. The multi-purpose hall will seat approximately 500 people and will generally be used Friday through Sunday.

Phase $I V$ is proposed to consist of a 25,852 square-foot gymnasium that will provide the congregation with a space for indoor physical activities including league play for volleyball, basketball, and badminton, "open gym" use at times, and other uses such as scouting activities with construction to begin in 2050. The use of the gymnasium will occur mostly in the evening and on weekends.

The Phase I and overall site plans are located in the Appendix.

## Site Access

Access to Phase I of the facility is proposed be provided via the following two access drives on $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue:

- The south access drive will be located opposite Honey Locust Drive and will provide full access to the facility. As proposed, the access drive will provide one inbound lane and two outbound lanes separated by a raised median similar to the one provided on Honey Locust Drive. The outbound lanes will be striped for an exclusive left-turn lane and a shared through/right-turn lane and will be under stop sign control. As part of the facility, a 185foot left-turn lane with 200 -foot taper will be provided along southbound $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue serving the access drive.
- The north access drive will be located approximately 440 feet north of Honey Locust Drive and will provide restricted right-turn in/right-turn out access to the facility. As proposed, the access drive will provide one inbound lane and one outbound lane channelized and signed to prohibit left-turn movements. The outbound lane will be under stop sign control.

With the future development of the facility's other phases and the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue improvements, the north access drive is proposed to be converted from a restricted right-turn in/right-turn out to a full access drive. As proposed, the full access drive will provide one inbound lane and two outbound lanes striped for an exclusive left-turn lane and an exclusive right-turn lane with outbound movements under stop sign control. In addition, a left-turn lane will be provided along southbound $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue serving the access drive. It should be noted that in order to convert the north access drive to a full access drive, the following modifications to the transportation system will be required:

- The length of the proposed $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue left-turn lane and/or taper serving the south access drive will need to be reduced by approximately 50 feet as the end of the left-turn lane taper will encroach on the location of the north access drive.
- To accommodate a southbound left-turn lane serving the north access drive, the Tall Grass Greenway trail at-grade crossing with $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue will need to be relocated further north. It is our understanding that the Phase I study for the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue improvements is examining various alternatives for the location and design of the at-grade crossing.

Similar to their other facilities, ICN has committed to use traffic control personnel and/or police officers within the facility and at the intersections of $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue with the access drives to assist with the management of traffic and to expedite the movement of traffic to and from the facility and Honey Locust Drive during the higher traffic-generating services at the mosque, including Friday prayer services, Ramadan, Eid, and other large functions. It is important to note that most of the other activities at the facility will not require the need for traffic control personnel.

## Directions of Approach and Departure

The directions from which traffic will travel to and from the facility were determined based on previous studies in the area and the general area population. It is anticipated that 60 percent of the traffic will approach and depart the site from the north with the remaining 40 percent approaching and departing the site from the south. Figure 5 shows the anticipated directions of approach and departure.

## Islamic Center of Naperville Trip Generation Estimates

The following summarizes the traffic estimated to be generated by each phase of the facility.

## Phase I-Mosque

Daily Prayers: Except for Jumuah Prayer, Ramadan, and Eid, the following five daily prayers will be held Monday through Friday at the mosque and will typically last less than one hour:

- $\quad$ Morning Prayer (Fajr) - Approximate start time of 5:45 A.M.
- Midday Prayer (Dhuhr) - Approximate start time of 1:00 P.M.
- Afternoon Prayer (Asr) - Approximate start time of 4:15 P.M.
- $\quad$ Sunset Prayer (Maghrib) - Approximate start time of 5:45 P.M.
- Night Prayer (Isha) - Approximate start time of 8:00 P.M.

It should be noted that the times of the five daily prayer change slightly throughout the year based on the position of the sun and generally last less than an hour. The attendance at these daily prayers is not required since worshippers can pray at home or at work. These prayers occur at the sanctuary and no other activity or usage of the facility is allowed while the prayers are being conducted. Based on information provided by ICN, typical attendance at these five daily prayers ranges from 50 to 75 people. Previous surveys conducted by KLOA, Inc. at mosques in Westmont and Schaumburg and by Intech Consultants at the existing ICN mosques show that the daily prayers have an average occupancy of 1.4 to 1.5 people per vehicle. As such, each of the daily prayers are expected to generate 35 to 55 trips to and from the mosque. It is important to note that given the starting and ending times of the Morning Prayer (Fajr), the daily prayers generate very limited, if any, traffic during the morning peak hour which occurs between 7:00 and 8:00 A.M. Further, the traffic volumes along $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue between 6:00 A.M. and 7:00 A.M. are approximately 1/3 lower than the volumes during the morning peak hour (7:00 A.M. to 8:00 A.M.)

Jumuah Prayer: The Jumuah Prayer occurs on Fridays with two prayer services provided (1:00 to 1:40 P.M. and 2:00 to 2:40 P.M.). According to ICN, both prayer services are expected to have an initial attendance of approximately 450 worshippers, increasing to 850 worshippers with the future expansion of the mosque. As such, the two prayer services are projected to generate a maximum of approximately 320 trips to and from the mosque for each service with the initial construction. Ultimately, the two prayer services are projected to generate 580 trips to and from the mosque for each service.


Ramadan: Ramadan is the ninth month of the Islamic calendar. Given that the celebration of Ramadan is based on the Islamic lunar calendar, exact days of the holiday cannot be determined. However, Ramadan usually occurs 11 days earlier each year. During the month of Ramadan, additional nightly prayers are held daily with these prayers typically starting after 7:00 P.M. and lasting until 11:00 P.M. However, congregants do not attend the prayer at the same time. Some will attend at the beginning and others will join toward the end and congregants leave at staggered times. As such, the traffic load is spread over a five-hour period. The mosque is expected to have an attendance similar to that of the Friday Jumuah Prayer during the first and last week of Ramadan. Given its holiday nature with families typically arriving from their home, auto occupancy is typically higher than the daily prayers. Further, the services occur in the late evening when area traffic is lower and the area roadways have more available capacity to accommodate the facility-generated traffic.

Eid (Muslim Holiday): Two additional prayers are held on an annual basis to celebrate the Muslim holiday of Eid. The two prayers will occur between 7:00 A.M. and 11:00 A.M. twice a year with a maximum attendance of 1,000 people. The first prayer is held at the end of the month of Ramadan and the second approximately two months later. Given the type of holiday, it attracts many families that carpool, thus reducing the number of trips generated.

## Phase II - School

The peak hour traffic volumes that will be generated by the proposed school were estimated based on trip generation rates provided in the Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition. Since the school will not be providing any bus service, the Private School (Land-Use Code 534) rates were used to estimate the traffic that will be generated by the 250 -student school. It is important to note that the school day will end at noon on Fridays and the traffic generated by the school will generally not overlap with the traffic generated by the Friday prayer services.

In addition, Saturday religious classes will be held at the school from 9:00 A.M. to 2:00 P.M. It is assumed that all of the students will be dropped off and picked up and that carpooling will occur. The volume of traffic to be generated by the religious classes was based on the number of students and staff and an average of 1.5 to 2.0 students per vehicle. As such, the religious education classes are expected to generate 250 to 335 inbound and outbound trips before and after the classes. It is important to note that the religious classes occur on Saturdays when area traffic is lower and the area roadways have more available capacity to accommodate the facility-generated traffic.

## Phase III - Multi-Purpose Hall

The multi-purpose room will be used be used for conferences, interfaith events, and wedding receptions. As proposed, the hall will have a maximum capacity of 500 people and will generally be used Friday through Sunday, depending on the activity. Assuming an average auto occupancy of 2.0 to 2.5 people per vehicle, it is estimated that the multi-purpose hall will generate a maximum of 200 to 250 trips to and from the facility. It should be noted that most events will occur during evenings and weekends when area traffic is lower and the area roadways have more available capacity to accommodate the facility-generated traffic. Further, while prayers are being conducted at the mosque, no other activity or usage of the facility is allowed.

## Phase IV - Gymnasium

The gymnasium will typically have its highest use in the evening and on weekends after 7:00 P.M. It will also be a resource available to and generally used by those worshippers already attending prayers, but some additional traffic may be generated by those arriving exclusively to utilize the gym. Based on the projected usage as provided by ICN, the gymnasium is estimated to generate 25 to 50 trips to and from the facility. It should be noted that the gymnasium will not be utilized during the month of Ramadan. Further, while prayers are being conducted at the prayer hall, no other activity or usage of the facility is allowed.

Table 1 summarizes the number of peak hour trips estimated to be generated by the proposed facility.

Table 1
TRIP GENERATION ESTIMATES - PEAK HOURS OF THE ROADWAY SYSTEM

| Land Use | Weekday Morning Peak Hour |  | Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour |  | Weekday Evening Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out |
| Phase I |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mosque ${ }^{1,2,3}$ | 0 | 0 | 320 | 320 | 55 | 55 |
| Total Facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expanded Mosque ${ }^{1,2,3}$ | 0 | 0 | 580 | 580 | 55 | 55 |
| School ${ }^{4}$ | 129 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 35 |
| Multi-Purpose Hall ${ }^{5}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 50 |
| Gymnasium ${ }^{5}$ | 50 | $\underline{50}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{50}$ | $\underline{50}$ |
| Total | 179 | 156 | 580 | 580 | 185 | 190 |

1 - Afternoon peak hour represents the traffic to be generated between the two Friday prayer services. No other activities are permitted to occur at the facility during the services.
2 - Traffic to be generated by the mosque was determined based on the projected attendance and an average of approximately 1.4 people per vehicle.
3 - Given the starting and ending times of the Morning Prayer (Fajr), the daily prayers will generate very limited, if any, traffic during the morning peak hour which occurs between 7:00 and 8:00 A.M. Further, each daily prayer is expected to generate only 35 to 55 trips to and from the mosque
4 - Traffic to be generated by the school was based on the rates provided in the ITE Trip Generation Manual, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition. It should be noted that the school day will end at noon on Fridays.
5 - Traffic to be generated by the multi-purpose hall and the gymnasium was based on the projected operation of the two facilities.

