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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. (Kimley-Horn) was retained by Casey's Retail Company to perform a traffic impact study for the proposed Casey's General Store on the southeast quadrant of Ogden Avenue/ Naper Boulevard in Naperville, Illinois. The proposed redevelopment would provide twenty (20) fueling positions with a convenience store. The site is currently a gas station with sixteen (16) fueling positions with a convenience store and car wash. Existing access to the site is provided by four driveways: a full access driveway (Driveway 1) and a right-in/right-out only access (Driveway 2) located along Naper Boulevard as well as two full access driveways (Driveway 3 and Driveway 4) located along Ogden Avenue. The proposed site plan includes the removal of Driveway 3 along Ogden Avenue, reducing the total access driveways to the site down to three, and the alteration of Driveway 4 from full-access to $3 / 4$ access.

As part of the traffic impact study, existing and future conditions were evaluated for the signalized intersection of Ogden Avenue/ Naper Boulevard and the unsignalized intersections of Naper Boulevard/Driveway 1/Commercial Access 1, Naper Boulevard/Driveway 2, Driveway 3/Ogden Avenue, and Driveway 4/Commercial Access 2/Ogden Avenue.

Based on a review of future traffic conditions, it is anticipated that the background traffic growth and the site-generated traffic would not materially impact the Naper Boulevard or Ogden Avenue corridors. It is notable that the eastbound approach at the intersection of Ogden Avenue/Naper Boulevard is projected to experience an increase in delay of approximately 3 seconds and operate at LOS F in the AM peak hour under the Future 2027 No-Build traffic conditions and continue to operate at LOS F with a minor increase in delay for the Future 2027 Build conditions, though the overall signalized intersection continues to operate at LOS D for all time periods. Site access is anticipated to experience increases in delay due to the anticipated increase in site-generated traffic. The most notable delay increase is anticipated at the westbound site access at Naper Boulevard/Driveway 1/ Commercial Access 1, which is expected to operate at LOS E during all peak hours. The northbound approach at Ogden Avenue/Driveway 4/Commercial Access 2, is anticipated to operate at LOS B during the weekday AM and PM peak hours, as well as the Saturday midday peak hour. The low level-of-service at individual site access locations may be conservative since outbound site traffic is likely to make alternative choices to avoid visible queues and delay when exiting the site. The projected $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues for the outbound movements are not anticipated to impede internal site circulation.

With the removal on Driveway 3, it is recommended that an eastbound right-turn lane be constructed at Driveway 4 along Ogden Avenue. Two outbound lanes (a separate right-turn lane and left-turn lane) should be provided at Driveway 1. Additionally, minor leg stop control should be posted at each of the site driveways along with stop signs and stop bars. These study results are discussed in more detail in the Recommendations \& Conclusion section of this report.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. (Kimley-Horn) was retained by Casey's Retail Company to perform a traffic study for a proposed Casey's General Store on the southeast quadrant of the Ogden Avenue/ Naper Boulevard intersection in Naperville, Illinois. The site is bound by Ogden Avenue to the north, Naper Boulevard to the west, residential developments to the south, and commercial developments to the east. The proposed redevelopment would include a convenience store with twenty (20) fueling positions and approximately $4,800 \mathrm{SF}$ of convenience store space. The proposed site is currently a gas station with sixteen (16) fueling positions with a convenience store and car wash.

Access to the site will be provided by existing accesses that will remain with the redevelopment including one right-in/right-out only driveway (Driveway 2 ) and a full access driveway (Driveway 1 ) on Naper Boulevard, as well as the full access driveway (Driveway 4) modified to provide 3/4 access (right-in, right-out, left-in) on Ogden Avenue. The existing westmost full access driveway along Ogden Avenue (Driveway 3) will be removed. An aerial view of the study location and the surrounding roadway network is presented in Exhibit 1.

