



---

**I.3.**

**Approve the Award of Bid 17-006, 2017 Street Patching Program to Chicagoland Paving Contractors for an amount not to exceed \$144,900, plus a 5% contingency.**

**White, Benny: 1. Street Patching: Is the City responsible for damage to residential vehicles caused by our damaged roads?**

The City is responsible for damage if we had prior notice of the hazard before the incident occurred. The Department of Public Works keeps a very detailed database as to when a report is called in or when repairs are completed. For example, if a resident calls in damage to their car caused by a pot hole on 3/15/17 and we had no prior knowledge of the hazard, prior to that date, then the City is not responsible. As soon as a report comes in, crews are sent out to fix it. (Lesak/Walters)

---

**I.4.**

**Approve the Award of Bid 17-009, 2017 Distribution System Leak Detection Survey Program to ADS Environmental Services for an amount not to exceed \$388,490, plus a 5% contingency.**

**White, Benny: 2. Does the Leak Survey include repairing the leaks as well? Do we expect the number of leaks to decrease over time (because we've been actively repairing them). If so, then I would think the need for the service would diminish over the length of contract and we may not be getting the bang for the buck at the end.**

Once the leaks are detected, City crews are scheduled and the repairs are made. The number of leaks has remained consistent over the years we have been conducting the studies.

Increasing the number of surveys is not expected to reduce the number breaks or leaks. Rather, increasing the number of leak surveys will reduce the length of time a broken water main is leaking, therefore reducing the volume of water lost. (Holzapfel)

---

**I.5.**

**Approve the Award of Bid 17-052, Indian Hill Transformer Removal, Build and Installation to Niagara Transformer Corporation for an amount not to exceed \$762,133, plus a 5% contingency.**

**White, Benny: 3. Can we show where and how the \$162K will be recovered in the electric utility and how long it would take to recover the difference. Also, if \$762K was "in line with the market," should we have budgeted more for the the project at the beginning?**

Projects currently programmed for EU52 – Cable Replacement will be deferred to CY 2018 to account for the additional \$162,000 that is needed to fund this transformer replacement. At the time of this transformer's failure the utility asked for budgetary pricing from two vendors, however, this did not include the additional transformer monitoring equipment which was not generally available at the time. This additional monitoring

equipment combined with the addition of a five year warranty including in and out coverage as well as a general increase in utility spending across the nation are believed to account for most of the coverage. Future substation transformer budgetary estimates will include this equipment and warranty coverage. (Curran)

**Gustin, Patty: 5. 17-291**

**Mr. Curran and Mr. Dublinski what is the protection on these products when they are submerged in flood waters? After this weeks rain I notice the electrical light poles and electric boxes are wholly or partially submerged under water around town.**

Our electrical equipment is insulated and the leads are closer to the top of the box. Although it is not preferred, it can withstand some water. If it were to be an issue, the equipment would trip out (de-energize) until utility personnel could safely assess the situation. On street light poles, the connections are typically 2'-3' above grade and would trip out (de-energize) if water were to reach that level. (Curran)

---

**I.8.**

**Waive the applicable provisions of the Naperville Procurement Code and Award Procurement 17-104, Citywide Telecommunications Strategic Business Plan to Magellan Advisors for an amount not to exceed \$89,000.**

**White, Benny: 4. Although I am sure Megellan is the best company to do the consulting work for the fiber optics, are we opening ourselves up to potential issues by not bidding this out? Could this affect future contracts from a litigation standpoint?**

Although there is nothing to preclude a vendor from issuing a bid protest, the City's procurement code allows for a waiving of the code if it is determined to be in the best interest of the City. As such, the protest would not be justifiable. This waiver is specific to this project only and has no effect on future contract awards. (Anderson)

---

**I.9.**

**Approve the Award of Option Year 2 to Contract 14-163, Public Safety Uniforms and Equipment for the Fire Department to Fireground Supply for Group 1 for an amount not to exceed \$30,000 and for the Police Department to Ray O'Heron Co. Inc for Group 2, in an amount not to exceed \$80,000 and a total award of \$110,000 and for an eight-month extension.**

