## Memorandum

TO: Mr. Wilhelm Kreuzer TRG Acquisitions, LLC<br>FROM: Stephen B. Corcoran, P.E., PTOE<br>Director of Traffic Engineering<br>DATE: $\quad$ November 30, 2018<br>RE: Culver's and Circle K<br>Traffic Study<br>Naperville, Illinois

This memorandum summarizes a traffic study conducted for a Culver's restaurant and a Circle K gas station with a convenience store/car wash in Naperville, Illinois. The site is located between Naper Boulevard and Naperville-Warrenville Road north of the former Fair Oaks car dealership and south of the Bueno Beef restaurant. Culver's will have a 4,459 square foot restaurant with a drive-thru window. The Circle K gas station will have 20 fueling positions, a 4,435 square foot convenience store, and a car wash. Access to the development will be provided by one access drive on Naper Boulevard and one drive on Naperville-Warrenville Road. The purpose of the study was to observe the existing traffic patterns in the area of the site, estimate the traffic generated by the changes in the site plan, and then identify strategies to address any traffic issues.

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

## Site Location and Area Land-Use

The subject site was formerly occupied by the Great Lake Credit Union and its building will be demolished as part of the plan. Retail shopping centers are located east and west of the site and a Bueno Beef fast food restaurant is located to the north. The vacant Fair Oaks car dealership is located to the south. Figure 1 illustrates the site and the surrounding land-uses and roads. (Note: all figures are located at the end of the report).

## Roadway Characteristics

The subject property benefits from two existing full access points on the following roadways:
Naperville-Warrenville Road (DuPage Route 23) is a north-south Major Collector extending south from Naper Boulevard to Old Plank Road. Along the site frontage, it has two through lanes in each direction with no median. An existing full access drive serves the site from Naperville-Warrenville Road. It is under the jurisdiction of the City of Naperville with a 40 mph posted speed limit.
Naper Boulevard (DuPage Route 23) is a north-south Other Principal Arterial with two travel lanes in each direction. At its signalized intersection with Tower Plaza (the retail center to the east) and the subject property, it has a shared thru/right-turn lane, a thru lane, and a separate left-turn lane on the north approach and a separate right-turn lane, two thru lanes, and a separate left-turn lane on the south approach. The current signalized access to the site for the closed credit union is temporarily blocked. It is under the jurisdiction of DuPage Division of Transportation and has a 40 mph posted speed limit.

## Existing Traffic Volumes

Weekday morning (7:00 to 9:00 AM), midday (11:30 AM-1:30 PM) and afternoon (4:00 to 6:00 PM) traffic counts were conducted at the Naper Boulevard at the Tower Crossing access (traffic signal) and at Naperville-Warrenville Road at the Ogden Mall and Minuteman Plaza service drives. These counts showed the peak-hours of traffic occurring from 7:00 to 8:00 AM, 12:00 to 1:00 PM, and 4:30 to 5:30 PM on a weekday. Naper Boulevard carries a high volume of two-way traffic with 1,800 to 2,700 vehicles per hour (vph). Naperville-Warrenville Road next to the site carries 800 and 1,200 vph during the peak hours.
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There was minimal traffic using the Minuteman Plaza driveway. The existing traffic volumes are shown in Figure 2 and included in the Appendix.

## SITE TRAFFIC CHARACTERISTICS

## Trip Generation

The additional traffic generated by the development was estimated from data in the Institute of Transportation Engineer's Trip Generation $10^{\text {th }}$ Ed. manual which contains trip generation surveys of fast food restaurants and gas stations with convenience stores/car washes. The resulting site traffic volumes are shown in Table 1. The ITE Trip Generation $10^{\text {th }}$ Ed. manual also notes than many of the trips to a gas station and restaurant are drawn from vehicles traveling past the site today. These pass-by trips are existing vehicles that would stop and then continue on with their original trip to work or home which minimizes the overall increase the overall traffic on the road system.

Table 1
Site Traffic Volumes

| Use | Size | Trip Type | Morning Peak |  |  | Midday Peak |  |  | Evening Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Culver's ${ }^{(1)}$ <br> Restaurant | 4,459 sq. ft. | New Trips | - | - | - | 75 | 75 | 150 | 35 | 35 | 70 |
|  |  | Pass-By Trips | - | - | - | 35 | 35 | 70 | 35 | 35 | 70 |
| Circle $\mathbf{K}^{(2)}$Gas Station With C-Store and Car Wash | 20 fueling positions | New Trips | 110 | 110 | 220 | 105 | 105 | 210 | 100 | 100 | 200 |
|  |  | Pass-By Trips | 170 | 170 | 340 | 105 | 105 | 210 | 130 | 130 | 260 |
| Development Total |  | New Trips | 110 | 110 | 220 | 180 | 180 | 360 | 135 | 135 | 270 |
|  |  | Pass-By Trips | 170 | 170 | 340 | 140 | 140 | 280 | 165 | 165 | 330 |
| Total Trips |  |  | 280 | 280 | 560 | 320 | 320 | 640 | 300 | 300 | 600 |

(1) ITE Land Use Code 934 - Fast Food with Drive Thru
(2) ITE Land Use Code 960 - Super Convenience Market/Gas Station

Table 2
Total New Development Traffic Volumes

| Use | Size | Morning Peak |  |  | Midday Peak |  |  | Evening Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Culver's ${ }^{(1)}$ Restaurant | 4,459 sq. ft. | - | - | - | 75 | 75 | 150 | 35 | 35 | 70 |
| Circle $K^{(2)}$ <br> Gas Station <br> With C-Store and <br> Car Wash | 20 fueling positions | 110 | 110 | 220 | 105 | 105 | 210 | 100 | 100 | 200 |
| Total New Trips |  | 110 | 110 | 220 | 180 | 180 | 360 | 135 | 135 | 270 |
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## Trip Distribution

The trip distribution for any gas station is based on a combination of the existing traffic volumes going by the site and the road network. The existing traffic flows heavily influenced the distribution of site traffic. The trip distribution for the site is shown on Table 3 and Figure 3.

