

**JANUARY 20, 2026, CITY COUNCIL MEETING**  
**POSITION STATEMENTS & WRITTEN COMMENTS**

**PUBLIC FORUM**

***WRITTEN COMMENT***

1. Sharika Sanku - Dear Naperville City Council,  
There are a few concerns that are affecting citizens of Naperville in addressing mailbox replacement services, and the ability to communicate with the city council at meetings. Last month I shared my comments regarding the speeding snow trucks disturbing the peace at the end of November traveling south bound on coach drive. Several mailboxes were affected by this rapid movement of the snow truck resulting in the need for temporary mailboxes being installed for several households.  
Due to freezing of the ground, it is difficult to replace with new mailboxes during the winter months. However, even once getting this process scheduled with a professional, there is very little information available on the Naperville website on how the city plans to reimburse for this damage. A temporary mailbox was provided in a bucket, with mismatched wood color to the box. Considering the quality of the neighborhood and standards set by other community members, these temporary mailboxes are hardly becoming of the homes that they are supposed to be in front of.  
It is requested for the city to publish specific timelines, documentation requirements, methods of electronic submissions and the overall budget for this process. To the best awareness of the community, these mailbox destructions were not the results of pedestrians or resident activity. The rapid speed of city funded snow trucks are responsible for the need of mailbox replacement in the first place. It would be logical for the full replacement with an equal valued mailbox take place as a result.  
As a citizen it is the right of a member of the community to have their voices heard. I was disappointed to learn that my comments that were submitted through the electronic form would not be read at the Naperville City Council meeting. While it was mentioned to me over email correspondence that the comments are published, there was no recourse, response or other form of acknowledgment for the comments. Most major cities offer an option for members of the community to connect via live video or phone during council meetings in order to engage in the public forum. It is urgent and essential that Naperville upgrade its standards for this capability.
  
2. Thomas Donahue (Naperville) Today marks my third visit to the Utility payment window at City Hall since December 22nd. During that time I've tried to change my ACH payment to a new bank account and ensure future payments are pulled from the new bank, within the new system. An email from the City dated December 19 clearly states "Your account, along with any saved payment methods and autopay rules, will not be transferred to the new portal. Clearly this is not accurate since my payment was pulled from my old bank AND the new bank late last week. I went to City Hall last Friday and learned I had to submit a payment cancellation form to stop future payments from my old bank account despite the transition to the new system. The gentleman at the desk has done a good job trying to assist, but clearly the volume of people needing help at the desk has been more than a one person job. I've never seen anyone but him during my three visits despite the lines of citizens needing help. The transition to the new system has been poorly communicated, poorly executed and needs more personnel on the service desk. The new system not intuitive and fails to meet the user's needs. What where you all thinking?

**I17 Accept the record of Emergency Procurement 26-021, Ogden Avenue and Washington Street Water Main Improvements - ROW Winterization, to Builder's Asphalt LLC for an amount not to exceed \$450,000**

**WRITTEN COMMENTS**

1. Elizabeth Scanlon (Kankakee) Honorable Council Members:

Scanlon Excavating & Concrete, Inc. ("Scanlon"), submits this comment in opposition to Agenda Item 26-0031. As explained below, the City's request to retroactively classify its replacement of Scanlon with third-party contractor Builders Paving LLC ("Builders") as an "Emergency Procurement", and to authorize payment on that basis, is both legally and procedurally defective and Agenda Item 26-0031 should be rejected.

Under the Naperville Procurement Code Sec. 1-9B-4:8, emergency procurement is permitted solely to address immediate threats to public health, welfare, or safety, or to prevent/minimize a serious disruption in government services. The Code requires a contemporaneous written determination documenting the existence of an emergency and the justification for contract selection.

The record before the Council fails to establish any such emergency at the time Builders was retained on December 5, 2025. The conditions cited by the City, including the November 15th moratorium, and seasonal asphalt limitations were known for months and do not constitute sudden or exigent circumstances. Nor does the record reflect why the City could not complete the Work under its existing contract with Scanlon.

Critically, there is no contemporaneous documentation showing that the City believed it was responding to any emergency when it retained Builder's, without notice, on December 5th. The record does not explain why the City failed to seek Council approval under § 1 9B 4:8 at the December 16 Council meeting, or why, after the City Manager authorized a Single Source Procurement on December 23 under § 1 9B 4:6, the City now seeks to recharacterize Builders' work and payment as an "emergency procurement" under § 1 9B 4:8.