As part of this study, the existing roadway network was analyzed to determine the current operations at the study intersections. Site trip generation characteristics were established for the redevelopment and added to the background traffic volumes in order to assess the site's potential impact on the area roadway network. Consistent with the Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT) requirements, future traffic conditions were evaluated for Future Year 2027. This report presents and documents data collection, summarizes the evaluation of the existing and projected future traffic conditions on the surrounding roadways, and identifies recommendations to address the potential impact of sitegenerated traffic on the adjacent roadway network.


EXHIBIT 1 SITE LOCATION MAP
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## 2. EXISTING CONDITIONS

Based on aerial imagery as well as a site visit, Kimley-Horn conducted a review of the subject site including existing land uses in the surrounding area, the adjacent street system, current traffic volumes and operating conditions, lane configurations and traffic controls at nearby intersections, and other key roadway characteristics. This section of the report details information on the existing conditions.

## Area Land Uses \& Connectivity

Located in the southeast quadrant of the Ogden Avenue and Naper Boulevard intersection, the subject site is currently developed as a gas station. Located in Naperville, Illinois, the site is bounded by Ogden Avenue to the north, Naper Boulevard to the west, residential properties to the south, and commercial developments to the east.

Regional connectivity is provided to the east and west via Ogden Avenue adjacent to the site, and by I-88 approximately 0.5 miles north of the project site. I-355 provides regional connectivity to the north and south approximately 3.5 miles east of the subject site.

## Existing Roadway Characteristics

A field investigation was conducted within the study area. As a result of this visit, the following information was obtained about the existing roadway network.

Ogden Avenue (US 34) is an east-west roadway that runs along the northern frontage of the site. The Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT) classifies Ogden Avenue as a Principal Arterial. Through the study area, two travel lanes are provided in each direction with dedicated left-turn lanes at key intersections. At its signalized intersection with Naper Boulevard, Ogden Avenue provides two through lanes and a dedicated left-turn lane on the east and west legs of the intersection and a dedicated right-turn lane on the east leg. Crosswalks are provided on all legs of the intersection. At its unsignalized intersection with Driveway 3/Driveway 4/Commercial Access 2, Ogden Avenue provides two through lanes in each direction and dedicated westbound left- and right-turn lanes on the east leg. A speed limit of 35 miles per hour (MPH) is posted on Ogden Avenue through the study area. Ogden Avenue is under IDOT jurisdiction.

Naper Boulevard is a north-south roadway that runs along the western frontage of the subject site. IDOT classifies Naper Boulevard as a Principal Arterial. Through the study area, Naper Boulevard provides two travel lanes in each direction with left-turn lanes at key intersections. At its signalized intersection with Ogden Avenue, Naper Boulevard provides two through lanes and a dedicated leftturn lane on the north and south legs of the intersection and a dedicated right-turn lane is provided on the north leg of the intersection. At its unsignalized intersection with Driveway $1 /$ Commercial Access 1/Driveway 2, Naper Boulevard provides two through lanes in each direction with a dedicated northbound left-turn lane. A speed limit of 40 MPH is posted on Naper Boulevard through the study area. Naper Boulevard becomes Naperville Road (DuPage County Route 23) approximately 1,300 feet north of Ogden Avenue. Naper Boulevard is under DuPage County jurisdiction north of Ogden Avenue, and under the City of Naperville's jurisdiction south of Ogden Avenue.
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Driveway 1/Commercial Access 1 is located 250 feet south of the Ogden Avenue/ Naper Boulevard intersection. At its unsignalized intersection with Naper Boulevard, Commercial Access 1 is stop controlled and provides right-in/right-out access only on the west leg of the intersection, and Driveway 1 provides a shared left- and right-turn lane on the east leg. Left-turns into Driveway 1 from Naper Boulevard are restricted via signage during the weekday morning (6-9 AM) and weekday evening (47 PM) peak periods.

Driveway 2 is located 100 feet south of the Ogden Avenue/ Naper Boulevard intersection on the east side of Naper Boulevard. At its unsignalized intersection with Naper Boulevard, Driveway 2 provides right-in/right-out access only.

Driveway 3 is located 150 feet east of the Ogden Avenue/ Naper Boulevard intersection on the south side of Ogden Avenue. At its unsignalized intersection with Ogden Avenue, Driveway 3 provides a shared left- and right-turn lane.