Table 3
Directional Distribution

| Approach Route | AM | Midday | PM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From the North on Naper Boulevard | $16 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| From the South on Naper Boulevard | $41 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| From the East from Tower Plaza | $3 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| From the North on <br> Naperville-Warrenville Road | $10 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| From the South on <br> Naperville-Warrenville Road | $30 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

## Trip Assignment

The future vehicular trips generated by the development were distributed to the area roadways based on the directional distribution analysis and the proposed site plan. Figure 4 displays the trip assignment for the Culver's restaurant system. Figure 5 shows the new site volumes for the Circle K gas station.

## Projected Traffic Volumes

Total traffic volumes are a combination of the existing traffic volumes, projected non-site growth in those volumes, and the site traffic. Construction and opening of the restaurant and gas station is planned to be completed in 2019. The total traffic volumes are estimated for a period five years after the projected opening which is the Year 2024. Data provided by the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning shows a growth rate on both roads of $0.4 \%$ per year. A copy of the CMAP letter is included in the Appendix. This growth rate was applied to the existing traffic volumes to obtain the base 2024 volumes without the development (see Figure 6). The volumes from Figure 6 were combined with the site traffic volumes (Figures 4 and 5) to generate the Year 2024 total traffic volumes with the development which are shown on Figure 7.

## ANALYSES

## Future Traffic Conditions

In order to determine the operation of study area intersections and access drives, intersection capacity analyses were conducted with the proposed and nearby developments included. An intersection's ability to accommodate traffic flow is based on the average control delay experienced by vehicles passing through the intersection. The intersection and individual traffic movements are assigned a level of service (LOS), ranging from A to F based on the control delay created by a traffic signal or stop sign. Control delay consists of the initial deceleration delay, queue move-up time, stopped delay, and final acceleration delay. LOS A has the best traffic flow and least delay. LOS E represents saturated or at capacity conditions. LOS F experiences oversaturated conditions and extensive delays. The Highway Capacity Manual definitions for levels of service and the corresponding control delay for both signalized and unsignalized intersections are shown in Table 4.

Table 4
Level of Service Criteria for Intersections

| Level <br> of <br> Service | Description | Control Delay <br> (seconds/vehicle) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Signals | Stop Signs |  |
| A | Minimal delay and few stops | $<10$ | $<10$ |
| B | Low delay with more stops | $>10-20$ | $>10-15$ |
| C | Light congestion | $>20-35$ | $>15-25$ |
| D | Congestion is more noticeable <br> with longer delays | $>35-55$ | $>25-35$ |
| E | High delays and number of stops | $>55-80$ | $>35-50$ |
| F | Unacceptable delays and over <br> capacity | $>80$ | $>50$ |

The existing and total traffic volumes were applied to the proposed access system and capacity analyses were completed to determine the existing and future operating conditions with the gas station and restaurant. Table 5 summarizes the results of those analyses.

## Western Internal Drives on East-West Circulation Road

The western drives to the Circle K (north) and the Culvers (south) are located 80 feet east of NapervilleWheaton Road. Both drives are two-way and 31 feet wide for Circle K and 26 feet wide for Culver's. Outbound traffic is controlled by a stop sign with good levels of service (A or B) during the peak-hours of operation.

## Eastern Internal Drives on East-West Access Road

The eastern drives to the Circle K (north) and the Culver's (south) are located 80 feet west of Naper Boulevard. The Circle K driveway is 31 feet wide and two-way. The Culver's drive is 26 feet wide and only permits outbound movements. This will prevent vehicles from the east from stopping to make left-turn into this drive and potentially blocking inbound vehicle from Naper Boulevard. Do Not Enter signs should be added at the Culver's driveway. Outbound traffic is controlled by a stop sign with good levels of service (A or B) during the peak-hours of operation. If traffic queues from Naper Boulevard back up near this entrance, exiting traffic has the option of using the west driveways.
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Table 5
Intersection Level of Service and Delay (seconds)

| Intersection | Movement | Morning Peak |  | Midday Peak |  | Evening Peak |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Existing (2018) | Future $(2024)$ | Existing (2018) | Future (2024) | Existing (2018) | Future (2025) |
| Naper Boulevard at Tower Crossing/ Site Access (Traffic Signal) | All | A-3.5 | A-9.5 | B-11.0 | B-17.0 | A-3.6 | B-11.5 |
| Naperville-Wheaton Road at Ogden Plaza /Site Access (Stop Controlled) | EB Approach | B-13.6 | C-18.1 | C-16.2 | D-25.3 | C-15.5 | D-25.0 |
|  | WB Approach |  | E-38.0 |  | E-37.6 |  | C-24.1 |
|  | NB Left | A - 8.0 | A - 8.0 | A-9.1 | A - 9.2 | A-9.0 | A - 9.1 |
|  | SB Left |  | A - 9.5 |  | A - 9.1 |  | A - 8.7 |
| East Drives on East-West Circulation Road (Stop Controlled) | EB Left |  | A-7.7 |  | A - 7.7 |  | A - 9.6 |
|  | NB Approach |  | B-10.7 |  | A-9.4 |  | B-10.3 |
|  | SB Approach |  | B-11.0 |  | B-11.7 |  | B-11.2 |
| West Drives on East-West Circulation Road (Stop Controlled) | EB Left |  | A-7.6 |  | A-7.5 |  | A-7.5 |
|  | WB Left |  | A - 7.3 |  | A - 7.5 |  | A - 7.4 |
|  | NB Approach |  | B-10.5 |  | B-11.4 |  | B-10.6 |
|  | SB Approach |  | B-11.0 |  | B-11.9 |  | B-11.5 |

