Commissioner Urda agrees with staff and is in support of the proposal.

A motion was made by Commissioner Ory and seconded by Commissioner Doyle to approve COA #19-487 in order to remove and replace the front porch and change the porch style from a low knee wall to a railing with balusters and piers for the property located at 15 N. Columbia.

Aye: Doyle, Garrison, Vice Chair Jacks, Noel, Ory, Eveslage, Chairman Peterson, Urda

Nays: None

 Provide a recommendation on the proposed rezoning of the properties bounded by School Street, Wright Street, Columbia Street, and Franklin Avenue to the CU Zoning District (Little Friends)- PZC 19-1-18

Commissioner Ory recused.

Kathy West, attorney for petitioner, Jim Godo and Michael Hudson, representatives of North Central College, gave a presentation on the request providing information on the history of the site and the Colleges timeline for acquisition.

Public Comment:

Eric Haugsnes questioned if the property would remain in the historic district after rezoning and asked to see drawings of the proposal. Mr. Haugsnes asked for the permitted height in the CU district.

Kathy West responded stating the college is asking for a recommendation by the HPC to rezone the property from the R2 district to the CU district. West clarified the College will submit an additional COA for any future developments. Michael Hudson stated the permitted height is a maximum of 50' in the CU district.

Bob Baiyor asked for the height of the science center.

Michael Hudson stated the science center is under 50'.

Chairman Peterson questioned when the R2 district was amended to no longer include CU uses.

Kathy West provided information on the history of the CU district stating North Central College properties were rezoned to CU once the district was established and the R2 district was amended to eliminate college/university uses.

Commissioner Urda inquired why the college felt this was the appropriate time to rezone.

Jim Godo responded stating the existence of the CU zoning would provide the college guidance for how to develop the site in the future prior to acquisition.

Commissioner Urda clarified that another COA would be submitted for the future development of the site and that public input would be very important at that time.

Kathy West, Mike Hudson, and Jim Godo stated the college understands community outreach is an important part of the process and neighborhood meetings would be scheduled for any proposed development at the site.

Wright King asked if the setbacks would change with the rezoning request. King raised concern for setbacks stating the new science center is not setback far enough and it seems out of place in the district.

Kathy West responded stating, if rezoned, the CU setbacks would be applicable.

Sandy Meyer said that many people had concerns with the science center and finds the district requirements may not be strict enough for future developments. Meyer asked if the property can be purchased and the rezoning can occur once plans are in place.

Jim Godo stated that was not part of the request and that the rezoning would provide the college with guidance on what can be done on the property.

Erik Haugsnes asked for the setbacks between the R2 and CU districts.

Staff stated a building setback of not less than 25' shall serve the boundary of the College.

Commissioner Urda asked if all the properties on the college campus are zoned CU.

Jim Godo responded yes. Mike Hudson said they are going to do everything that is stated in the North Central College Master Land Use Plan.

Pat Arthur asked why the college wishes to leave the historic district and asked if the buildings will be torn down.

Kathy West responded saying the properties will still remain in the historic district but will be rezoned to CU. Mike Hudson stated the college does not know the plan for the property.

Sandy Meyer asked what would become of the property if it was not rezoned or acquired by the college.

Jim Godo responded in the absence of rezoning, the College would not have any guidance for the property. Godo stated the College is unaware of what would happen to the property if it was not acquired.

Laura Decker asked for the height differences between the R2 and CU districts.

Staff stated the maximum height in the CU district is 4 stories not to exceed 50 feet. In the R2 district, 2 ½ stories not to exceed 35 feet.

Erik Haugsnes asked for the FAR in the CU district.

West responded there is not FAR in the CU district.

Sandy Meyer asked for the setback of the current buildings.

Mike Briggs, president and CEO of Little Friends, said the majority of the buildings are setback 25'. Mike Hudson said any proposal would be in line with the district requirements which are a minimum of 25' setback.

Pat Arthur asked if variances would be required if the zoning were to stay R2.