## 4. Projected Traffic Conditions

The total projected traffic volumes include the existing traffic volumes, increase in background traffic due to growth, and the traffic estimated to be generated by the proposed subject facility. Per the direction of the City of Naperville, the study examined the first phase of the facility assuming two access alternatives (the north access drive only and both access drives) and the total buildout of the facility assuming both access drives.

## Facility Traffic Assignment

The peak hour traffic volumes projected to be generated by the proposed facility were assigned to the area roadways based on the established directional distribution (Figure 5) and illustrated in the following exhibits:

- Figure 6 shows the assignment of the traffic to be generated by the first phase of the facility assuming a full access drive and a restricted right-turn in/right-turn out access drive on $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.
- Figure 7 shows the assignment of the traffic to be generated by the total buildout of the facility assuming two full access drives on $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.


## Background Traffic Conditions

The existing traffic volumes (Figure 4) were increased by a regional growth factor to account for the increase in existing traffic related to regional growth in the area (i.e., not attributable to any particular planned development). Based on Year 2050 Average Daily Traffic (ADT) projections provided by the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP), the existing traffic volumes are projected to increase by just less than one percent per year. As such, the existing traffic volumes were increased by five percent to obtain Year 2025 projected background traffic volumes and by 30 percent to obtain Year 2050 projected background traffic volumes. A copy of the CMAP letter is included in the Appendix.

## Total Projected Traffic Volumes

Total projected traffic volumes include the existing traffic volumes, background growth, and the traffic to be generated by the proposed facility and are shown in the following figures:

- Figure 8 shows the Year 2025 projected traffic volumes assuming the first phase of the facility and a full access drive and a restricted right-turn in/right-turn out access drive on $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.
- Figure 9 shows the Year 2050 projected traffic volumes assuming the total buildout of the facility and two full access drives on $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.






## 5. Traffic Analysis and Recommendations

The following provides an evaluation conducted for the weekday morning, weekday afternoon. and weekday evening peak hours. The analysis includes conducting capacity analyses to determine how well the roadway system and access drive are projected to operate and whether any roadway improvements or modifications are required.

## Traffic Analyses

Roadway and adjacent or nearby intersection analyses were performed for the weekday morning, weekday afternoon, and weekday evening peak hours for the existing (Year 2020) and future projected (Year 2025 and Year 2050) traffic volumes.

The traffic analyses were performed using the methodologies outlined in the Transportation Research Board's Highway Capacity Manual (HCM), 2010 and analyzed using the Synchro/SimTraffic 10 software. The analysis for the traffic-signal controlled intersections were accomplished using existing cycle lengths, phasings, and offsets to determine the average overall vehicle delay and levels of service.

The analyses for the unsignalized intersections determine the average control delay to vehicles at an intersection. Control delay is the elapsed time from a vehicle joining the queue at a stop sign (includes the time required to decelerate to a stop) until its departure from the stop sign and resumption of free flow speed. The methodology analyzes each intersection approach controlled by a stop sign and considers traffic volumes on all approaches and lane characteristics.

The ability of an intersection to accommodate traffic flow is expressed in terms of level of service, which is assigned a letter from A to F based on the average control delay experienced by vehicles passing through the intersection. The Highway Capacity Manual definitions for levels of service and the corresponding control delay for signalized intersections and unsignalized intersections are included in the Appendix of this report.

Intersection capacity analyses were performed for the following scenarios:

- Existing traffic volumes and existing conditions.
- Year 2025 projected traffic volumes assuming Phase I of the facility with a full access drive (south drive) and a restricted right-turn in/right-turn out access drive (north drive) on $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and the existing roadway conditions. This scenario assumes that an exclusive leftturn lane is provided on $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue serving the south access drive.
- Year 2025 projected traffic volumes assuming Phase I of the facility with a full access drive (south drive) and a restricted right-turn in/right-turn out access drive (north drive) on $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue roadway improvements. This scenario assumes that $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue is improved with two through lanes in each direction with exclusive left-turn lanes serving the south access drive and the side roads within the study limits.
- Year 2050 projected traffic volumes assuming the total buildout of the facility and two full access drives on $28^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue roadway improvements. This scenario assumes that $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue is improved with two through lanes in each direction with exclusive left-turn lanes serving each of the access drives and the side roads within the study limits.

Summaries of the traffic analysis results showing the level of service and delay (measured in seconds) are presented in Tables 2 through 5. A discussion of the intersections follows. Summary sheets for the capacity analyses are included in the Appendix.

Table 2
CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS $-248^{\text {TH }}$ AVENUE WITH HONEY LOCUST DRIVE AND SOUTH ACCESS DRIVE

|  | Weekday Morning Peak Hour |  | Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour |  | Weekday Evening Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |
| Existing Traffic Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound Left Turn | C | 22.4 | B | 12.4 | C | 21.9 |
| Eastbound Right Turn | B | 11.3 | B | 10.5 | C | 16.1 |
| Northbound Left Turn | A | 8.3 | A | 8.1 | A | 10.0 |
| Year 2025 Projected Traffic Volumes ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound Left Turn | D (C) | 29.5 (18.2) | F (D) | 80.6 (30.4) | D (D) | 32.0 (28.2) |
| Eastbound Thru/Right Turn | B (B) | 11.6 (10.1) | C (C) | 19.1 (18.3) | C (B) | 17.3 (12.8) |
| Westbound Left Turn | - (-) | - (-) | F (F) | 99+ (99+) | D (C) | 31.3 (21.9) |
| Westbound Thru/Right Turn | - (-) | - (-) | B (B) | 14.1 (12.3) | B (B) | 14.3 (11.9) |
| Northbound Left Turn | A (A) | 8.3 (8.3) | A (A) | 8.2 (8.2) | B (B) | 10.2 (10.2) |
| Southbound Left Turn | - (-) | - (-) | B (B) | 10.4 (10.4) | A (A) | 9.10 (9.1) |
| Year 2050 Projected Traffic Volumes ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound Left Turn | (E) | (39.2) | (F) | (85.8) | (E) | (47.0) |
| Eastbound Thru/Right Turn | (B) | (13.3) | (E) | (42.1) | (C) | (15.5) |
| Westbound Left Turn | (F) | (55.2) | (F) | (99+) | (E) | (36.0) |
| Westbound Thru/Right Turn | (C) | (17.3) | (C) | (21.6) | (B) | (13.4) |
| Northbound Left Turn | (A) | (8.8) | (A) | (8.8) | (B) | (11.7) |
| Southbound Left Turn | (B) | (13.7) | (B) | (12.0) | (A) | (10.0) |
| LOS = Level of Service; Delay = Seconds <br> $\mathrm{XX}=$ Results of LOS and delay assuming existing conditions <br> $(X X)=$ Results of LOS and delay assuming the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue improvements <br> 1 - Assumes a southbound left-turn lane will be provided on $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue serving the access drive under both existing conditions and with the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3
CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS $-248^{\text {TH }}$ AVENUE WITH NORTH ACCESS DRIVE

|  | Weekday Morning Peak Hour |  | Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour |  | Weekday Evening Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |
| Year 2025 Projected Traffic Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound Right Turn | - (-) | - (-) | B (B) | 14.3 (11.7) | B (B) | 13.8 (10.8) |
| Year 2050 Projected Traffic Volumes ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound Left Turn | (E) | (36.0) | (F) | (99+) | (D) | (28.4) |
| Westbound Right Turn | (C) | (15.8) | (C) | (21.5) | (B) | (12.7) |
| Southbound Left Turn | (B) | (13.4) | (B) | (13.0) | (B) | (10.5) |
| LOS = Level of Service; Delay = Seconds <br> $\mathrm{XX}=$ Results of LOS and delay assuming existing conditions <br> $(X X)=$ Results of LOS and delay assuming the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue improvements <br> 1 - Assumes a southbound left-turn lane will be provided on $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue serving the access drive with the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4
CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS $-248^{\text {TH }}$ AVENUE WITH LANDSDOWN AVENUE

|  | Weekday Morning Peak Hour |  | Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour |  | Weekday Evening Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |
| Existing Traffic Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound Approach | C | 20.7 | B | 12.0 | B | 14.7 |
| Southbound Left Turn | A | 9.8 | A | 8.1 | A | 8.7 |
| Year 2025 Projected Traffic Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound Approach | C (B) | 22.5 (13.5) | B (B) | 14.5 (11.1) | C (B) | 15.8 (11.3) |
| Southbound Left Turn | B (B) | 10.0 (10.0) | A (A) | 8.5 (8.6) | A (A) | 8.9 (8.9) |
| Year 2050 Projected Traffic Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound Approach | (C) | (17.5) | (B) | (13.0) | (B) | (12.9) |
| Southbound Left Turn | (B) | (11.7) | (A) | (9.4) | (A) | (9.8) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOS }=\text { Level of Service; Delay }=\text { Seconds } \\ & \mathrm{XX}=\text { Results of LOS and delay assuming existing conditions } \\ & (\mathrm{XX})=\text { Results of LOS and delay assuming the } 248^{\mathrm{h}} \text { Avenue improvements } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5
CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS - $248^{\text {TH }}$ AVENUE WITH LAPP LANE