## Ogden Plaza/ Site Access on Naperville-Wheaton Road

A full access driveway is proposed on Naperville-Wheaton Road opposite the Ogden Plaza service drive. It will be 27 feet wide with a 25 foot turning radius. There will be one inbound lane and one outbound lane under stop sign control.
The capacity analyses show the left-turn movements on Naperville-Wheaton Road working well at levels-of-service A. The outbound traffic will work at a level-of-service C, D, and E which is not unusual for unsignalized driveways on arterial roadways during rush hour. The expected volume is low and the outbound queue would be two or three vehicles. There would be no impact on Naperville-Wheaton Road traffic conditions. No additional recommendations are required.

## Tower Crossing/ Site Access on Naper Boulevard

Naper Boulevard is heavily travelled during the peak-hours. Traffic from the existing Tower Crossing shopping center and the proposed site are relatively low. Overall, the most of the green time at the traffic signal is dedicated to moving Naper Boulevard traffic and is operating with minimal delays. No additional improvements are required.

## Automobile Laundry Stacking

A tunnel automobile laundry is located on the west side of the site running in a northbound direction. Cars pull into the south side of the wash, enter a wash code, have their car pulled thru and washed, and then exit on the north side. Queuing is provided at the car wash accommodating 12 vehicles and will not adversely impact the circulation around the gas station. Studies of car washes at a gas station indicate that the typical maximum queue is six vehicles not including the vehicles in the car wash building. EEA's
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experience at other gas stations with car washes supports the study's findings. Ten vehicle stacking is required by the zoning code.

## Fuel Tanker Routing

The fuel tanks are located south of the fuel pump canopy. A tanker can pull into the site from NapervilleWheaton Road up to the tanks. After fueling, it would exit eastbound to the Naper Boulevard. Figure 8 shows the fuel tanker travel path.

## CONCLUSIONS

The preceding traffic analysis analyzed the proposed Culver's restaurant and a Circle K gas station with a convenience store/car wash and developed the following conclusions:

- The development will not adversely impact the level-of-service of study area intersections.
- Two proposed driveways will adequately serve the site.
- Naperville-Wheaton Road full access driveway under stop sign control
- Naper Boulevard full access driveway under traffic signal control
- Both of these driveways are existed previously for the credit union
- The majority of the gas station traffic will come from existing traffic volumes driving by the site, stopping, and then continuing on with their journey.
- Stacking for the car wash exceeds the zoning requirement of 10 spaces and will not interfere with on-site traffic flow.

(
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## APPENDIX

- Existing Traffic Counts
- CMAP Letter
- Intersection Capacity Analyses

Naperville-Wheaton Road and Ogden Mall Service Drive


Naper Boulevard and Tower Crossing Access

| Naperville, Illinois |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Naper Boulevard Southbound |  |  | Tower Crossing Westbound |  |  | Naper Boulevard Northbound |  |  | 15 | 60 | Peak |
| Begin <br> Time | Right <br> Turn | Through | Left <br> Turn | Right <br> Turn | Through | Left <br> Turn | Right <br> Turn | Through | Left Turn | Minute Totals | Minute Totals | Hour <br> Factor |
|  | Wednesday September 26, 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:00 AM |  | 111 | 20 | 4 |  | 13 | 41 | 415 |  | 604 | 2384 | 0.96 |
| 7:15 AM |  | 124 | 14 | 7 |  | 15 | 33 | 427 |  | 620 | 2369 | 0.96 |
| 7:30 AM |  | 133 | 18 | 12 |  | 12 | 30 | 376 |  | 581 | 2303 | 0.98 |
| 7:45 AM |  | 148 | 14 | 13 |  | 13 | 33 | 358 |  | 579 | 2362 | 0.92 |
| 8:00 AM |  | 131 | 14 | 5 |  | 16 | 36 | 387 |  | 589 | 2356 | 0.92 |
| 8:15 AM |  | 119 | 16 | 13 |  | 10 | 34 | 362 |  | 554 |  |  |
| 8:30 AM |  | 135 | 17 | 18 |  | 17 | 43 | 410 |  | 640 |  |  |
| 8:45 AM |  | 111 | 30 | 7 |  | 19 | 48 | 358 |  | 573 |  |  |
| Total | 0 | 1012 | 143 | 79 | 0 | 115 | 298 | 3093 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 7:00-8:00 AM | 0 | 516 | 66 | 36 | 0 | 53 | 137 | 1576 | 0 | 2384 |  |  |
|  | Wednesday September 26, 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:30 AM |  | 154 | 53 | 35 |  | 40 | 60 | 200 |  | 542 | 2116 | 0.96 |
| 11:45 AM |  | 141 | 50 | 29 |  | 39 | 42 | 171 |  | 472 | 2088 | 0.95 |
| Noon |  | 181 | 51 | 36 |  | 42 | 63 | 178 |  | 551 | 2124 | 0.96 |
| 12:15 PM |  | 154 | 42 | 37 |  | 58 | 56 | 204 |  | 551 | 2122 | 0.96 |
| 12:30 PM |  | 174 | 34 | 26 |  | 35 | 42 | 203 |  | 514 | 2021 | 0.92 |
| 12:45 PM |  | 164 | 46 | 27 |  | 46 | 46 | 179 |  | 508 |  |  |
| 1:00 PM |  | 196 | 53 | 31 |  | 36 | 42 | 191 |  | 549 |  |  |
| 1:15 PM |  | 171 | 22 | 17 |  | 31 | 32 | 177 |  | 450 |  |  |
| Total Noon-1:00 PM | 0 | 1335 | 351 | 238 | 0 | 327 | 383 | 1503 | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 673 | 173 | 126 | 0 | 181 | 207 | 764 | 0 | 2124 |  |  |
|  | Wednesday September 26, 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4:00 PM |  | 381 | 10 | 13 |  | 27 | 29 | 230 |  | 690 | 2704 | 0.96 |
| 4:15 PM |  | 344 | 18 | 8 |  | 25 | 18 | 225 |  | 638 | 2697 | 0.96 |
| 4:30 PM |  | 396 | 13 | 9 |  | 25 | 26 | 236 |  | 705 | 2766 | 0.98 |
| 4:45 PM |  | 389 | 11 | 5 |  | 26 | 13 | 227 |  | 671 | 2723 | 0.96 |
| 5:00 PM |  | 405 | 10 | 7 |  | 16 | 31 | 214 |  | 683 | 2752 | 0.97 |
| 5:15 PM |  | 404 | 9 | 6 |  | 18 | 30 | 240 |  | 707 |  |  |
| 5:30 PM |  | 361 | 19 | 11 |  | 39 | 23 | 209 |  | 662 |  |  |
| 5:45 PM |  | 393 | 21 | 8 |  | 21 | 29 | 228 |  | 700 |  |  |
| Total | 0 | 3073 | 111 | 67 | 0 | 197 | 199 | 1809 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 4:30-5:30 PM | 0 | 1594 | 43 | 27 | 0 | 85 | 100 | 917 | 0 | 2766 |  |  |

# Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning 

Chicago, Illinois 60606
3124540400
www.cmap.illinois.gov
September 17, 2018

Stephen B. Corcoran, PE, PTOE
Director of Traffic Engineering
Eriksson Engineering Associates, Ltd.
145 Commerce Drive
Grayslake, IL 60030

## Subject: Naper Boulevard @ Ridgeland Avenue IDOT

## Dear Mr. Corcoran:

In response to a request made on your behalf and dated September 14, 2018, we have developed year 2040 average daily traffic (ADT) projections for the subject location.

| ROAD SEGMENT | Current <br> Volumes (2016) | Year 2040 ADT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Naperville Rd (North Leg) | 50,330 | 55,600 |
| Naper Blvd (South Leg) | 33,100 | 36,600 |
| Nprvl-Wheaton Rd (West Leg) | 17,180 | 19,000 |
| Ridgeland Ave (East Leg) | 3,560 | 3,930 |

Traffic projections are developed using existing ADT data provided in the request letter and the results from the March 2018 CMAP Travel Demand Analysis. The regional travel model uses CMAP 2040 socioeconomic projections and assumes the implementation of the GO TO 2040 Comprehensive Regional Plan for the Northeastern Illinois area. The provision of this data in support of your request does not constitute a CMAP endorsement of the proposed development or any subsequent developments.

If you have any questions, please call me at (312) 386-8806.
Sincerely,


Jose Rodriguez, PTP, AICP
Senior Planner, Research \& Analysis
cc: Quigley (IDOT)
S:\AdminGroups \ResearchAnalysis\2018cy_TrafficForecasts\Naperville\du-43-18\du-43-18.docx

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

## General Information

| Agency |
| :--- |
| Analyst |
| Jurisdiction |
| Urban Street |
| Intersection |
| Project Description |

Intersection Information

| Demand Information |  |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach Movement |  |  |  | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Demand ( $v$ ), veh/h |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53 |  | 36 |  | 1576 | 137 | 66 | 516 |  |
| Signal Information |  |  |  |  | U | $\downarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle, s | 150.0 | Reference Phase | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset, s | 0 | Reference Point | End | Green | 2.8 | 124.1 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uncoordinated | No | Simult. Gap E/W | On | Yellow | 3.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Force Mode | Fixed | Simult. Gap N/S | On | Red | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 5 |  |  |  |


| Timer Results | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assigned Phase |  |  |  | 0 |  | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Case Number |  |  |  | 9.0 |  | 7.3 | 1.0 | 4.0 |
| Phase Duration, $s$ |  |  |  | 12.6 |  | 130.6 | 6.8 | 137.4 |
| Change Period, $(Y+R c), s$ |  |  |  | 6.0 |  | 6.5 | 4.0 | 6.5 |
| Max Allow Headway $(M A H), s$ |  |  | 3.2 |  | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 |  |
| Queue Clearance Time $\left(g_{s}\right), s$ |  |  | 6.6 |  |  | 2.9 |  |  |
| Green Extension Time $\left(g_{e}\right), \mathrm{s}$ |  |  | 0.1 |  | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |  |
| Phase Call Probability |  |  |  | 0.98 |  |  | 0.94 |  |
| Max Out Probability |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |


| Movement Group Results | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Assigned Movement |  |  |  | 3 |  | 18 |  | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 |  |
| Adjusted Flow Rate ( $v$ ), veh/h |  |  |  | 55 |  | 38 |  | 1642 | 143 | 69 | 538 |  |
| Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate ( $s$ ), veh/h/ln |  |  |  | 1767 |  | 1572 |  | 1766 | 1572 | 1767 | 1766 |  |
| Queue Service Time ( g s), s |  |  |  | 4.6 |  | 3.5 |  | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 |  |
| Cycle Queue Clearance Time ( $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ), s |  |  |  | 4.6 |  | 3.5 |  | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 |  |
| Green Ratio ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{C}$ ) |  |  |  | 0.04 |  | 0.04 |  | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.86 | 0.87 |  |
| Capacity ( $c$ ), veh/h |  |  |  | 77 |  | 69 |  | 2923 | 1301 | 332 | 3084 |  |
| Volume-to-Capacity Ratio ( $X$ ) |  |  |  | 0.714 |  | 0.545 |  | 0.562 | 0.110 | 0.207 | 0.174 |  |
| Back of Queue ( $Q$ ), ft/ln ( 95 th percentile) |  |  |  | 100.9 |  | 67.2 |  | 14.7 | 32.1 | 9.3 | 2.4 |  |
| Back of Queue ( $Q$ ), veh/ln ( 95 th percentile) |  |  |  | 3.9 |  | 2.6 |  | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 |  |
| Queue Storage Ratio ( $R Q$ ) ( 95 th percentile) |  |  |  | 1.01 |  | 0.34 |  | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.05 | 0.00 |  |
| Uniform Delay ( $d_{1}$ ), s/veh |  |  |  | 70.8 |  | 70.3 |  | 0.0 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 0.0 |  |
| Incremental Delay ( $d_{2}$ ), s/veh |  |  |  | 4.5 |  | 2.5 |  | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |
| Initial Queue Delay ( $d_{3}$ ), s/veh |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Control Delay ( d), s/veh |  |  |  | 75.3 |  | 72.7 |  | 0.8 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 0.1 |  |
| Level of Service (LOS) |  |  |  | E |  | E |  | A | A | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS | 0.0 |  |  | 74.3 |  | E | 0.9 |  | A | 0.3 |  | A |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |


| Multimodal Results | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS |  |  |  |  |
| Bicycle LOS Score / LOS |  |  |  |  |

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

## General Information

| Agency |
| :--- |
| Analyst |
| Jurisdiction |
| Urban Street |
| Intersection |
| Project Description |

Intersection Information

| Demand Information |  |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach Movement |  |  |  | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Demand ( $v$ ), veh/h |  |  |  |  |  |  | 181 |  | 126 |  | 764 | 207 | 173 | 673 |  |
| Signal Information |  |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ | dix |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle, s | 120.0 | Reference Phase | 2 |  |  | ¢ ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Offset, s | 0 | Reference Point | End | Green | 5.5 | 83.2 | 14.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uncoordinated | No | Simult. Gap E/W | On | Yellow | 3.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Force Mode | Fixed | Simult. Gap N/S | On | Red | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |


| Timer Results | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assigned Phase |  |  |  | 8 |  | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Case Number |  |  |  | 9.0 |  | 7.3 | 1.0 | 4.0 |
| Phase Duration, s |  |  |  | 20.8 |  | 89.7 | 9.5 | 99.2 |
| Change Period, ( $Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), s |  |  |  | 6.0 |  | 6.5 | 4.0 | 6.5 |
| Max Allow Headway ( $M A H$ ), s |  |  |  | 3.2 |  | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 |
| Queue Clearance Time ( $g s$ ), s |  |  |  | 14.6 |  |  | 5.3 |  |
| Green Extension Time ( $g_{e}$ ), s |  |  |  | 0.2 |  | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Phase Call Probability |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Max Out Probability |  |  |  | 0.85 |  |  | 0.00 |  |


| Movement Group Results | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Assigned Movement |  |  |  | 3 |  | 18 |  | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 |  |
| Adjusted Flow Rate ( $v$ ), veh/h |  |  |  | 189 |  | 131 |  | 796 | 216 | 180 | 701 |  |
| Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate ( $s$ ), veh/h/ln |  |  |  | 1767 |  | 1572 |  | 1766 | 1572 | 1767 | 1766 |  |
| Queue Service Time ( $g s$ ), s |  |  |  | 12.6 |  | 9.6 |  | 2.9 | 5.9 | 3.3 | 0.0 |  |
| Cycle Queue Clearance Time ( $g c$ ), s |  |  |  | 12.6 |  | 9.6 |  | 2.9 | 5.9 | 3.3 | 0.0 |  |
| Green Ratio ( $g / C$ ) |  |  |  | 0.12 |  | 0.12 |  | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.76 | 0.77 |  |
| Capacity ( c ), veh/h |  |  |  | 218 |  | 194 |  | 2449 | 1090 | 594 | 2729 |  |
| Volume-to-Capacity Ratio ( $X$ ) |  |  |  | 0.865 |  | 0.677 |  | 0.325 | 0.198 | 0.303 | 0.257 |  |
| Back of Queue ( $Q$ ), ft/ln ( 95 th percentile) |  |  |  | 278.6 |  | 180.9 |  | 39.8 | 87.4 | 46.9 | 4 |  |
| Back of Queue ( $Q$ ), veh/ln ( 95 th percentile) |  |  |  | 10.9 |  | 7.1 |  | 1.6 | 3.4 | 1.8 | 0.2 |  |
| Queue Storage Ratio ( $R Q$ ) ( 95 th percentile) |  |  |  | 2.79 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.00 | 0.87 | 0.25 | 0.00 |  |
| Uniform Delay ( $d_{1}$ ), s/veh |  |  |  | 51.6 |  | 50.3 |  | 1.5 | 6.5 | 4.1 | 0.0 |  |
| Incremental Delay ( $d_{2}$ ), s/veh |  |  |  | 18.9 |  | 3.5 |  | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 |  |
| Initial Queue Delay ( $d_{3}$ ), s/veh |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Control Delay (d), s/veh |  |  |  | 70.5 |  | 53.8 |  | 1.9 | 7.0 | 4.2 | 0.2 |  |
| Level of Service (LOS) |  |  |  | E |  | D |  | A | A | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS | 0.0 |  |  | 63.6 |  | E | 2.9 |  | A | 1.0 |  | A |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS | 11.0 |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |


| Multimodal Results | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS |  |  |  |  |
| Bicycle LOS Score / LOS |  |  |  |  |