These legal deficiencies are compounded by the fact that the work occurred within an IDOT right of way. Illinois law similarly permits emergency procurement without competitive bidding only where there is an imminent threat to public health, safety, or property, and only with contemporaneous written findings documenting the emergency, the justification for bypassing competition, and the basis for contractor selection. See 30 ILCS 500/20 30. The City has not met any of these statutory requirements, and the record is devoid of facts establishing a lawful emergency procurement under state law. Although the Agenda characterizes this item as acceptance "for the record," the Council cannot lawfully approve documentation that does not demonstrate compliance with applicable procurement law. Emergency procurement must be justified at the time the decision is made, not reconstructed after the fact.

Because the City has failed to establish the substantive or procedural prerequisites of an emergency procurement, Scanlon respectfully requests that the Council decline approval of Agenda Item 26-0031.

This comment is submitted for notice and for the record. Scanlon reserves all rights and remedies under the law and waives nothing.

Respectfully submitted

**L1 Consider the request for approval of a conditional use for a data center, variances for parking and equipment screening wall height, and an Owner's Acknowledgement and Acceptance Agreement for the property located at 1960 Lucent Lane (Karis Critical Data Center) - DEV-0057-2025**

**POSITION STATEMENT**

***SUPPORT***

1. Jawaid Ekram (Naperville)
2. David Williams (Naperville)
3. Sarah Williams (Naperville)

***OPPOSE***

1. Jennifer Velchek (Naperville)
2. Lisa Polsby (Naperville)
3. Melissa Gross (Naperville)
4. Sharon L Josefson (Naperville)
5. Christopher Brook (Naperville)
6. Janice Grimm (Naperville)
7. Ellie Tiemens (Naperville)
8. Eric Stern (Naperville)
9. Evangeline Kilar (Naperville)
10. Samuel Elberts (Naperville)
11. Steve Kilar (Naperville)
12. Chris Robinson (Naperville)
13. Barbara Sullivan (Naperville)
14. Kathy Nelson (Naperville)
15. Michael Ingram (Downers Grove) WSILDSA
16. Danielle Zaininger (Naperville)
17. Stephanie L. Duesing (Naperville)
18. Maura Boland (Naperville)
19. Sandra Martinich (Naperville)
20. Karen V Peck (Naperville)
21. Nathan Weddle (Naperville)
22. Ellen Schumacher (Naperville)
23. Meghan Jebb (Naperville)
24. Dana Darwish (Naperville)
25. Shahab Khan (Naperville)
26. Becca Bogle (Naperville)
27. Jacob Hildenbrand (Naperville)
28. Maysoon Jadaan (Naperville)
29. Ayesha Badar (Naperville)
30. Yazan Elkhatib (Bolingbrook)
31. Gigi Hashish (Lisle)
32. Weronika Malek-Lubawski
33. Lara Hamdan (Warrenville)
34. Inayah (Naperville)
35. James Dever (Naperville)
36. Tiffany Brito (Naperville)
37. Adeline Collins (Naperville)
38. Tom (Naperville)
39. Enrique Manzano (Naperville)
40. Mary E Hamill (Naperville)
41. Brian Wojciechowski (Naperville)

42. Heather Meneghetti (Naperville)
43. Tamar Friedman (Naperville)
44. Jennifer J Praveen (Naperville)
45. Natalie Ramos (Naperville)
46. Kelly Casmer (Naperville)
47. Praveen Kannan (Naperville)
48. Sonia Wang (Naperville)
49. Stephanie Schisgall (Naperville)
50. Brad Suik (Naperville)
51. Teresa Belmonte (Naperville)
52. Jamie Windt (Naperville)
53. Michael Mask (Naperville)
54. Alexis Belmonte (Naperville)
55. Rebecca Bailey (Naperville)
56. Adrian Belmonte (Naperville)
57. Mitch Hopper (Naperville)
58. Will Belmonte (Naperville)
59. Alyssa Thiess (Lombard)
60. Kyndell Colgrove (Naperville)
61. Amy Colgrove (Naperville)
62. Mike Wileman (Naperville)
63. William Belmonte (Wheaton)
64. Joseph Belmonte (Elmhurst)
65. Donna Noble (Lombard)
66. Patti Dougherty (Naperville)
67. Diana Windt (Fort Myers FL)
68. Peggy Colgrove (Naperville)
69. Emily Helander (Naperville)
70. David Howard (Naperville)
71. Jane Brueggemann (Naperville)
72. Laura Werner (Carol Stream)
73. Sarah Reul (Naperville)
74. Alison Fyhrie (Naperville)
75. Michelle Mayhall (Naperville)
76. Laura Hopper (Naperville)
77. Lane Victoria Melchor (Naperville)
78. Tony and Paula Loret de Mola (Naperville)
79. Lindsay Fox (Naperville)
80. Jacob Wiehn (Naperville)
81. Carrie Cole (Naperville)
82. Jinsook Kim (Naperville)
83. Maria Strafford (Chicago)
84. Ellen Shin (Naperville)
85. Jacob Strafford (Naperville)
86. April (Naperville)
87. D Sandoval (Naperville)
88. Jenna Windt (St. Charles)

