

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Marilyn L.Schweitzer [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2022 12:33 PM
To: Planning
Subject: PZC Meeting 12/21/22 Public Comment Regarding E1, 22-1570: 214 N. Laird Street Setback Ordinance 21-111

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Naperville Planning and Zoning Commissioners,

I agree 100% with staff that "the proposed porch must comply with the footprint depicted in Ordinance 21-111". The ordinance clearly specifies the size and location of the encroachment and a 33% increase is definitely significant. To decide otherwise would set a horrible precedence for all other petitioners who have been granted a variance or plan to request a variance

Besides what staff notes, the original approved plan caused the 40' 3" porch to create a 28% intrusion into the desired street wall along Douglas. The 16' 3" longer porch would create a 46% intrusion into the desired street wall on Douglas. That is almost 1/2 the length of the 145' lot. This is not insignificant as it presents much more unarticulated bulk along Douglas and could set a precedence for other developments along Douglas.

That there was no public objection to the original plan should not imply that the public would not object to the 33% increase of area encroachment or the 46% increase of streetwall intrusion. The public hearing that was held over a year ago was based on the plan contained in the ordinance. A change to such an extent of those plans should properly go full public review. To decide otherwise would give validation for future petitioners to ask for an inch and take a mile.

Thank you for your consideration,

Marilyn L. Schweitzer
Naperville, Illinois