|  | Weekday Morning Peak Hour |  | Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour |  | Weekday Evening Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |
| Existing Traffic Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound Approach | C | 23.2 | B | 10.2 | C | 23.0 |
| Southbound Left Turn | B | 10.6 | A | 8.0 | A | 8.9 |
| Year 2025 Projected Traffic Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound Approach | D (B) | 25.0 (14.7) | C (B) | 16.8 (11.8) | D (B) | 27.7 (13.6) |
| Southbound Left Turn | B (B) | 10.8 (10.8) | A (A) | 8.6 (8.6) | A (A) | 9.1 (9.1) |
| Year 2050 Projected Traffic Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound Approach | (C) | (20.1) | (C) | (15.3) | (C) | (16.5) |
| Southbound Left Turn | (B) | (13.2) | (A) | (9.6) | (B) | (10.2) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOS }=\text { Level of Service; Delay }=\text { Seconds } \\ & \text { XX }=\text { Results of LOS and delay assuming existing conditions } \\ & (X X)=\text { Results of LOS and delay assuming the } 248^{\text {h }} \text { Avenue improvements } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Discussion and Recommendations

The following summarizes how the intersections are projected to operate and identifies any roadway and traffic control improvements necessary to accommodate the facility-generated traffic.

## $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue with Honey Locust Drive and South Access Drive

The south drive will be located opposite Honey Locust Drive and is proposed to be constructed as part of Phase I. The access drive will provide one inbound lane and two outbound lanes separated by a raised median similar to the one provided on Honey Locust Drive. The outbound lanes will be striped for an exclusive left-turn lane and a shared through/right-turn lane and will be under stop sign control. As part of the facility, a 185 -foot left-turn lane with 200 -foot taper will be provided along southbound $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue serving the access drive.

## Results of Capacity Analyses

Existing Traffic Volumes and Conditions. The critical movements at this intersection are currently operating at LOS C or better during all three peak hours.

Year 2025 Traffic Volumes and Existing Conditions. The critical movements at this intersection are projected to operate at LOS D or better during all three peak hours, except the outbound leftturn movement from the access drive and Honey Locust Drive. During the Jumuah prayer services, which occur on Fridays only, the outbound left-turn movements are projected to operate at LOS F with significant delays along the access drive's left-turn movement assuming no traffic management. However, ICN has committed to use traffic control personnel/police officers at this intersection to assist with the management of traffic and to expedite the movement of traffic to and from the facility and Honey Locust Drive. As such, the critical movements are projected to operate better than the capacity analyses indicate. Further, the Jumuah prayer services only occur once a week and the peak volumes only occur for an approximate 15 - to 20 -minute period after the two services.

It should be noted that the results of the capacity analyses have shown that the southbound leftturn movement is projected to have a maximum $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue of approximately 50 feet which will be able to be accommodated within the 185 -foot left-turn lane. Further, given that the ICN has committed to use traffic control personnel/police officers at this intersection during the Jumuah prayer services and other large services/events, the traffic control personnel/police officers will be able to monitor the queue and ensure that it does not exceed the left-turn lane.

Year 2025 Traffic Volumes and the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Improvements. The critical movements at this intersection are projected to operate at LOS D or better during all three peak hours assuming the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue improvements, except the outbound left-turn movement from the access drive. During the Jumuah prayer services, which occur on Fridays only, the outbound left-turn movement is projected to operate at LOS F with significant delays assuming no traffic management. However, ICN has committed to use traffic control personnel/police officers at this intersection to assist with the management of traffic and to expedite the movement of traffic to and from the facility and Honey Locust Drive. As such, the critical movements are projected to operate better than the capacity analyses indicate. Further, the Jumuah prayer services only occur once a week and the peak volumes only occur for an approximate 15 - to 20 -minute period after the two services.

It should be noted that the results of the capacity analyses have shown that the southbound leftturn movement is projected to have a maximum $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue of 50 feet which will be able to be accommodated within the 185 -foot left-turn lane. Further, given that the ICN has committed to use traffic control personnel/police officers at this intersection during the Jumuah prayer services and other large services/events, the traffic control personnel/police officers will be able to monitor the queue and ensure that it does not exceed the left-turn lane.

Year 2050 Traffic Volumes and the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Improvements. Assuming that the access drive and Honey Locust Drive are under stop sign control, the critical movements at this intersection are projected to generally operate at LOS D or better during all three peak hours, except the left-turn movements from the access drive and Honey Locust Drive as summarized below:

- During the weekday morning and evening peaks hours, the outbound left-turn movements from the access drive and Honey Locust Drive are projected to operate at LOS E or F. This traffic will be able to exit onto $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue but may experience some additional delay during the peak periods. This is common for stop sign controlled approaches along higher volume roadways such as $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.
- During the Jumuah prayer services, which occur on Fridays only, the outbound left-turn movements from the access drive and Honey Locust Drive are projected to operate at LOS F with significant delays along the access drive's left-turn movement. However, ICN has committed to use traffic control personnel/police officers at this intersection to assist with the management of traffic and to expedite the movement of traffic to and from the facility and Honey Locust Drive. As such, the critical movements are projected to operate better than the capacity analyses indicate.

As part of this study, a traffic signal warrant study was performed at this intersection and presented in the next chapter. The results of the study have shown that if the 2050 traffic volumes are realized, a traffic signal will likely be warranted at this intersection. With a traffic signal, all of the movements at this intersection are projected to operate at a good level of service.

It should be noted that the results of the capacity analyses assuming the existing stop sign control have shown that the southbound left-turn movement is projected to have a maximum $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue of approximately 75 feet which will be able to be accommodated within the future left-turn lane. Further, given that the ICN has committed to use traffic control personnel/police officers at this intersection during the Jumuah prayer services and other large services/events, the traffic control personnel/police officers will be able to monitor the queue and ensure that it does not exceed the left-turn lane.

## $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue with North Access Drive

The north access drive will be located approximately 440 feet north of the Honey Locust Drive and will provide restricted right-turn in/right-turn out access to the facility. As proposed, the access drive will provide one inbound lane and one outbound lane channelized and striped to prohibit left-turn movements. The outbound lane will be under stop sign control.

With the future development of the other phases of the facility and the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue improvements, the north access drive is proposed to be converted from a restricted right-turn in/right-turn out to a full access drive. The full access drive will provide one inbound lane and two outbound lanes striped for an exclusive left-turn lane and an exclusive right-turn lane with outbound movements under stop sign control. In addition, a left-turn lane will be provided along southbound $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue serving the access drive. It should be noted that in order to convert the north access drive to a full access drive, the following modifications to the transportation system will be required:

- The length of the proposed $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue left-turn lane and/or taper serving the south access drive will need to be reduced by approximately 50 feet as the end of the left-turn lane taper will encroach on the location of the north full access drive.
- To accommodate a southbound left-turn lane serving the north access drive, the Tall Grass Greenway trail at-grade crossing with $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue will need to be relocated further north. It is our understanding that the Phase I Study for the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue improvements is examining various alternatives for the location and design of the at-grade crossing.


## Results of Capacity Analyses

Year 2025 Traffic Volumes and Existing Conditions. The westbound right-turn movement at this intersection is projected to operate at LOS C or better during all three peak hours.

Year 2025 Traffic Volumes and the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Improvements. The westbound right-turn movement at this intersection is projected to operate at LOS C or better during all three peak hours.

Year 2050 Traffic Volumes and the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Improvements. The critical movements at this intersection are projected to operate at LOS D or better during all three peak hours, except the outbound left-turn movement from the access drive as summarized below:

- During the weekday morning peak hour, the outbound left-turn movement is projected to operate on the threshold of LOS D/E during the morning peak hour. This traffic will be able to exit onto $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue but may experience some additional delay during the peak periods. This is common for stop sign controlled approaches along higher volume roadways such as $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.
- During the Friday prayer services, the outbound left-turn movement is projected to operate at LOS F with significant delay assuming no traffic management. However, ICN has committed to use traffic control personnel/police officers at this intersection to assist with the management of traffic and to expedite the movement of traffic to and from the facility and Honey Locust Drive. As such, the critical movements are projected to operate better than the capacity analyses indicate.

It should be noted that the results of the capacity analyses have shown that the southbound leftturn movement is projected to have a maximum $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue of approximately 75 feet. Further, given that the ICN has committed to use traffic control personnel/police officers at this intersection during the Jumuah prayer services and other large services/events, the traffic control personnel/police officers will be able to monitor the queue and ensure that it does not exceed the left-turn lane.

## $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue with Landsdown Avenue

Existing Traffic Volumes and Conditions. The critical movements at this intersection are currently operating at LOS C or better during all three peak hours.