## **WRITTEN COMMENTS**

1. N. Yosh Yamanaka (Naperville) It is incontrovertible that data centers consume inordinate amounts of electrical output and water for cooling. Naperville (and other jurisdictions) should not approve any data centers in its jurisdiction until the proposed data center provides a new source of electricity to entirely cover its use of electricity.

2. Gunner Rodarte (Aurora) West Suburban Illinois Democratic Socialists of America - Studies have just come out at the end of 2025 that showed these ai data centers cause more pollution than New York City and use up as much water as the entire bottled water industry in just one year of being out. Furthermore, it has been said that Karis would provide the \$\$2.5 million to THE BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE of the BUILDING where the data center would be located. Instead of investing that money into the actual City of Naperville and making the city more beautiful/walkable/more affordable/more livable, the company is throwing more money into a SINGULAR BUILDING in order to shirk the costs of water and energy consumption on to the actual residents of Naperville. This data center will not only make the residents of the city the council has been chosen to SERVE have worse health conditions but also make their and this very council's energy and water bills skyrocket because Karis is footing the bill on to we the people. Don't let this clear farce for corporate power and control kick the responsibility to your city. I urge that the council vote no on this data center and all future data centers.
3. Eric Duesing (Naperville) In recent years, the rapid expansion of AI-focused data centers has raised significant concerns. These facilities consume vast amounts of power and water, contribute little to local tax revenue or job creation, and detract from the character of our neighborhoods. The data centers along I-88 in Aurora serve as a stark example of their impact. Moreover, the long-term viability of these facilities is questionable. As AI technology evolves, new algorithms will reduce the need for such compute-intensive infrastructure, potentially leaving Naperville with yet another massive, idle building. It is imperative that we carefully evaluate whether this development truly serves the best interests of our community.
4. Kelvin Price (Naperville) I oppose the construction of any new data centers indefinitely, until they can be legislated properly, their effects on the environment understood in terms of emissions and water / electricity usage, and the IMEA contract disapproved so the city can move away from fossil fuel electric supply
5. Meghan Jebb (Naperville) I am worn out of this trope, of greed and power trumping all consideration for humanity and its quality of life. I am sick of being told we are powerless, to just accept the flood of artificial intelligence sweeping the globe. I am tired of the one percent, the richest of the rich, farming our land and our minds for profit. What is AI right now but a bundle of empty promises predicated on stealing our natural resources to deliver? What does it do, other than create misinformation nearly indiscernible from fact, plagiarize the work of humans, and steal our thoughts and intelligence? You, the city council, exist to be the voice of the people, not to bow to corporations but to protect us from their destructive machinations. We have precious few shields from the onslaught of destruction, but you have the power to OPPOSE agenda item number 25-1103F. Do not allow data centers to be built in Naperville. Our grid is already overwhelmingly supplied by coal, a fossil fuel that sickens the earth and its people as it burns. We cannot buy into community solar, which could help mitigate the coal impact, due to our cursed IMEA contract. Data centers will put unmitigated pressure on an already unhealthy system. This is a non-partisan issue. Only the most elite of all global citizens stand to gain from the scourge of data centers and the AI they feed, at the expense of the rest of us, all of us here. Protect your city from the relentless exploitation of our earth, its living occupants, and our very minds.
6. Linda Negele (Naperville) I am very concerned about the unanswered questions surrounding the Karis Data Center, specifically, the impact of the data center on our electricity rates, our environment and the quality of the surrounding neighborhood. How

much of the additional cost of electricity used by the data center will fall on residents? I understand Naperville's greenhouse gases will increase greatly as a result of the center; what will be done to mitigate this? And what will the impact be on our neighborhoods in terms of noise, traffic, water use, air pollution and strains on our infrastructure? We need to fully understand these impacts and how we will address them before approving any data center. Let's take the approach of our neighbor Aurora, and get the answers first, then decide what's best for our community.