Driveway 4/Commercial Access 2 is located 400 feet east of the Ogden Avenue/ Naper Boulevard intersection. At its unsignalized intersection with Ogden Avenue, Driveway 4 provides a shared leftand right-turn lane on the south leg, and the Commercial Access provides right-in/right-out only access on the north leg.

## Traffic Count Data

Turning movement count data was collected in May 2021 at the following intersections:

- Naper Boulevard/ Ogden Avenue
- Naper Boulevard/ Driveway 1/ Commercial Access 1
- Naper Boulevard/ Driveway 2
- Driveway 3/ Ogden Avenue
- Driveway 4/ Commercial Access 2/ Ogden Avenue

The counts were conducted on a typical weekday from 7:00 to 9:00AM, and 4:00 to 6:00PM. Additionally, counts were conducted on a typical Saturday from 11:00AM to 1:00PM. These count periods were selected in order to capture the peak travel periods in the area. The traffic count data indicates that peak traffic volumes occur within the study area from 7:30 to 8:30AM and 4:45 to 5:45PM on weekdays, and from 12:00 to 1:00PM on Saturdays.

24-hour counts were additionally collected for the intersection of Ogden Avenue/ Naper Boulevard on Tuesday, May 11, 2021. The 24 -hour traffic counts for the study intersection were compared to historical IDOT count data in order to determine if there was an impact on the traffic patterns in the study area due to COVID-19. The collected May 2021 data was greater than the available 2016 IDOT data along Ogden Avenue/ Naper Boulevard. Based on the volume comparison, no adjustments were made to the existing 2021 traffic counts.

The peak hour vehicle traffic volumes were rounded to the nearest multiple of five and balanced between the study intersections. The existing traffic volumes are presented in Exhibit 2. A summary of the traffic count data is provided in the appendix.


Kimley") Horn
EXHIBIT 2
EXISTING (2021) TRAFFIC VOLUMES
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## Existing Capacity Analysis

Capacity analysis for the existing and future conditions was performed using Synchro Version 11. The capacity of an intersection quantifies its ability to accommodate traffic volumes and is expressed in terms of level of service (LOS), measured in average delay per vehicle. LOS grades range from $A$ to $F$, with LOS A as the highest (best traffic flow and least delay), LOS E as saturated or at-capacity conditions, and LOS F as the lowest (oversaturated conditions). The lowest LOS grade typically accepted by jurisdictional transportation agencies in Northeastern Illinois is LOS D.

The LOS grades shown below, which are provided in the Transportation Research Board's Highway Capacity Manual (HCM), quantify and categorize the driver's discomfort, frustration, fuel consumption, and travel times experienced as a result of intersection control and the resulting traffic queuing. A detailed description of each LOS rating can be found in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1 Level of Service Grading Descriptions ${ }^{1}$

| Level of Service | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | Minimal control delay; traffic operates at primarily free-flow conditions; unimpeded movement within traffic |
| stream. |  |

${ }^{1}$ Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition.
The range of control delay for each rating (as detailed in the HCM) is shown in Table 2.2. Because signalized intersections are expected to carry a larger volume of vehicles and stopping is required during red time, note that higher delays are tolerated for the corresponding LOS ratings.

Table 2.2 Level of Service Grading Criteria ${ }^{1}$

| Level of Service | Average Control Delay (s/veh) at: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unsignalized Intersections | Signalized Intersections |
| A | $0-10$ | $0-10$ |
| B | $>10-15$ | $>10-20$ |
| C | $>15-25$ | $>20-35$ |
| D | $>25-35$ | $>35-55$ |
| E | $>35-50$ | $>55-80$ |
| F $^{2}$ | $>50$ | $>80$ |

${ }^{1}$ Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition
${ }^{2}$ All movements with a Volume to Capacity (v/C) ratio greater than 1 receive a rating of LOS F.
Based on these standards, capacity results were identified for the study intersections under existing conditions. The results of capacity analysis for existing conditions are summarized in Table 2.3. In this table, operation on each approach is quantified according to the average delay per vehicle and
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the corresponding level of service. The results for the study intersections are based on HCM $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition capacity analysis. Copies of the Synchro reports are provided in the appendix. The signal timings used in the analysis of the Ogden Avenue/ Naper Boulevard intersection were requested and obtained from IDOT.