## Year 2025 Traffic Volumes.

- Assuming the existing conditions, the critical movements at this intersection are projected to continue to operate at LOS C or better during all three peak hours.
- Assuming the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue improvements, the critical movements at this intersection are projected to operate at LOS B or better during all three peak hours.

Year 2050 Traffic Volumes with the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Improvements. The critical movements at this intersection are projected to operate at LOS C or better during all three peak hours.

As such, this intersection has sufficient reserve capacity to accommodate the projected traffic volumes and no additional roadway improvements are required.
$248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue with Lapp Lane
Existing Traffic Volumes and Conditions. The critical movements at this intersection are currently operating at LOS C or better during all three peak hours.

## Year 2025 Traffic Volumes.

- Assuming the existing conditions, the critical movements at this intersection are projected to operate at LOS D or better during all three peak hours.
- Assuming the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue improvements, the critical movements at this intersection are projected to operate at LOS B or better during all three peak hours.

Year 2050 Traffic Volumes with the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Improvements. The critical movements at this intersection are projected to operate at LOS C or better during all three peak hours.

As such, this intersection has sufficient reserve capacity to accommodate the projected traffic volumes and no additional roadway improvements are required.

## Impact on Tall Grass Greenway Trail $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Crossing

Under Phase 1 of the facility, the results of the capacity analyses have shown that the maximum queue for the southbound left-turn lane at the south access drive will be approximately 50 feet (see Table 6), which can be accommodated within the 185 -foot left-turn lane. Further, given that the ICN has committed to use traffic control personnel/police officers at this intersection during the Jumuah prayer services and during other large services/functions, the traffic control personnel/police officers will be able to monitor the queue and ensure that it does not exceed the left-turn lane. As such, the proposed first phase of the facility is anticipated to have limited, if any, impact on the Tall Grass Greenway Trail $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue crossing.

Table 6
MAXIMUM LEFT-TURN LANE QUEUES

| Scenario | Projected Maximum Queue |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | South Access <br> Drive <br> Left-Turn Lane | North Access <br> Drive <br> Left-Turn Lane |
| 2025 Volumes \& Existing Conditions | 50 feet | n.a |
| 2025 Volumes \& $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Improvements | 50 feet | n.a |
| 2050 Volumes \& $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Improvements | 50 feet | 75 feet |

With the future development of the other phases of the facility and the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue improvements, the north access drive is proposed to be converted from a restricted right-turn in/right-turn out to a full access drive. To accommodate a southbound left-turn lane serving the north access drive, the Tall Grass Greenway trail at-grade crossing with $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue will need to be relocated further north. It is our understanding that the Phase I study for the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue improvements is examining various alternatives for the location and design of the at-grade crossing.

## 6. Traffic Signal Warrant Evaluation

Per the request of the City of Naperville, a traffic signal warrant analysis was conducted to determine whether a traffic signal will be warranted at the intersection of $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue with Honey Locust Drive and the proposed south access drive. The installation of a traffic signal requires the satisfaction of one or more of the nine warrants from the Federal Highway Administration's Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways (MUTCD), 2009. For the purpose of this analyses, the following volume warrants were evaluated.

Warrant 1 - Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume - Condition A
Warrant 1 - Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume - Condition B
Warrant 1 - Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume - Conditions A and B
Warrant 2 - Four-Hour Volume
Warrant 3 - Peak-Hour Volume

## Traffic Signal Warrant Analyses - Existing Conditions

A traffic signal warrant analysis was performed at the subject intersection assuming the existing conditions as summarized below.

## Existing Conditions

As discussed previously, $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue has one lane in each direction with a posted speed limit of 45 mph . Further, $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue is proposed to be widened to provide two lanes in each direction divided by a median. The Honey Locust Drive approach provides two lanes at its intersection with $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue that are striped for an exclusive left-turn lane and an exclusive right-turn lane. Daily traffic counts performed at the intersection of $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and Honey Locust Drive on April 16, 2019 were obtained from the City of Naperville. Table 7 illustrates the existing hourly traffic volumes for the hours between 6:00 A.M. and 8:00 P.M.

## Summary Traffic Signal Warrant Analyses

Table 8 summarizes the existing two-way traffic volumes on $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue (major road) and the existing hourly traffic volumes on the Honey Locust Drive approach (minor road). The table also highlights which hours of the day satisfy the volume warrants. Per the MUTCD guidelines and the existing intersection characteristics, the 70 percent factored, " 1 lane and 2 lanes" volumes were used for each of the warrants. The following summarizes the results of the warrant study:

- Warrant 1 A is not met for any hour when eight hours are required
- Warrant 1B is met for one hour when eight hours are required
- Warrant $1 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{B}$ is not met for any hour when eight hours are required
- Warrant 2 is met for one hour when four hours are required
- Warrant 3 is not met for any hour when one hour is required

As such, a traffic signal is not warranted at the subject intersection based on the existing conditions.

Table 7
EXISTING HOURLY TRAFFIC VOLUMES $248^{\mathrm{TH}}$ AVENUE WITH HONEY LOCUST DRIVE

| Hour | 248 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ A venue Southbound |  | $248^{\text {th }}$ A venue Northbound |  | Honey Locust Drive Eastbound |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Through | Right | Left | Through | Left | Right |
| 6:00 A.M. | 253 | 12 | 8 | 518 | 38 | 5 |
| 7:00 A.M. | 456 | 20 | 12 | 762 | 45 | 20 |
| 8:00 A.M. | 314 | 22 | 14 | 552 | 80 | 27 |
| 9:00 A.M. | 262 | 37 | 18 | 332 | 34 | 15 |
| 10:00 A.M. | 238 | 18 | 5 | 291 | 16 | 9 |
| 11:00 A.M. | 282 | 20 | 8 | 292 | 24 | 5 |
| 12:00 P.M. | 265 | 21 | 12 | 273 | 23 | 11 |
| 1:00 P.M. | 317 | 18 | 8 | 303 | 12 | 5 |
| 2:00 P.M. | 414 | 35 | 17 | 278 | 12 | 4 |
| 3:00 P.M. | 592 | 40 | 18 | 467 | 31 | 12 |
| 4:00 P.M | 789 | 39 | 21 | 480 | 27 | 25 |
| 5:00 P.M. | 799 | 86 | 41 | 435 | 39 | 21 |
| 6:00 P.M. | 574 | 74 | 25 | 410 | 36 | 23 |
| 7:00 P.M. | 478 | 59 | 17 | 338 | 30 | 10 |

Table 8
TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS $248^{\text {TH }}$ AVENUE WITH HONEY LOCUST DRIVE EXISTING CONDITIONS

| Hour | $\begin{aligned} & 248^{24 \mathrm{th}} \text { Ave. } \\ & \text { Two-Way } \\ & \text { Volume } \\ & \text { (Major) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Honey <br> Locust Dr. <br> Volume <br> (Minor) | Access Drive Volume (Minor) | Warrant Analyses |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Warrant 1A | Warrant 1B | Warrant 1A/B | $\begin{gathered} \text { Warrant } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Warrant } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |
| 6:00 A.M. | 791 | 43 | n.a. | No | No | No | No | No |
| 7:00 A.M. | 1,250 | 65 | n.a. | No | No | No | No | No |
| 8:00 A.M. | 902 | 107 | n.a. | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 9:00 A.M. | 649 | 49 | n.a. | No | No | No | No | No |
| 10:00 A.M. | 552 | 25 | n.a. | No | No | No | No | No |
| 11:00 A.M. | 602 | 29 | n.a. | No | No | No | No | No |
| 12:00 P.M. | 571 | 34 | n.a. | No | No | No | No | No |
| 1:00 P.M. | 646 | 17 | n.a. | No | No | No | No | No |
| 2:00 P.M. | 744 | 16 | n.a. | No | No | No | No | No |
| 3:00 P.M. | 1,117 | 43 | n.a. | No | No | No | No | No |
| 4:00 P.M | 1,329 | 52 | n.a. | No | No | No | No | No |
| 5:00 P.M. | 1,361 | 60 | n.a. | No | No | No | No | No |
| 6:00 P.M. | 1,083 | 59 | n.a. | No | No | No | No | No |
| 7:00 P.M. | 892 | 40 | n.a. | No | No | No | No | No |
| Number of Hours that Meet Warrant |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Volumes Meet Warrant |  |  |  | No | No | No | No | No |

## Traffic Signal Warrant Analyses - Phase I of the Facility

A traffic signal warrant analysis was performed at the subject intersection assuming the first phase of the facility as summarized below.

## Projected Conditions

Access to the first phase of the facility is to be provided via a full access drive on $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue opposite Honey Locust Drive and a restricted right-turn in and right-turn out access drive on $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue at the north end of the site. The access dive located opposite Honey Locust Drive will provide one inbound lane and two outbound lanes striped for an exclusive left-turn lane and a shared through/right-turn lane. In addition, the exclusive right-turn lane on Honey Locust Drive will be restriped as a shared through/right-turn lane.

Phase I of the facility is proposed to consist of a 26,219 square-foot mosque that will provide worship space for approximately 300 men and 150 women. In accordance with the Muslim faith, the mosque will primary be used for services including the five daily prayers, the Jumuah Prayer (Friday afternoon - two prayer services), Ramadan, and Eid (Muslim holiday). In addition, other religious activities will occur at the facility such as weddings, funerals, and special observances throughout the week.