7. Matt Elberts (Naperville) I remain genuinely surprised that this data center proposal is even being considered. This is a project that your constituents overwhelmingly oppose, yet it continues to move forward without a clear, compelling public benefit. Karis has cited approximately \$2.25 million in annual tax revenue as justification. Even assuming that figure is accurate and fully realized—which is far from certain—that amount represents less than one-half of one percent of Naperville's \$685 million annual budget. That level of revenue is inconsequential, particularly when weighed against the scale, permanence, and community opposition to this project. Karis also points to job creation. Construction jobs are temporary by definition, and there is no assurance those jobs would go to Naperville residents. As for permanent employment, data centers generate very few long-term jobs. With DuPage County's unemployment rate already around 3.5%, this proposal does not meaningfully address a local employment need. Beyond economics, this proposal raises significant infrastructure concerns that directly impact residents: Power: Data centers are among the most energy-intensive facilities that exist. This project would place substantial, long-term demand on local electrical infrastructure, potentially increasing strain on the grid, accelerating utility upgrades, and shifting costs and risk onto residents and ratepayers. Water: Data centers require large volumes of water for cooling. At a time when municipalities are increasingly focused on sustainability and long-term water planning, approving a water-intensive, non-community-serving use raises serious questions about prioritization and resilience. Noise: Continuous operation requires industrial-scale cooling systems and backup generators that operate 24/7. Even with mitigation efforts, persistent low-frequency noise is a well-documented concern for residents living near data centers and can negatively impact quality of life. Heat: These facilities expel significant waste heat into surrounding areas, altering the immediate environment and working directly against Naperville's environmental and sustainability goals. Traffic: Construction will bring heavy truck traffic over an extended period, followed by ongoing service and maintenance traffic. This increases congestion, road wear, and safety concerns, particularly in areas not designed for industrial-scale use. Residents have raised legitimate health, environmental, economic, and quality-of-life concerns, and those concerns remain unresolved. When viewed holistically, this project presents meaningful long-term downside with virtually no corresponding benefit to the community. At its core, this is a land-use and governance decision. Why would Naperville approve a project that residents do not want, that offers negligible economic upside, and that imposes permanent infrastructure and environmental burdens? What precedent does that set for future development decisions? I respectfully urge the council to listen to its constituents and reject this proposal. Naperville's success has been built on thoughtful planning, responsible growth, and a high quality of life. This project undermines all three.
8. Grant Levitan (Naperville) I am opposed to the care data center by virtue of the fact that it is adjacent to a county force preserve. The noise and the pollution generated by this center is incompatible with preserving green Spaces for the peaceful habitation of wildlife and opportunity for recreation and reflection by residents.

9. Clara Lambert (Naperville) I have sent an email to the Mayor and council members with a survey our neighborhoods have compiled. I was not able to attach to this submission. Please include the survey as public record. Also included is the number of signatures (5,278) to deny the data center. Please also include that. Please make sure to include these. (NOTE - Lambert Data Center Community Survey RESULTS are attached to the Meeting Details).