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

## General Information

| Agency |
| :--- |
| Analyst |
| Jurisdiction |
| Urban Street |
| Intersection |
| Project Description |


| EEA |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| SBC | A |
| Naperville | T |
| Naper Boulevard | A |
| Tower Crossing | F |
| Existing Conditions |  |


| Analysis Date |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $10 / 16 / 2018$ | A |
|  | Time Period | PM Peak |
|  | Analysis Year | 2018 |
|  | File Name | Naper 2018 PM.xus |

Intersection Information

| Demand Information |  |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach Movement |  |  |  | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Demand ( $v$ ), veh/h |  |  |  |  |  |  | 85 |  | 27 |  | 917 | 100 | 43 | 1594 |  |
| Signal Information |  |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle, s | 150.0 | Reference Phase | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset, s | 0 | Reference Point | End | Green | 2.5 | 121.7 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uncoordinated | No | Simult. Gap E/W | On | Yellow | 3.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Force Mode | Fixed | Simult. Gap N/S | On | Red | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |


| Timer Results | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assigned Phase |  |  |  | 8 |  | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Case Number |  |  |  | 9.0 |  | 7.3 | 1.0 | 4.0 |
| Phase Duration, s |  |  |  | 15.3 |  | 128.2 | 6.5 | 134.7 |
| Change Period, ( $Y+R_{c}$ ), s |  |  |  | 6.0 |  | 6.5 | 4.0 | 6.5 |
| Max Allow Headway ( MAH ), s |  |  |  | 3.2 |  | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 |
| Queue Clearance Time ( $g s$ ), s |  |  |  | 9.3 |  |  | 2.6 |  |
| Green Extension Time ( $g_{e}$ ), s |  |  |  | 0.1 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Phase Call Probability |  |  |  | 0.99 |  |  | 0.84 |  |
| Max Out Probability |  |  |  | 0.04 |  |  | 0.00 |  |


| Movement Group Results | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Assigned Movement |  |  |  | 3 |  | 18 |  | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 |  |
| Adjusted Flow Rate ( v ), veh/h |  |  |  | 87 |  | 28 |  | 936 | 102 | 44 | 1627 |  |
| Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate ( $s$ ), veh/h/ln |  |  |  | 1767 |  | 1572 |  | 1766 | 1572 | 1767 | 1766 |  |
| Queue Service Time ( $g$ s), s |  |  |  | 7.3 |  | 2.5 |  | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 |  |
| Cycle Queue Clearance Time ( $g_{c}$ ), s |  |  |  | 7.3 |  | 2.5 |  | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 |  |
| Green Ratio ( $g / C$ ) |  |  |  | 0.06 |  | 0.06 |  | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.84 | 0.85 |  |
| Capacity ( c), veh/h |  |  |  | 109 |  | 97 |  | 2867 | 1276 | 559 | 3020 |  |
| Volume-to-Capacity Ratio ( $X$ ) |  |  |  | 0.795 |  | 0.284 |  | 0.326 | 0.080 | 0.078 | 0.539 |  |
| Back of Queue ( $Q$ ), ft/ln ( 95 th percentile) |  |  |  | 158 |  | 47.2 |  | 5.6 | 25.6 | 7.3 | 13.4 |  |
| Back of Queue ( $Q$ ), veh/ln ( 95 th percentile) |  |  |  | 6.2 |  | 1.8 |  | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 |  |
| Queue Storage Ratio ( $R Q$ ) ( 95 th percentile) |  |  |  | 1.58 |  | 0.24 |  | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.04 | 0.00 |  |
| Uniform Delay ( $d_{1}$ ), s/veh |  |  |  | 69.4 |  | 67.2 |  | 0.0 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 0.0 |  |
| Incremental Delay ( $d_{2}$ ), s/veh |  |  |  | 4.9 |  | 0.6 |  | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 |  |
| Initial Queue Delay ( $d_{3}$ ), s/veh |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Control Delay ( d), s/veh |  |  |  | 74.3 |  | 67.8 |  | 0.3 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 0.7 |  |
| Level of Service (LOS) |  |  |  | E |  | E |  | A | A | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS | 0.0 |  |  | 72.7 |  | E | 0.6 |  | A | 0.7 |  | A |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS | 3.6 |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |


| Multimodal Results | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS |  |  |  |  |
| Bicycle LOS Score / LOS |  |  |  |  |

## General Information

| Analyst | SBC | Intersection | N-W Rd/Ogden |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | EEA | Jurisdiction | Naperville |
| Date Performed | $10 / 16 / 2018$ | East/West Street | Ogden Mall |
| Analysis Year | 2018 | North/South Street | N-W Road |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.85 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | Future |  |  |