West stated the property cannot remain R2 because the R2 district does not allow for any college/university use.

Eric Haugsnes asked if the subject property was grandfathered in.

West stated that schools are permitted in R2.

Commissioner Eveslage asked why the college did not rezone the property in 1989.

West said the property was sold to Little Friends in 1989 and Little Friends was already using the property at that time.

Commissioner Jacks asked if staff was supporting the request and asked if Little Friends was in support of the request.

Staff said they are in support of the rezoning. Mike Briggs stated Little Friends is in support of the request.

Councilwoman Anderson raised concern for the dozens of houses that have been lost to accommodate the College and the light pollution the Science Center created. Councilwoman Anderson requested for more respect for the residents in the area and encourages residents to attend meetings and speak out.

Chairman Peterson read the permitted uses in the CU district for consideration of the Commission.

Commissioner Urda feels this is a great place for university to expand and finds it would impact the district far less.

Commissioner Noel raised concern for future increased traffic on Columbia Street if the property is to be redeveloped. Commissioner Noel stated Columbia is already very busy.

Councilwoman Anderson asked if staff can look into other college/universities

that are situated in a historic district and find out how they handle the impact.

Staff stated the North Central College Master Land Use Plan was created to reduce impact on the historic district and provide the College with guidance on any redevelopments.

Pat Arthur asked if the historic district follows state statute.

West said the district is a local historic district created by the City.

Pat Arthur asked if the HPC will be rezoning the property.

Chairman Peterson stated they HPC will be making a recommendation, the request will need to be reviewed by the Planning and Zoning Commission and the City Council.

Commissioner Garrison stated there is clear communication if any plans were proposed, a COA would be submitted.

A motion was made by Commissioner Doyle and seconded by Commissioner Urda to provide a positive recommendation on the request to rezone the properties bounded by School Street, Wright Street, Columbia Street, and Franklin Avenue to the CU Zoning District.

Aye: Doyle, Garrison, Vice Chair Jacks, Eveslage, Chairman Peterson, Urda

Nays: Noel Recused: Ory

 Consider the Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) request to demolish the existing single family residential home and construct a new single family residential home on the property located at 26 N. Sleight- COA 19-402

Dan Kittilsen, builder for the homeowners Christopher and Mary Ann Yep, provided an overview of the proposed demolition and rebuild of the single family home at 26 N. Sleight.

Public Comment:

Carl Webber raised concern with preservation of the existing trees and believes the home should be restored regardless of cost. Mr. Webber requested the Commission give thought to the state of 32 S. Sleight.

Dan Kittilsen responded stating several trees will remain.

Mr. Yep stated while they appreciate history and nature, the property at 26 N. Sleight cannot be restored.

Bob Baiyor questioned the cost estimation that was provided by the petitioner.

Commissioner Jacks believed the cost estimation to be accurate.

Karen Soloman stated that all repairs in the historic district are pricey, but the

NAPERVILLE BOARD AND COMMISSION DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST IN CONTRACT, BUSINESS, OR TRANSACTION

1-13-6: BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS: It shall be lawful for members of the various boards and commissions of the City, established from time to time by the ordinances of the City and the statutes of the state, to have an ownership, employment, or family interest in any contract, business, or transaction with the City, provided that said member shall file with the City Manager and with the chairman or vice chairman of the member's board or commission, the following disclosure:

Agenda Date & Item Number: 3/21/19 E2 PZC 19-1-18
Name: Emily Ory
Position with City: Commissioner on HPC
Name of entity contracting with City: North Central College
Proposed contract, business, or transaction: rezoning of Little Friends property
I have an [] Ownership [] Employment [] Family [] Appearance of Impropriety [χ] Other
interest in the proposed contract, business, or transaction as follows: <u>I sit on the Board of Trustee Associates</u>
with North Central College
Dated: 3831199
Signature:

- 1. Said disclosure shall be filed before any board or commission (including the member's own) or the City Council considers approval of the proposed contract, business, or transaction.
- 2. In the event the member's own board or commission is to review or recommend upon such contract, business, or transaction, the member shall leave the chamber where deliberations take place during the discussion and vote on the interested matter and such member shall have no vote upon the matter.
- 3. The disclosure form filed by said member shall be attached to and made a part of the minutes of the meeting of said board or commission and shall be attached to and made a part of the report or recommendation made by said board or commission to the City Council, the City Manager, or any other board or commission of the City to whom such report or recommendation is rendered. Such disclosure shall be included with the agenda when the matter is proposed for approval by the City Council.
- 4. Any member of a board or commission who knowingly fails to file a disclosure required by this Section shall be subject to immediate removal from such board or commission by the City Council.

Rev. 10/18/18 Page 1 of 2

1-13-1: - DEFINITIONS: The following definitions shall apply to this Chapter:

BUSINESS OR TRANSACTION:	Licenses, permits, approvals, and benefits granted, given, or entered into by the City. Business or transaction restrictions shall not apply to those applicants exempted under Section 1-12-5 of this Title, unless specifically hereinafter provided.
CONTRACT:	Any agreement between the City of Naperville and any person, firm, corporation, or entity for the purchase of materials, goods, or services or real estate from the entity. Contract shall also mean any agreement whereby real estate, materials, goods, or services are sold by the City to the entity. Contract shall also mean a deposit of monies, loans, or other financial services provided to the City by banks and other thrift institutions. Contract shall include employment by the City.
EMPLOYMENT INTEREST:	An employment interest exists where a person is an employee or agent of the entity contracting or having any business or transaction with the City, and: 1. The person is compensated in a manner so that he would receive a commission, bonus, or profit sharing distribution based in whole, or in part, on the contract, business, or transaction with the City, or 2. The total of all contracts, business, or transactions between the City and the entity, in any calendar year, amount to more than twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000.00). An employment interest shall not include employment by another unit of government.
FAMILY INTEREST:	A family interest exists where a person has a wife, husband, son, daughter, father, mother, brother, or sister who has an ownership or employment interest in a contract, business, or transaction with the City.
OWNERSHIP INTEREST:	An ownership interest exists where a person is the sole proprietor, owner, partner, stockholder, or joint venturer of the entity contracting, or having any business or transaction with the City.

1-12-5: - EXEMPTIONS:

1.11. Block party permits.

1. Disclosures in accordance with the provisions of this Chapter shall not be required of applicants for the following described contracts, permits, licenses, approvals or benefits:

1.1. Vehicle Stickers. 1.12. Going out of business permits. 1.2. Parking Permits. 1.13. House moving permits. 1.3. Bicycles licenses. 1.14. Parade permits. 1.4. Dog licenses. 1.15. Peddlers' and solicitors' permits. 1.5. Electrical contractor's licenses. 1.16. Raffle permits.

1.6. Plumbing contractor's licenses. 1.17. Purchase orders or contracts involving amounts less than ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00). 1.7. Sidewalk contractor's licenses.

1.8. Alarm permits. 1.18. Sign permits (if no variation is requested). 1.9. Amplifier permits.

1.19. Applications for historic preservation district designation.

1.10. Taxicab, liver, limousine and carriage licenses. 1.20. Applications for landmark designation.

1.21. Applications for utility service.

2. Disclosures in accordance with the provisions of this Chapter shall not be required to be under oath for building permits for the following structures:

2.1 Decks. 2.6. Swim pools. 2.2. Patios. 2.7. Lawn sprinklers. 2.3. Room Additions. 2.8. Construction trailers.

2.4. Garages. 2.9. Gazebos. 2.5. Temporary electric service changes. 2.10. Sheds.

1-13-8: - NO DISCLOSURE REQUIRED: Notwithstanding any other provision of this Chapter, no disclosure is required under Sections 1-13-6 and 1-13-7 of this Chapter where the only interest is five percent (5%) or less ownership of shares of a publicly held corporation traded on a stock exchange.

Rev. 10/18/18 Page 2 of 2