10. Gary Peck (Warrenville) Dear City Council members:  
I regret that I'm unable to attend the Tuesday Council meeting, but wanted to offer these thoughts. Over time, I've learned an important lesson: the difference between what you think you know and what you actually know is a potentially dangerous place...because every decision has unintended consequences. For example, do you really know the long-term health impact of noise and emissions? No, you don't. In your defense, you can't possibly know because the data doesn't exist; the fact is, nobody knows because the data center phenomenon is too new. Karis certainly doesn't know. They say they meet all current standards. That's great, but...will those standards become more stringent as authorities learn more about the impact of data centers? Yes, if history is any example. Which means, with a yes vote, you've entered the danger zone between what you think you know vs. what you actually know, and these unintended consequences are serious. You are possibly, arguably, creating more health risk for hundreds of parents and children. What about the unintended consequences on residents' financial health with a data center next door? Do you, for a minute, think home prices will go up? Markets don't work like that. If a potentially dangerous facility shows up next door to your home, or one that will make your home more expensive to operate, the only question is how much equity you'll lose. What are the unintended consequences of stress on the grid or water system? How much higher will their utility bills be? You're all smart enough to have read about how much impact data centers are having on communities around the country. Isn't it your job to protect us from these kinds of consequences, even in the face of a shiny new object that generates tax revenue? The attorney for Karis has said, more than once based on the zoning commission hearings I attended, let alone what he's said to you privately, that Naper Commons residents are panicking and overreacting, like the little pigs afraid of the wolf. And just like all the other times neighborhoods have gotten worked up over a project in Naperville, they'll calm down and be quiet, so ignore the noise. First, for the record, I find that kind of dismissiveness arrogant and condescending. More importantly, for your purposes, he's dead wrong. These are smart, accomplished, professional people who have studied the issues and thought deeply about how to respond to you. They're not panicking; they're cogently making a case to hold you accountable to your own rules. To assume they'll just calm down and be quiet puts you, again, in that danger zone between what you think you know and what you actually know. I'd sent each of you an email with a rule I used as a CEO, and still use when faced with a tough decision: think past the moment...will what I'm about to do or say have the impact and effect I want it to have? Because if not, I should rethink what I was going to do or say. You must know that a yes vote has the potential for disruption, or worse, of a successful, growing neighborhood that represents the best of Naperville. Is this the outcome you're hoping for?? If not, see the above rule and think some more. You see, I have a vested interest here. I have two daughters, two sons-in-law and three grandchildren who reside in Naper Commons. They planned on raising their families there. They've made substantial investments. They've established strong relationships with neighbors and have collectively created traditions and a thriving culture. I want my grandchildren to grow up happy and healthy, with good friends and surrounded by good families. That situation exists today, in part, because of your foresight in approving that development. What do you want your legacy

to be? Think past this moment and don't kid yourself with what you think you know. Vote no... Naperville deserves it.

11. Donald Gadzala (Naperville) As residents of Naperville for over 35 years, my wife and I would like to voice our strong opposition to the data center proposed for Naperville. The so-called benefits of placing this development in the City can in no way outweigh the burdens that it will place on the City's infrastructure and utilities, and therefore the residents themselves.
12. Carol Tritschler (Naperville) I am Carol Tritschler, a Naperville resident. I urge the city council to vote no to Kairos critical data center. The data center is in close proximity to homes and businesses but I think it would adversely impact us all in Naperville. I am concerned about huge volume of both water (and reversing clean water progress) and electricity usage (reversing gains from renewable energy initiatives), and potential for massive pollution and high noise level from generators of data centers being proposed all over the country. The large scale and rapid high number of AI data centers being proposed all around the country is being compared to the Fracking boom by Food and Water Watch. There are calls for national moratorium on AI data centers for more review and regulation. Meanwhile corporations are trying to ram through AI data centers through city councils before more information on the huge proposed AI data centers is available. I hope that the Naperville city council will listen to residents asking them to demonstrate leadership and restraint by voting no.
13. Daniel Alamillo (Naperville) As a Naperville resident, I am opposed to moving forward with the Karis data center.  
The Karis website claims its 36-megawatt proposal will "create a windfall" for annual tax revenue, estimating up to \$\$1.5M for the city and \$\$500K for D203. Even if their top-end estimates are correct, those numbers would only account for one-fifth of 1% of the city's most recent budget and just over one-tenth of 1% of D203's most recent budget. Those are miniscule numbers, and certainly not enough to outweigh or offset the valid concerns raised by residents and community leaders about the negative environmental, electric, and quality of life impacts this project will bring.  
According to the City of Naperville's website, there are 56,170 households in the city. According to Brian Groth, Director of Naperville's Electric Utility, a 36-megawatt data center is equivalent to about 20,000 homes. It's inconceivable that Naperville would effectively increase the electric demand by 20,000 homes – roughly 35% more than it currently has – to meet the needs of one building without providing guaranteed protections for residents to ensure their electric costs will not increase, and the reliability of their service will not decrease.  
Objectively, there is no need to rush forward with this type of project. The demand for technology and AI isn't going anywhere, and the need for more data centers will only increase as time goes on. However, it's important to distinguish who actually needs data centers – companies need them, not neighborhoods. The prudent and responsible thing to do is study the long-term impacts of data centers on residential neighborhoods and then decide if those impacts are worth absorbing in the future. There will be no shortage of companies looking to build new data centers in the future and, if further study warrants returning to this idea, Naperville can leverage a much better financial return and secure more protections for its residents than what are currently being proposed. Naperville is a great place to live, work, and raise a family. The Karis data center does nothing to contribute to the character of Naperville, and the estimated "fiscal benefits" from its tax revenue are not enough to justify overriding the legitimate concerns of the community.  
Please listen to your constituents and vote against the proposal.