**Gustin, Patty: 9. 17-296**

**Is there an expense cap for uniforms under the employment contracts? If not should that be considered in the future?**

The employment contracts dictate that the City provide uniforms but the not the value of them. The contract is then bid per the procurement code. The replacement of uniforms is determined by need based on use. (Puknaitis/Mayer)

---

**I.10.**

**Approve the Award of the Third and Final Two Year Option to Contract 11-082, Job Order Contract to F.H. Paschen in an amount not to exceed \$2,000,000.**

**Gustin, Patty: 10. 17-236**

**Please provide a chart of past costs related to this budget item? Example: Paschen received \$ on year ? in that year \$ dollars were spent using Naperville contractors. Plus the dollar amount spend on out of**

**area contractors. Also, where there staff finance manhours saved by this service?**

Please see the attached document summarizing the spend associated with the term of the JOC contract.

The time associated with processing a JOC procurement is less than a traditional bid. However, the time savings is built into our workload allocation and is used to work on other procurements and services for City departments and vendors. (Mayer)

---

**L.4.**

**Adopt the Route 672 Resolution authorizing the City Manager to execute the attached agreement with Pace to establish the City share for service from the 95th Street Park-and-Ride to the Route 59 Metra Station at \$102,119.27.**

**Gustin, Patty: 4. 17-319**

**Is the movement to advance bicycle transportation in the City? If so what advancements can we expect? If not, with the weather beginning to warm can that be done? TAB would be a good starting point for this type of work. I will check with other council to see their interests.**

The City, with the assistance of our intergovernmental partners, has made many advancements in bicycle transportation in the past decade since our most recent bicycle plan was adopted. The City is recognized by the League of American Bicyclists as a Bicycle Friendly Community due to the expansion of our bicycle network and education and encouragement activities. No additions to the network are planned at this time. (Louden)



**City of Naperville JOC Projects**

<b>Date Range</b>	<b>Total Value of Work</b>	<b>Dollars Spent with Local SubContractors</b>	<b>Dollars Spent with Other Subcontractors</b>
6/9/2011 to 6/8/2012	\$ 869,315.90	\$ 271,176.00	\$ 407,052.00
6/9/2012 to 6/8/2013	\$ 737,507.40	\$ 300,882.00	\$ 247,036.40
6/9/2013 to 6/8/2014	\$ 398,202.20	\$ 134,291.00	\$ 147,949.00
6/9/2014 to 6/8/2015	\$ 319,953.69	\$ 31,025.00	\$ 204,373.00
6/9/2015 to 6/8/2016	\$ 130,101.05	\$ 3,250.00	\$ 80,633.00
6/9/2016 to 3/31/2017	\$ 133,125.58	\$ 12,481.00	\$ 82,403.00
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 2,588,205.82</b>	<b>\$ 753,105.00</b>	<b>\$ 1,169,446.40</b>

**Non-City JOC Projects**

<b>Date Range</b>	<b>Total Value of Work</b>	<b>Dollars Spent with Local SubContractors</b>	<b>Dollars Spent with Other Subcontractors</b>
6/9/2011 to 6/8/2012	\$ 1,107,121.10	\$ 318,809.00	\$ 731,909.00
6/9/2012 to 6/8/2013	\$ 4,561,370.30	\$ 828,992.00	\$ 2,854,229.00
6/9/2013 to 6/8/2014	\$ 6,086,080.10	\$ 1,150,249.50	\$ 3,102,395.80
6/9/2014 to 6/8/2015	\$ 2,601,002.11	\$ 316,437.00	\$ 1,373,992.22
6/9/2015 to 6/8/2016	\$ 1,959,747.49	\$ 164,200.00	\$ 1,230,232.00
6/9/2016 to 1/1/2017	\$ 2,985,138.84	\$ 21,755.00	\$ 2,028,591.00
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 19,300,459.94</b>	<b>\$ 2,800,442.50</b>	<b>\$ 11,321,349.02</b>