The five daily prayer are the only services/activities that will occur on a daily basis at the mosque and typically last less than an hour. As discussed previously, each of the five prayer services is projected to generate 35 to 55 trips to and from the mosque. The Jumuah prayer services occurs on Fridays only with two prayer services provided (1:00 to 1:40 P.M. and 2:00 to 2:40 P.M.). It is estimated that the two Jumuah prayer services will generate a maximum of approximately 320 trips to and from the mosque for each service.

## Summary Traffic Signal Warrant Analyses

Five Daily Prayer Services Only (Mondays through Thursdays)
Table 9 summarizes the projected two-way traffic volumes on $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue (major road) and the projected hourly traffic volumes on Honey Locust Drive and the access drive approaches (minor roads) for the five daily prayer services only (Mondays through Thursdays). The table also highlights which hours of the day satisfy the volume warrants. Per the MUTCD guidelines and the existing intersection characteristics, the 70 percent factored, " 1 lane and 2 lanes" volumes were used for each of the warrants. The following summarizes the results of the warrant study:

- Warrant 1 A is not met for any hour when eight hours are required
- Warrant 1B is met for one hour when eight hours are required
- Warrant $1 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{B}$ is not met for any hour when eight hours are required
- Warrant 2 is met for one hour when four hours are required
- Warrant 3 is not met for any hour when one hour is required

Table 9
TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS $248^{\mathrm{TH}}$ AVENUE WITH HONEY LOCUST DRIVE
PHASE I - FIVE DAILY PRAYER SERVICES ONLY (MONDAYS - THURSDAYS)

| Hour | $\begin{gathered} 2488^{\text {th }} \text { Ave. } \\ \text { Two-Way } \\ \text { Volume } \\ \text { (Major) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | HoneyLocust Dr.Volume(Minor) | Access <br> Drive Volume <br> (Minor) | Warrant Analyses |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Warrant 1A | Warrant 1B | Warrant 1A/B | $\begin{gathered} \text { Warrant } \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Warrant } \\ \mathbf{3} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 6:00 A.M. | 791 | 43 | 33 | No | No | No | No | No |
| 7:00 A.M. | 1,250 | 65 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No |
| 8:00 A.M. | 902 | 107 | 0 | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 9:00 A.M. | 649 | 49 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No |
| 10:00 A.M. | 552 | 25 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No |
| 11:00 A.M. | 602 | 29 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No |
| 12:00 P.M. | 626 | 34 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No |
| 1:00 P.M. | 646 | 17 | 33 | No | No | No | No | No |
| 2:00 P.M. | 744 | 16 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No |
| 3:00 P.M. | 1,117 | 43 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No |
| 4:00 P.M | 1,384 | 52 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No |
| 5:00 P.M. | 1,416 | 60 | 33 | No | No | No | No | No |
| 6:00 P.M. | 1,083 | 59 | 33 | No | No | No | No | No |
| 7:00 P.M. | 947 | 40 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No |
| Number of Hours that Meet Warrant |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Volumes Meet Warrant |  |  |  | No | No | No | No | No |

As such, a traffic signal is not warranted at the subject intersection assuming Phase I of the facility during Mondays through Thursdays when generally only the five daily prayer services occur at the facility.

Summary Traffic Signal Warrant Analyses
Five Daily Prayer Services and the Jumuah Prayer Services (Fridays)
Table 10 summarizes the projected two-way traffic volumes on $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue (major road) and the projected hourly traffic volumes on Honey Locust Drive and the access drive approaches (minor roads) for the five daily prayer services and the Jumuah prayer services which only occur on Fridays. The table also highlights which hours of the day satisfy the volume warrants. Per the MUTCD guidelines and the existing intersection characteristics, the 70 percent factored, " 1 lane and 2 lanes" volumes were used for each of the warrants. The following summarizes the results of the warrant study:

- Warrant 1 A is met for two hours when eight hours are required
- Warrant 1B is met for three hours when eight hours are required
- Warrant $1 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{B}$ is met for two hours when eight hours are required
- Warrant 2 is met for three hours when four hours are required
- Warrant 3 is met for two hours when one hour is required

While Warrant 3 (Peak Hour Volume) is met at the subject intersection assuming Phase I of the facility when the five daily prayer services and the Jumuah prayer services occur at the facility, it is important to note the following:

- The Jumuah prayer services only occur on Friday afternoons and Warrant 3 is only met at the end of the two services, which is similar to other large religious facilities that generate a higher volumes of traffic for several hours during one day of the week at the end of their main religious services.
- Warrant 3 is only met for two hours at the end of the two services and will only meet Warrant 3 for one hour when $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue is widened to two lanes in each direction.
- Similar to their other facilities, ICN has committed to use traffic control personnel and/or police officers within the facility and at the intersections of $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue with the access drives to assist with the management of traffic and to expedite the movement of traffic to and from the facility and Honey Locust Drive during the high traffic generating services at the mosque, including Friday prayer services, Ramadan, Eid, and other large functions. It is important to note that most of the other activities at the facility will not require the need for traffic control personnel.

Table 10
TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS $248^{\mathrm{TH}}$ AVENUE WITH HONEY LOCUST DRIVE
PHASE I - FIVE DAILY PARYER SERVICES AND THE JUMUAH PRAYER SERVICES (FRIDAYS)

| Hour | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 4 8}^{\text {th }} \text { Ave. } \\ \text { Two-Way } \\ \text { Volume } \\ \text { (Major) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Honey Locust Dr. Volume (Minor) | Access Drive Volume (Minor) | Warrant Analyses |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Warrant 1A | Warrant 1B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Warrant } \\ & 1 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Warrant } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Warrant } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |
| 6:00 A.M. | 791 | 43 | 33 | No | No | No | No | No |
| 7:00 A.M. | 1,250 | 65 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No |
| 8:00 A.M. | 902 | 107 | 0 | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 9:00 A.M. | 649 | 49 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No |
| 10:00 A.M. | 552 | 25 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No |
| 11:00 A.M. | 602 | 29 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No |
| 12:00 P.M. | 891 | 34 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No |
| 1:00 P.M. | 966 | 17 | 192 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 2:00 P.M. | 744 | 16 | 192 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 3:00 P.M. | 1,117 | 43 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No |
| 4:00 P.M | 1,384 | 52 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No |
| 5:00 P.M. | 1,416 | 60 | 33 | No | No | No | No | No |
| 6:00 P.M. | 1,083 | 59 | 33 | No | No | No | No | No |
| 7:00 P.M. | 947 | 40 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No |
| Number of Hours that Meet Warrant |  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| Volumes Meet Warrant |  |  |  | No | No | No | No | Yes |

## Traffic Signal Warrant Analyses - Buildout of the Facility

A traffic signal warrant analysis was performed at the subject intersection assuming the Year 2050 projected traffic volumes and the buildout of the facility.

## Projected Conditions

Access to the buildout of the facility is to be provided via the full access drive on $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue opposite Honey Locust Drive and the conversion of the north access drive to a full access drive. The access drive located opposite Honey Locust Drive will provide one inbound lane and two outbound lanes with the outbound lanes striped for an exclusive left-turn lane and a shared through/right-turn lane. In addition, the exclusive right-turn lane on Honey Locust Drive will be restriped as a shared through/right-turn lane. Further, it is assumed that the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue improvements will be completed. The Year 2050 traffic volumes consist of the existing traffic volumes increased by 30 percent to account for background growth and the buildout of the proposed facility. Figure 9 illustrates the Year 2050 peak hour traffic volumes.

## Summary Traffic Signal Warrant Analyses

A review of the projected peak hour volumes and the traffic signal warrants shows the following:

- Warrant 3 (Peak Hour Volume) will be met for at least one hour during Mondays through Fridays and for several hours on Fridays when the Jumuah prayer services occur.
- Warrant 2 (Four Hour Volume) will likely be met on all five weekdays.

As such, if the Year 2050 traffic volumes are realized, a traffic signal will likely be warranted at the subject intersection.

## 7. Conclusion

Based on the preceding analyses and recommendations, the following conclusions have been made:

- $\quad 248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue is proposed to be improved between $95^{\text {th }}$ Street and $103^{\text {rd }}$ Street, which is likely to include the widening of the road to provide two lanes in each direction separated by a landscaped median. Exclusive left-turn lanes will be provided at most intersections and access drives. According to the City of Naperville web site, "construction is expected to begin in 2024 and last for approximately 12 months".
- The proposed ICN will be located on the east side of $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue at Honey Locust Drive. As proposed the facility will be developed in five phases over 30 years that will include a mosque (Phase I), school (Phase II), multi-purpose hall (Phase III), a gymnasium (Phase IV), and the mosque expansion (Phase V).
- Access to the first phase of the facility is proposed to be provided via two access drives on $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue as follows:
- The south access drive will be located opposite Honey Locust Drive and is proposed to be and will provide full access to the facility. The access drive will provide one inbound lane and two outbound lanes separated by a raised median similar to the one provided on Honey Locust Drive. The outbound lanes will be striped for an exclusive left-turn lane and a shared through/right-turn lane and will be under stop sign control. As part of the facility, a 185 -foot left-turn lane with 200 -foot taper will be provided along southbound $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue serving the access drive.
- The north access drive will be located approximately 440 feet north of the Honey Locust Drive and will provide restricted right-turn in/right-turn out access to the facility. As proposed, the access drive will provide one inbound lane and one outbound lane channelized and striped to prohibit left-turn movements. The outbound lane will be under stop sign control.
- With the future development of the facility's other phases and the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue improvements, the north access drive is proposed to be converted from a restricted rightturn in/right-turn out to a full access drive. The full access drive will provide one inbound lane and two outbound lanes striped for an exclusive left-turn lane and an exclusive rightturn lane with outbound movements under stop sign control. In addition, a left-turn lane will be provided along southbound $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue serving the access drive. It should be noted that in order to convert the north access drive to a full access drive, the following modifications to the transportation system will be required:
- The length of the proposed $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue left-turn lane and/or taper serving the south access drive will need to be reduced by approximately 50 feet as the end of the left-turn lane taper will encroach on the location of the north full access drive.
- To accommodate a southbound left-turn lane serving the north access drive, the Tall Grass Greenway trail at-grade crossing with $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue will need to be relocated further north. It is our understanding that the Phase I study for the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue improvements is examining various alternatives for the location and design of the at-grade crossing.
- The results of the capacity analyses show that the critical movements at the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/Honey Locust/south access drive are projected to operate at LOS D or better, except the outbound left-turn movements from the access drive and Honey Locust Drive. During the morning and evening peak hours, the outbound left-turn movements are projected to operate at LOS E or F assuming the Year 2050 projected traffic volumes. This traffic will be able to exit onto $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue but may experience some additional delay during the peak periods. This is common for stop sign controlled approaches along higher volume roadways such as $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. During the Jumuah prayer services, which occur on Fridays only, the outbound left-turn movements are projected to operate at LOS F assuming no traffic management. However, ICN has committed to use traffic control personnel/police officers at this intersection to assist with the management of traffic and to expedite the movement of traffic to and from the facility and Honey Locust Drive.
- A traffic signal warrant study performed at the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/Honey Locust/south access drive shows that a traffic signal is not warranted assuming Phase I of the facility when the daily prayer services are occurring at the facility (Mondays through Thursday). While Warrant 3 (Peak Hour Volume) is met at the subject intersection assuming Phase I of the facility when the five daily prayer services and the Jumuah prayer services occur at the facility, it is important to note the following:
- The Jumuah prayer services only occur on Friday afternoons and Warrant 3 is only met at the end of the two services, which is similar to other religious facilities that generate a large volume of traffic for several hours during one day of the week at the end of their main religious services.
- Warrant 3 is only met for two hours at the end of the two services and will only meet Warrant 3 for one hour when $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue is widened to two lanes in each direction.
- Similar to their other facilities, ICN has committed to use traffic control personnel and/or police officers within the facility and at the intersections of $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue with the access drives to assist with the management of traffic and to expedite the movement of traffic to and from the facility and Honey Locust Drive during the high traffic generating services at the mosque, including Friday prayer services, Ramadan, Eid, and other large functions.
- Assuming the right-turn in/right-turn out access drive, the westbound right-turn movements at the $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/north access drive intersection is projected to operate at LOS B or better during all three peak hours. With the conversion of the access drive to a full access drive and assuming the Year 2050 projected traffic volumes, all of the critical movements are projected to operate at LOS D or better except the outbound left-turn movement. During the morning peak hour, the outbound left-turn movement is projected to operate at LOS E. This traffic will be able to exit onto $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue but may experience some additional delay during the peak periods. This is common for stop sign controlled approaches along higher volume roadways such as $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. During the Jumuah prayer services, which occur on Fridays only, the outbound left-turn movement is projected to operate at LOS F with significant delay assuming no traffic management. However, ICN has committed to use traffic control personnel/police officers at this intersection to assist with the management of traffic and to expedite the movement of traffic to and from the facility and Honey Locust Drive.
- All the critical movements at the intersections of $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/Lapp Lane and $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/Landsdown Avenue are projected to operate at LOS D or better assuming the projected traffic volumes and the existing conditions. The operation of the critical movements is projected to improve with the proposed $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue improvements. As such, these two intersections have sufficient reserve capacity to accommodate the projected traffic volumes and no additional roadway improvements are required.
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## Traffic Count Summary Sheets







Peak-Hour: 4:30 PM -- 5:30 PM
Peak 15-Min: 5:15 PM -- 5:30 PM


| 15-Min Count Period Beginning At | 248th Ave(Northbound) |  |  |  | 248th Ave (Southbound) |  |  |  | Honey Locust Dr (Eastbound) |  |  |  | Honey Locust Dr (Westbound) |  |  |  | Total | Hourly Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 5 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 189 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 351 |  |
| 4:15 PM | 2 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 192 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 356 |  |
| 4:30 PM | 4 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 12 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 370 |  |
| 4:45 PM | 6 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 203 | 15 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 361 | 1438 |
| 5:00 PM | 3 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 190 | 17 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 354 | 1441 |
| 5:15 PM | 6 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 211 | 14 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 394 | 1479 |
| 5:30 PM | 6 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 141 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 274 | 1383 |
| 5:45 PM | 7 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 174 | 14 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 333 | 1355 |
| Peak 15-Min Flowrates | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U |  |  |  |
| All Vehicles | 24 | 604 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 844 | 56 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 76 |
| Heavy Trucks Buses | 0 | 4 | 0 |  | 0 | 8 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 2 |
| Pedestrians |  | $0$ |  |  |  | $0$ |  |  |  | $0$ |  |  |  | $0$ |  |  |  | 0 |
| Bicycles Scooters | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | $0$ | 0 |  | 0 | $0$ | 0 |  | 0 | $0$ | 0 |  |  | 0 |

Comments:




## Site Plans




## CMAP Letter

# Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning 

Hon. Steve Chirico
Mayor
City of Naperville
400 South Eagle Street
Naperville, IL 60540

## Subject: 248th Avenue from 103rd Street to 95th Street - Macrane Revision

 City of NapervilleDear Mayor Chirico:
In response to a request made on your behalf and dated November 15, 2019, we have developed year 2050 average daily traffic (ADT) projections for the subject location. Please see the table on the next page for projections.

Traffic projections are developed using existing ADT data provided in the request letter and the results from the October 2019 CMAP Travel Demand Analysis. The regional travel model uses CMAP 2050 socioeconomic projections and assumes the implementation of the ON TO 2050 Comprehensive Regional Plan for the Northeastern Illinois area.

If you have any questions, please call me at (312) 386-8806.
Sincerely,


Jose Rodriguez, PTP, AICP

Senior Planner, Research \& Analysis

[^1]Table: Year 2050 ADT, Build (Conformity October 2019) and No-Build Scenario, $248^{\text {th }}$ Street from $103^{\text {rd }}$ Street to $95^{\text {th }}$ Street

| ROAD SEGMENT | Current Volume | Year 2050 ADT | Year 2050 No-Build ADT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 248th Ave N of 103rd | 13,000 | 17,500 | 16,200 |
| 248th Ave S of 103rd | 13,200 | 18,900 | 18,100 |
| 103rd St E of 248th | 4,300 | 6,100 | 6,200 |
| 103rd St W of 248th | 1,900 | 2,500 | 2,700 |
| 248th Ave N of Arrowwood | 12,900 | 17,200 | 15,900 |
| 248th Ave S of Arrowwood | 13,000 | 17,500 | 16,200 |
| Arrowwood Rd W of 248th | 100 | 150 | 150 |
| 248th Ave N of Landsdown Ave | 13,400 | 17,800 | 16,500 |
| 248th Ave S of Landsdown Ave | 12,900 | 17,200 | 15,900 |
| Landsdown Ave E of 248th | 800 | 1,050 | 1,050 |
| 248th Ave N of Honey Locust | 14,300 | 18,800 | 17,500 |
| 248th Ave S of Honey Locust | 13,400 | 17,800 | 16,200 |
| Honey Locust Dr W of 248th | 1,300 | 1,700 | 1,700 |
| 248th Ave N of Lapp Lane | 14,900 | 19,600 | 18,300 |
| 248th Ave S of Lapp Lane | 14,300 | 18,800 | 17,500 |
| Lapp Lane E of 248th | 600 | 800 | 800 |
| 248th Ave N of Trumpet | 11,900 | 16,800 | 14,500 |
| 248th Ave S of Trumpet | 14,900 | 19,600 | 18,300 |
| Trumpet Ave W of 248th | 4,100 | 6,500 | 6,200 |
| 248th Ave N of Grassmere | 12,300 | 17,000 | 14,700 |
| 248th Ave S of Grassmere | 11,900 | 16,800 | 14,500 |
| Grassmere Rd E of 248th | 800 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Macrane St N of 95th | 2,700 | 2,900 | 2,900 |
| 248th St S of 95th | 12,300 | 17,000 | 14,700 |
| 95th St E of 248th | 20,500 | 29,000 | 28,800 |
| 95th St W of 248th | 16,200 | 21,000 | 22,000 |

Finalized by CMAP, November 18, 2019 with exception of Macrane Street-Revised December 2, 2019