14. Tim Messer (Naperville) Even beyond all the environmental concerns, and not meeting the standards for a conditional use: I work in tech, I think we are in a massive AI bubble, and I would not be surprised if this thing is vacant or repurposed within a decade. As proposed, this facility provides no tangible benefits to the people of Naperville. Please vote no.
15. Thomas Hughes (Naperville) Respectfully request the council take a measured approach to the Karis Data Center development including and most importantly identifying the approval process with measures of accountability. All things change and whatever the process that is employed should be approved and codified to ensure there are responsible measures in place to which all parties can / should be held accountable for their actions now and in the future. Thank you.
16. Tom Cuculich (Naperville) Chicagoland Associated General Contractors  
On behalf of the Chicagoland Associated General Contractors (CAGC), we respectfully urge the City Council to approve the conditional use request for the proposed data center on 40 acres of the former Lucent/Nokia campus.  
Our membership includes union general contractors of all sizes, from large national firms to highly specialized local companies, who collectively build both private and public projects and directly employ more than 10,000 tradespeople.  
As an industry that often reflects broader economic trends, we are seeing clear signs that Chicago's economic outlook is uncertain. Many of our members are sending their crews to projects in other states and markets. At present, only the health care and data center sectors continue to draw investments and construction activity in the region.  
We recognize the City Council's responsibility to weigh economic development opportunities in a way that balances the needs and interests of the entire community. Significant investments such as the Karis Critical data center have the potential to shape the region's economic trajectory for decades. Projects of this scale naturally invite a range of perspectives, and we write today to make clear that there is strong support for this proposal among the construction trades, local businesses, and the broader community.  
More than a decade ago, concerns surrounding reinvestment at the Navistar campus nearly drove a longstanding employer out of the region. After Village officials diligently reviewed the facts, the investment was preserved, and the anticipated negative impacts never materialized. That experience is instructive today. If Illinois, DuPage County, and Naperville do not continue building the essential economic infrastructure of the 21st century, our region will fall behind competing markets.  
Under the leadership of Governor JB Pritzker, Illinois has created a competitive framework to attract data center investment. As a direct result of that commitment, a developer has stepped forward with a \$250 million proposal on the long-vacant Lucent site. Based on our experience constructing similar facilities, we anticipate that future tenants could invest an additional \$500 million in computing equipment and related technologies. This represents a unique opportunity to revitalize a key employment corridor, attract new employers, and position Naperville for long term economic success. We are aware that some stakeholders have argued that the City's land use master plan should supersede the existing zoning code. We respectfully disagree. The Nokia campus has been a critical economic asset for decades, and the property's current zoning, combined with its proximity to essential utility infrastructure, makes it an ideal location for this type of development.  
Importantly, both the City and Karis Critical have listened carefully to community concerns. The project has been refined through a rigorous and transparent public process. The overall campus footprint has been reduced from earlier concepts, and the

City's draft framework includes clear operational guardrails that directly address the issues raised by residents.

Robust oversight provisions and detailed development agreements ensure accountability, responsible construction practices, and meaningful long-term community benefits, all without shifting costs onto residents.

The Chicagoland Associated General Contractors strongly supports the Karis Data Center Project. It is the right project in the right location, backed by enforceable standards and responsive community engagement. This investment will strengthen Naperville's economy, create high-quality jobs, and secure sustained benefits for families and businesses throughout the community.

Respectfully, Tom Cuculich Executive Director

17. Nora Wickman (Naperville) There is a school within half a mile of the proposed Data Center. Dupage Montessori is a school that serves hundreds of children, with students from infants through middle school. The pollution from the data center would be dangerous for the health and welfare of these children. The school address is 1111 E Warrenville Rd, Naperville, IL 60563. Please reconsider.
18. Julie Rogers (Naperville) I do not support the Karis Data Center. The negative environmental and community impact is not worth it. Even if they acknowledge they will follow EPA guidelines, those guidelines are being updated to favor polluters as we speak. Our focus should be 100% focused minimizing the effects of climate change for our children and grandchildren. A data center just makes those goals related to climate change harder to achieve.