Lanes

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach <br> Movement | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LT | T |  |  |  | T | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 8 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 555 |  |  |  | 286 | 1 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.5 | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 6.86 | 6.96 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.53 | 3.33 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service
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| General Information |  |  | Site Information |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | SBC | Intersection | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{W}$ Rd/Ogden |
| Agency/Co. | EEA | Jurisdiction | Naperville |
| Date Performed | $10 / 16 / 2018$ | East/West Street | Ogden Mall |
| Analysis Year | 2018 | North/South Street | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{W}$ Road |
| Time Analyzed | Midday | Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | Future |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LT | T |  |  |  | T | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 11 |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 524 |  |  |  | 658 | 4 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.5 | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 6.86 | 6.96 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.53 | 3.33 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| General Information |  |  | Site Information |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | SBC | Intersection | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{W}$ Rd/Ogden |
| Agency/Co. | EEA | Jurisdiction | Naperville |
| Date Performed | $10 / 16 / 2018$ | East/West Street | Ogden Mall |
| Analysis Year | 2018 | North/South Street | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{W}$ Road |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | Future |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LT | T |  |  |  | T | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 9 |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 397 |  |  |  | 608 | 6 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.5 | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 6.86 | 6.96 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.53 | 3.33 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| General Information |  |  | Site Information |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | SBC | Intersection | Internal/East Drives |
| Agency/Co. | EEA | Jurisdiction | Naperville |
| Date Performed | $11 / 28 / 2018$ | East/West Street | Internal Collector |
| Analysis Year | 2024 | North/South Street | East Drives |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | Future |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |  | L |  | TR |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 28 | 84 |  |  |  | 50 | 118 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 84 |  | 30 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.13 | 6.53 | 6.23 |  | 7.13 |  | 6.23 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 |  | 3.53 |  | 3.33 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| General Information |  |  | Site Information |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | SBC | Intersection | Internal/East Drives |
| Agency/Co. | EEA | Jurisdiction | Naperville |
| Date Performed | $11 / 28 / 2018$ | East/West Street | Internal Collector |
| Analysis Year | 2024 | North/South Street | East Drives |
| Time Analyzed | Midday | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | Future |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |  | L |  | TR |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 20 | 91 |  |  |  | 112 | 88 |  | 10 | 1 | 43 |  | 66 |  | 25 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.13 | 6.53 | 6.23 |  | 7.13 |  | 6.23 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 |  | 3.53 |  | 3.33 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| General Information |  |  | Site Information |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | SBC | Intersection | Internal/East Drives |
| Agency/Co. | EEA | Jurisdiction | Naperville |
| Date Performed | $11 / 28 / 2018$ | East/West Street | Internal Collector |
| Analysis Year | 2024 | North/South Street | East Drives |
| Time Analyzed | PM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | Future |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |  | L |  | TR |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 23 | 90 |  |  |  | 83 | 97 |  | 10 | 1 | 21 |  | 69 |  | 28 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.13 | 6.53 | 6.23 |  | 7.13 |  | 6.23 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 |  | 3.53 |  | 3.33 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


## General Information

| Agency |
| :--- |
| Analyst |
| Jurisdiction |
| Urban Street |
| Intersection |
| Project Description |

Intersection Information

| Demand Information |  |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach Movement |  |  |  | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Demand ( v ), veh/h |  |  |  | 45 | 8 | 115 | 53 | 8 | 36 | 115 | 1614 | 137 | 66 | 528 | 45 |
| Signal Information |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1-tix |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle, s | 150.0 | Reference Phase | 2 |  | 5 | ST | R, 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Offset, s | 0 | Reference Point | End | Green | 3.6 | 2.4 | 104.1 | 5.5 | 14.4 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uncoordinated | No | Simult. Gap E/W | On | Yellow | 3.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Force Mode | Fixed | Simult. Gap N/S | On | Red | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |  |  | 6 | 7 |  |

## Timer Results

Assigned Phase
Case Number

Phase Duration, s
Change Period, ( $Y+R_{c}$ ), s
Max Allow Headway ( $M A H$ ), s
Queue Clearance Time ( $g s$ ), s
Green Extension Time ( $g_{e}$ ), s
Phase Call Probability
Max Out Probability

## Movement Group Results

Approach Movement
Assigned Movement
Adjusted Flow Rate ( $v$ ), veh/h
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate ( $s$ ), veh/h/ln
Queue Service Time ( $g s$ ), s
Cycle Queue Clearance Time ( $g c$ ), s
Green Ratio ( $g / C$ )
Capacity ( c), veh/h
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio ( $X$ )
Back of Queue ( $Q$ ), $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{In}$ ( 95 th percentile)
Back of Queue ( $Q$ ), veh/ln ( 95 th percentile)
Queue Storage Ratio ( $R Q$ ) ( 95 th percentile)
Uniform Delay ( $d_{1}$ ), s/veh
Incremental Delay ( $d_{2}$ ), s/veh
Initial Queue Delay ( $d_{3}$ ), s/veh
Control Delay ( $d$ ), s/veh
Level of Service (LOS)
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS
Multimodal Results
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS

| EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
|  | 6.3 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 1.1 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 4.0 |
|  | 19.9 | 9.5 | 29.4 | 10.0 | 113.0 | 7.6 | 110.6 |
|  | 6.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 6.5 |
|  | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 |
|  | 13.6 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 4.8 |  | 3.7 |  |
|  | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
|  | 1.00 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 0.94 |  |
|  | 0.20 | 0.85 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |

## General Information

| Agency |
| :--- |
| Analyst |
| Jurisdiction |
| Urban Street |
| Intersection |
| Project Description |

Intersection Information

## Demand Information

Approach Movement
Demand ( $v$ ), veh/h

| EEA |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| SBC | A |
| Naperville | Ti |
| Naper Boulevard | An |
| Tower Crossing | Fi |
| Future Conditions |  |


| Analysis Date | Nov 29, 2018 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Time Period | Midday Peak |
| Analysis Year | 2024 |


|  | Duration, h |
| :--- | :--- |
| Area Type | 0.25 |
| PHF | Other |
|  | 0.96 |

File Name $\quad$ Naper 2024 Mid.xus

| Signal Information |  |  |  | Green | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 5.6 \end{array}$ | 伿$1.2$ |  |  |  |  | $\$$ | $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cycle, s | 120.0 | Reference Phase | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset, s | 0 | Reference Point | End |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Uncoordinated | No | Simult. Gap E/W | On | Yellow | 3.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |  |  | , |  |
| Force Mode | Fixed | Simult. Gap N/S | On | Red | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |  |  |  |