## Level of Service Table

## LEVEL OF SERVICE CRITERIA



## Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets

Weekday Morning Peak Hour - Existing Conditions

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 4 | 20 | 996 | 4 | 4 | 424 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 4 | 20 | 996 | 4 | 4 | 424 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 4 | 22 | 1083 | 4 | 4 | 461 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1554 | 1085 | 0 | 0 | 1087 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 1085 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 469 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 126 | 266 | - | - | 649 | - |
| Stage 1 | 327 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 634 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 125 | 266 | - | - | 649 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 125 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 324 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 634 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 23.2 |  | 0 |  | 0.1 |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 224 | 649 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.116 | 0.007 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 23.2 | 10.6 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | C | B | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.4 | 0 | - |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 96 | 868 | 4 | 8 | 412 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 96 | 868 | 4 | 8 | 412 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 101 | 914 | 4 | 8 | 434 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1366 | 916 | 0 | 0 | 918 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 916 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 450 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 164 | 330 | - | - | 752 | - |
| Stage 1 | 393 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 647 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 162 | 330 | - | - | 752 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 162 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 387 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 647 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 20.7 |  | 0 |  | 0.2 |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 330 | 752 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.306 | 0.011 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 20.7 | 9.8 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | C | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 1.3 | 0 | - |

## Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets

Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour - Existing Conditions

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 4 | 312 | 0 | 4 | 360 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 4 | 312 | 0 | 4 | 360 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, $\#$ | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 4 | 347 | 0 | 4 | 400 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | $\mathbf{7}$ | 1 | 4 | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 4 | 8 | 8 | 308 | 344 | 16 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 4 | 8 | 8 | 308 | 344 | 16 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | 185 | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 1 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 6 |
| Mvmt Flow | 4 | 9 | 9 | 342 | 382 | 18 |



| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.1 | 0.2 | 0 |

HCM LOS B

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 EBLn2 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1170 | - | 488 | 662 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 8 | 16 | 300 | 4 | 12 | 340 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 8 | 16 | 300 | 4 | 12 | 340 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 9 | 18 | 341 | 5 | 14 | 386 |



## Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets <br> Weekday Evening Peak Hour - Existing Conditions

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 12 | 24 | 624 | 12 | 12 | 856 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 12 | 24 | 624 | 12 | 12 | 856 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 13 | 25 | 650 | 13 | 13 | 892 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1575 | 657 | 0 | 0 | 663 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 657 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 918 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 122 | 468 | - | - | 935 | - |
| Stage 1 | 519 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 392 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 119 | 468 | - | - | 935 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 119 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 504 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 392 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 23 |  | 0 |  | 0.1 |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 237 | 935 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.158 | 0.013 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 23 | 8.9 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | C | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.6 | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | 4 | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 32 | 16 | 24 | 604 | 812 | 56 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 32 | 16 | 24 | 604 | 812 | 56 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | 185 | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 1 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 34 | 17 | 26 | 643 | 864 | 60 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 4 | 48 | 580 | 12 | 28 | 800 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 4 | 48 | 580 | 12 | 28 | 800 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 99 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 99 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 4 | 48 | 586 | 12 | 28 | 808 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1456 | 592 | 0 | 0 | 598 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 592 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 864 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 144 | 510 | - | - | 989 | - |
| Stage 1 | 557 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 416 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 137 | 510 | - | - | 989 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 137 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 529 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 416 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 14.7 |  | 0 |  | 0.3 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 422 | 989 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.124 | 0.029 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 14.7 | 8.7 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.4 | 0.1 | - |

## Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets Weekday Morning Peak Hour - Year 2025 Traffic with Existing Roadway Conditions

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{T}$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 4 | 21 | 1046 | 4 | 4 | 445 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 4 | 21 | 1046 | 4 | 4 | 445 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 4 | 23 | 1137 | 4 | 4 | 484 |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Yr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 101 | 911 | 4 | 8 | 433 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 101 | 911 | 4 | 8 | 433 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 106 | 959 | 4 | 8 | 456 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1433 | 961 | 0 | 0 | 963 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 961 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 472 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 149 | 311 | - | - | 723 | - |
| Stage 1 | 374 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 632 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 147 | 311 | - | - | 723 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 147 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 368 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 632 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 22.5 |  | 0 |  | 0.2 |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 311 | 723 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.342 | 0.012 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 22.5 | 10 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | C | B | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 1.5 | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 1050 | 0 | 0 | 449 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 1050 | 0 | 0 | 449 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 1105 | 0 | 0 | 473 |



## Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour - Year 2025 Traffic with Existing Roadway Conditions

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 3 | 4 | 507 | 13 | 4 | 567 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 3 | 4 | 507 | 13 | 4 | 567 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 3 | 4 | 563 | 14 | 4 | 630 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1208 | 570 | 0 | 0 | 577 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 570 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 638 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 204 | 525 | - | - | 1006 | - |
| Stage 1 | 570 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 530 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 203 | 525 | - | - | 1006 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 203 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 567 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 530 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 16.8 |  | 0 |  | 0.1 |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 313 | 1006 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.025 | 0.004 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 16.8 | 8.6 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | C | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.1 | 0 | - |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Intersection }}{}$ Int Delay, s/veh 63.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 4 | 3 | 8 | 125 | 3 | 64 | 8 | 359 | 90 | 192 | 362 | 16 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 4 | 3 | 8 | 125 | 3 | 64 | 8 | 359 | 90 | 192 | 362 | 16 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 185 | - | - | 215 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 90 | 90 | 55 | 55 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 |
| Mvmt Flow | 4 | 3 | 9 | 227 | 5 | 116 | 9 | 399 | 164 | 349 | 402 | 18 |



| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBRE | EBLn1 | EBLn2W | VBLn1W | VBLn2 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1150 | - | - | 52 | 267 | 126 | 518 | 1019 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.008 | - | - | 0.085 | 0.046 | 1.804 | 0.235 | 0.343 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.2 | - | - | 80.6 | $19.1 \$$ | 450.8 | 14.1 | 10.4 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - |  | F | C | F | B | B | - |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 |  |  | 0.3 | 0.1 | 17.5 | 0.9 | 1.5 | - |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sim$ : Volume exceeds capacity | \$: Delay exceeds 300s |  |  |  | +: Computation Not Defined |  |  |  | *: All major volume in platoon |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 8 | 20 | 437 | 4 | 15 | 480 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 8 | 20 | 437 | 4 | 15 | 480 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 9 | 23 | 497 | 5 | 17 | 545 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1079 | 500 | 0 | 0 | 502 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 500 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 579 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | - | - | 4.18 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.272 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 244 | 575 | - | - | 1032 | - |
| Stage 1 | 613 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 564 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 238 | 575 | - | - | 1032 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 238 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 598 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 564 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 14.5 |  | 0 |  | 0.3 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 409 | 1032 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.078 | 0.017 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 14.5 | 8.5 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.3 | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 128 | 392 | 35 | 0 | 570 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 128 | 392 | 35 | 0 | 570 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 50 | 55 | 95 | 55 | 50 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 233 | 413 | 64 | 0 | 600 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 445 | 0 | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy | - | 6.2 | - | - | - |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 14.3 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -617 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.377 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | -14.3 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | $B$ |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 1.8 |
| H | - |  |  |

## Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets Weekday Evening Peak Hour - Year 2025 Traffic with Existing Roadway Conditions

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | M |  | F |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 13 | 25 | 688 | 13 | 12 | 930 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 13 | 25 | 688 | 13 | 12 | 930 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 14 | 26 | 717 | 14 | 13 | 969 |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 4 | 51 | 629 | 12 | 30 | 859 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 4 | 51 | 629 | 12 | 30 | 859 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 99 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 99 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 4 | 52 | 635 | 12 | 30 | 868 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1569 | 641 | 0 | 0 | 647 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 641 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 928 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 123 | 478 | - | - | 948 | - |
| Stage 1 | 528 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 388 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 115 | 478 | - | - | 948 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 115 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 496 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 388 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 15.8 |  | 0 |  | 0.3 |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 389 | 948 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.143 | 0.032 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 15.8 | 8.9 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | C | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.5 | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 22 | 679 | 6 | 0 | 943 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 22 | 679 | 6 | 0 | 943 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 23 | 715 | 6 | 0 | 993 |



| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 13.8 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -432 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.054 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | -13.8 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.2 |
| H | - |  |  |

Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets
Weekday Morning Peak Hour - Year 2025 Traffic with $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Improvements

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mi |  | 作 |  | i | 个4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 4 | 21 | 1046 | 4 | 4 | 445 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 4 | 21 | 1046 | 4 | 4 | 445 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 215 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 1 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 4 | 23 | 1137 | 4 | 4 | 484 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{1 /}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 46 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1004 | 0 | 0 | 420 | 29 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 46 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1004 | 0 | 0 | 420 | 29 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 185 | - | - | 215 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 12 |
| Mvmt Flow | 49 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1080 | 0 | 0 | 452 | 31 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | * |  | 中 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 中4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 101 | 911 | 4 | 8 | 433 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 101 | 911 | 4 | 8 | 433 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 215 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 1 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 106 | 959 | 4 | 8 | 456 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1205 | 482 | 0 | 0 | 963 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 961 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 244 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.8 | 6.94 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.8 |  | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.32 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 179 | 530 | - | - | 723 | - |
| Stage 1 | 337 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 780 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 177 | 530 | - | - | 723 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 274 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 333 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 780 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 13.5 |  | 0 |  | 0.2 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 530 | 723 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.201 | 0.012 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 13.5 | 10 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.7 | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\mathbf{7}$ | 个t |  |  | 44 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 1050 | 0 | 0 | 449 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 1050 | 0 | 0 | 449 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 1 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 1105 | 0 | 0 | 473 |



## Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets

 Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour - Year 2025 Traffic with $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Improvements| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | * |  | 㻢 |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 3 | 4 | 507 | 13 | 4 | 567 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 3 | 4 | 507 | 13 | 4 | 567 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 215 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 1 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 3 | 4 | 563 | 14 | 4 | 630 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 893 | 289 | 0 | 0 | 577 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 570 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 323 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.8 | 6.9 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 285 | 714 | - | - | 1006 | - |
| Stage 1 | 535 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 712 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 284 | 714 | - | - | 1006 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 402 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 533 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 712 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.8 |  | 0 |  | 0.1 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 536 | 1006 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.015 | 0.004 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 11.8 | 8.6 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |




| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR EBLn1 EBLn2WBLn1WBLn2 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1150 | - | - | 146 | 283 | 148 | 612 | 1019 | - |

## Notes

$\sim$ : Volume exceeds capacity $\$$ : Delay exceeds 300s $\quad+$ : Computation Not Defined $\quad$ : All major volume in platoon

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | 作 |  | 1 | 个4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 8 | 20 | 437 | 4 | 15 | 480 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 8 | 20 | 437 | 4 | 15 | 480 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 215 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 1 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 9 | 23 | 497 | 5 | 17 | 545 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 807 | 251 | 0 | 0 | 502 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 500 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 307 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.8 | 6.9 | - | - | 4.26 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.28 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 323 | 755 | - | - | 1018 | - |
| Stage 1 | 580 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 725 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 318 | 755 | - | - | 1018 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 430 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 570 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 725 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.1 |  | 0 |  | 0.3 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 621 | 1018 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.051 | 0.017 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 11.1 | 8.6 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.2 | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\mathbf{7}$ | 个 |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 128 | 392 | 35 | 0 | 570 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 128 | 392 | 35 | 0 | 570 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 1 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 50 | 55 | 95 | 55 | 50 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 233 | 413 | 64 | 0 | 600 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 239 | 0 | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.7 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -768 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.303 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | -11.7 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | $B$ |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 1.3 |
| H | - |  |  |

## Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets <br> Weekday Evening Peak Hour - Year 2025 Traffic with $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Improvements

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL |  |
| Lane Configurations | * |  |  |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 13 | 25 | 688 | 13 | 12 | 930 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 13 | 25 | 688 | 13 | 12 | 930 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 215 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 1 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 14 | 26 | 717 | 14 | 13 | 969 |





| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR EBLn1 EBLn2WBLn1WBLn2 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 718 | - | - | 191 | 483 | 235 | 537 | 909 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.037 | - | -0.189 | 0.04 | 0.095 | 0.024 | 0.039 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.2 | - | - | 28.2 | 12.8 | 21.9 | 11.9 | 9.1 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | D | B | C | B | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | * |  |  |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 4 | 51 | 629 | 12 | 30 | 859 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 4 | 51 | 629 | 12 | 30 | 859 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None |  | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 1 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 99 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 99 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 4 | 52 | 635 | 12 | 30 | 868 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1135 | 324 | 0 | 0 | 647 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 641 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 494 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.8 | 6.9 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 199 | 678 | - | - | 948 | - |
| Stage 1 | 492 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 584 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 193 | 678 | - | - | 948 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 321 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 476 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 584 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.3 |  | 0 |  | 0.3 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 627 | 948 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.089 | 0.032 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 11.3 | 8.9 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.3 | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\mathbf{7}$ | 个 |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 22 | 679 | 6 | 0 | 943 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 22 | 679 | 6 | 0 | 943 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 1 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 23 | 715 | 6 | 0 | 993 |



Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets
Weekday Morning Peak Hour - Year 2050 Traffic with $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Improvements

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | * |  | 中 ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |  | * | 中4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 26 | 1397 | 5 | 5 | 660 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 26 | 1397 | 5 | 5 | 660 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | Frer | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 215 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 1 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 7 | 28 | 1518 | 5 | 5 | 717 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1890 | 762 | 0 | 0 | 1523 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 1521 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 369 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.8 | 6.9 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 63 | 352 | - | - | 444 | - |
| Stage 1 | 170 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 675 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 62 | 352 | - | - | 444 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 139 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 168 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 675 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 20.1 |  | 0 |  | 0.1 |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 273 | 444 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.127 | 0.012 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 20.1 | 13.2 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | C | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.4 | 0 | - |




| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR EBLn1 EBLn2WBLn1WBLn2 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 968 | - | - | 165 | 464 | 113 | 359 | 485 | - |

## Notes

~: Volume exceeds capacity $\$$ : Delay exceeds 300s $\quad+$ : Computation Not Defined $\quad$ : All major volume in platoon

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | * |  | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 127 | 1205 | 5 | 12 | 597 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 127 | 1205 | 5 | 12 | 597 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 215 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 1 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 134 | 1268 | 5 | 13 | 628 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{i}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{F}$ |  | $\mathbf{T}$ | 个中 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 20 | 34 | 1368 | 18 | 40 | 626 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 20 | 34 | 1368 | 18 | 40 | 626 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | - | 215 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 1 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 21 | 36 | 1440 | 19 | 42 | 659 |



## Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets

 Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour - Year 2050 Traffic with $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Improvements| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement W | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL |  |
| Lane Configurations | * |  | 中 ${ }^{\text {F }}$ |  | * | 中4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 5 | 757 | 19 | 5 | 813 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 5 | 757 | 19 | 5 | 813 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None |  | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 215 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 1 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 7 | 6 | 841 | 21 | 6 | 903 |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Intersection }}{}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | * | 中t |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 5 | 6 | 11 | 136 | 6 | 140 | 11 | 503 | 146 | 140 | 540 | 21 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 6 | 11 | 136 | 6 | 140 | 11 | 503 | 146 | 140 | 540 | 21 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 185 | - | - | 215 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 50 | 90 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 90 | 90 | 50 | 50 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 |
| Mvmt Flow | 6 | 12 | 12 | 272 | 12 | 280 | 12 | 559 | 292 | 280 | 600 | 23 |



| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR EBLn1 EBLn2WBLn1WBLn2 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 968 | - | - | 50 | 121 | 128 | 503 | 796 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.013 | - | -0.111 | 0.2 | 2.125 | 0.581 | 0.352 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.8 | - | - | 85.8 | $42.1 \$ 587.9$ | 21.6 | 12 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | F | E | F | C | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | 0.4 | 0.7 | 22.5 | 3.6 | 1.6 | - | - |

## Notes

~: Volume exceeds capacity $\$$ : Delay exceeds 300s $\quad+$ : Computation Not Defined $\quad$ : All major volume in platoon

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | * |  |  |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 11 | 27 | 633 | 5 | 22 | 665 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 11 | 27 | 633 | 5 | 22 | 665 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 215 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 1 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 13 | 31 | 719 | 6 | 25 | 756 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | T | $\mathbf{7}$ | 个 |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 90 | 208 | 568 | 80 | 208 | 611 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 90 | 208 | 568 | 80 | 208 | 611 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | - | 215 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 1 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 50 | 50 | 95 | 50 | 50 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 180 | 416 | 598 | 160 | 416 | 643 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1832 | 379 | 0 | 0 | 758 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 678 | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 1154 | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 15.9 | 0 | 5.1 |

HCMLOS C


## Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets <br> Weekday Evening Peak Hour - Year 2050 Traffic with $248^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Improvements

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement W | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | * |  |  |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 44 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 14 | 32 | 929 | 18 | 16 | 1230 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 14 | 32 | 929 | 18 | 16 | 1230 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control S | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 215 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 1 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 15 | 33 | 968 | 19 | 17 | 1281 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1653 | 494 | 0 | 0 | 987 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 978 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 675 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.8 | 6.9 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 91 | 526 | - | - | 708 | - |
| Stage 1 | 330 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 473 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 89 | 526 | - | - | 708 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 211 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 322 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 473 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 16.5 |  | 0 |  | 0.1 |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 362 | 708 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.132 | 0.024 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 16.5 | 10.2 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | C | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.5 | 0.1 | - |




| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR EBLn1 EBLn2WBLn1WBLn2 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 571 | - | - | 129 | 366 | 167 | 473 | 752 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.058 | - | -0.346 | 0.067 | 0.312 | 0.09 | 0.042 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 11.7 | - | - | 47 | 15.5 | 36 | 13.4 | 10 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | $E$ | C | E | B | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.2 | - | - | 1.4 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | * |  |  |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 5 | 64 | 830 | 16 | 38 | 1117 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 64 | 830 | 16 | 38 | 1117 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 1 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 99 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 99 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 5 | 65 | 838 | 16 | 38 | 1128 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{F}$ |  | $\mathbf{T}$ | 个中 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 25 | 76 | 871 | 18 | 81 | 1163 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 25 | 76 | 871 | 18 | 81 | 1163 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | - | 215 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 1 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 26 | 80 | 917 | 19 | 85 | 1224 |




[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ IDOT DISCLAIMER: The motor vehicle crash data referenced herein was provided by the Illinois Department of Transportation. Any conclusions drawn from analysis of the aforementioned data are the sole responsibility of the data recipient(s). Additionally, for coding years 2015 to present, the Bureau of Data Collection uses the exact latitude/longitude supplied by the investigating law enforcement agency to locate crashes. Therefore, location data may vary in previous years since data prior to 2015 was physically located by bureau personnel.

[^1]:    cc: Tibble (Civiltech)
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