## General Information

| Agency |
| :--- |
| Analyst |
| Jurisdiction |
| Urban Street |
| Intersection |
| Project Description |

Intersection Information
Demand Information
Approach Movement
Demand ( $v$ ), veh/h
Demand ( $v$ ), veh/h

| EEA |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| SBC | A |
| Naperville | T |
| Naper Boulevard | A |
| Tower Crossing | F |
| Future Conditions |  |


| Analysis Date | Nov 29, 2018 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Time Period | PM Peak || Analysis Year | 2024 | A |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | File Name | Naper 2024 PM.xus |


|  | Duration, $h$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Area Type | 0.25 |
|  | PHF |
|  | 0.96 |
|  | Analysis Period |

Signal Information

Timer Results
Assigned PhasePhase Duration, sChange Period, $(Y+R c), \mathrm{s}$
Max Allow Headway ( $M A H$ ), sQueue Clearance Time ( $g s$ ), s
Green Extension Time ( $g e$ ), sPhase Call Probability
Max Out Probability
Movement Group Results
Assigned MovementAdjusted Flow Rate ( $v$ ), veh/hAdjusted Saturation Flow Rate ( $s$ ), veh/h/ln
Queue Service Time ( $g s$ ), sGreen Ratio ( $g / C$ )
Capacity ( c), veh/h
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio ( $X$ )Back of Queue ( $Q$ ), ft/ln ( 95 th percentile)Back of Queue ( $Q$ ), veh/ln ( 95 th percentile)
Queue Storage Ratio ( $R Q$ ) ( 95 th percentile)Incremental Delay ( $d_{2}$ ), s/veh
Initial Queue Delay ( $d_{3}$ ), s/vehControl Delay ( $d$ ), s/vehLevel of Service (LOS)Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS
Multimodal Results
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS

| General Information |  |  | Site Information |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | SBC | Intersection | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{W}$ Rd/Ogden |
| Agency/Co. | EEA | Jurisdiction | Naperville |
| Date Performed | $10 / 28 / 2018$ | East/West Street | Ogden Mall/Site |
| Analysis Year | 2024 | North/South Street | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{W} \mathrm{Road}$ |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.85 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | Future |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 8 | 1 | 2 |  | 84 | 1 | 28 |  | 4 | 568 | 84 |  | 28 | 293 | 1 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 7.56 | 6.56 | 6.96 | 7.56 | 6.56 | 6.96 | 4.16 |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 | 2.23 |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| General Information |  |  | Site Information |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | SBC | Intersection | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{W}$ Rd/Ogden |
| Agency/Co. | EEA | Jurisdiction | Naperville |
| Date Performed | $11 / 28 / 2018$ | East/West Street | Ogden Mall/Site |
| Analysis Year | 2024 | North/South Street | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{W} \mathrm{Road}$ |
| Time Analyzed | Midday | Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | Future |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 11 | 1 | 10 |  | 64 | 1 | 56 |  | 8 | 537 | 64 |  | 56 | 674 | 4 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 7.56 | 6.56 | 6.96 | 7.56 | 6.56 | 6.96 | 4.16 |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 | 2.23 |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| General Information |  |  | Site Information |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | SBC | Intersection | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{W}$ Rd/Ogden |
| Agency/Co. | EEA | Jurisdiction | Naperville |
| Date Performed | $11 / 28 / 2018$ | East/West Street | Ogden Mall/Site |
| Analysis Year | 2024 | North/South Street | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{W} \mathrm{Road}$ |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | Future |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 9 | 1 | 7 |  | 48 | 1 | 72 |  | 3 | 406 | 48 |  | 72 | 623 | 6 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 7.56 | 6.56 | 6.96 | 7.56 | 6.56 | 6.96 | 4.16 |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 | 2.23 |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| General Information |  |  | Site Information |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | SBC | Intersection | Internal/East Drives |
| Agency/Co. | EEA | Jurisdiction | Naperville |
| Date Performed | $11 / 30 / 2018$ | East/West Street | Internal Collector |
| Analysis Year | 2024 | North/South Street | West Drives |
| Time Analyzed | AM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | Future |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 84 | 28 | 1 |  | 1 | 30 | 50 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 84 | 1 | 82 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.13 |  |  |  | 4.13 |  |  |  | 7.13 | 6.53 | 6.23 |  | 7.13 | 6.53 | 6.23 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.23 |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 |  | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| General Information |  |  | Site Information |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | SBC | Intersection | Internal/East Drives |
| Agency/Co. | EEA | Jurisdiction | Naperville |
| Date Performed | $11 / 28 / 2018$ | East/West Street | Internal Collector |
| Analysis Year | 2024 | North/South Street | West Drives |
| Time Analyzed | Midday | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | Future |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 59 | 20 | 41 |  | 69 | 35 | 43 |  | 31 | 1 | 26 |  | 65 | 1 | 54 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.13 |  |  |  | 4.13 |  |  |  | 7.13 | 6.53 | 6.23 |  | 7.13 | 6.53 | 6.23 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.23 |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 |  | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| General Information |  |  | Site Information |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | SBC | Intersection | Internal/East Drives |
| Agency/Co. | EEA | Jurisdiction | Naperville |
| Date Performed | $11 / 28 / 2018$ | East/West Street | Internal Collector |
| Analysis Year | 2024 | North/South Street | West Drives |
| Time Analyzed | PM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | Future |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 69 | 23 | 28 |  | 42 | 38 | 40 |  | 18 | 1 | 21 |  | 69 | 1 | 64 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.13 |  |  |  | 4.13 |  |  |  | 7.13 | 6.53 | 6.23 |  | 7.13 | 6.53 | 6.23 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.23 |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 |  | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