Table 2.3 Existing (2021) Levels of Service

| Intersection | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  | Saturday Midday Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay (s/veh) | LOS | Delay (s/veh) | LOS | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| Ogden Avenue/ Naper Boulevard * |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound | 79 | $E^{1}$ | 60 | E | 51 | $D^{2}$ |
| Westbound | 55- | $D^{2}$ | 49 | D | 37 | D |
| Northbound | 24 | C | 33 | C | 32 | C |
| Southbound | 19 | B | 31 | C | 28 | C |
| Intersection | 44 | D | 43 | D | 38 | D |
| Naper Boulevard/ Driveway 1/ Commercial Access $1 \triangle$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound | 10+ | B | 14 | B | 12 | B |
| Westbound | 22 | C | 39 | E | 30 | D |
| Southbound | 1 | A | 1 | A | 1 | A |
| Naper Boulevard/ Driveway $2 \quad \triangle$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound | 14 | B | 12 | B | 13 | B |
| Ogden Avenue/ Driveway $3 \quad \triangle$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound | 1 | A | 1 | A | 1 | A |
| Northbound | 16 | C | 20 | C | 16 | C |
| Ogden Avenue/ Driveway 4/ Commercial Access $2 \triangle$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound | 1 | A | 10- | A | 10- | A |
| Northbound | 21 | C | 24 | C | 22 | C |
| Southbound | 11 | B | 13 | B | 13 | B |

* -Signalized Intersection
$\triangle$-Minor-Leg Stop-Controlled Intersection
${ }^{1}$ Through movement operates at LOS F
${ }^{2}$ Through movement operates at LOS E
At the signalized intersection of Ogden Avenue/Naper Boulevard all approaches, except the eastbound approach during the AM and PM peak hours, currently operate at LOS D or better. The eastbound approach operates at LOS E during the AM and PM peak hours. Additionally, the eastbound through movement at the intersection operates at LOS F during the AM peak hour and LOS E during the Saturday midday peak hour. The westbound through movement at the intersection operates at LOS E during the AM peak hour. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues for the eastbound left-turn movement are estimated to be up to 8 vehicles (approximately 200 feet) in the PM peak period which exceeds the existing storage by 120 feet. Queue spillback for this movement likely occurs. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues on all other approaches are accommodated by the existing storage.

At the minor-leg stop-controlled intersection of Naper Boulevard and Driveway 1/Commercial Access 1, all approaches currently operate at LOS C or better during the AM, PM and Saturday midday peak hours with the exception of the westbound approach in the PM peak hour, which operates at LOS E. Low levels-of-service are not uncommon for side street approaches, as vehicles may experience delays turning onto a major roadway. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues are estimated to be one vehicle or
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less (approximately 25 feet) for all approaches in each peak hour and are accommodated by the existing capacity.

At the minor-leg stop-controlled intersection of Naper Boulevard and Driveway 2, all approaches currently operate at LOS B or better during the AM, PM and Saturday midday peak hours. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues are estimated to be less than one vehicle (approximately 25 feet) for all approaches in each peak hour and are accommodated by the existing capacity.

At the minor-leg stop-controlled intersection of Driveway 3 and Ogden Avenue, all approaches currently operate at LOS C or better during the AM, PM and Saturday midday peak hours. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues are estimated to be one vehicle or less (approximately 25 feet) for all approaches in each peak hour and are accommodated by the existing capacity.

At the minor-leg stop-controlled intersection of Driveway 4 and Ogden Avenue, all approaches currently operate at LOS C or better during the AM, PM and Saturday midday peak hours. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues are estimated to be one vehicle or less (approximately 25 feet) for all approaches in each peak hour and are accommodated by the existing capacity.
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## 3. DEVELOPMENT CHARACTERISTICS

This section of the report outlines the proposed site plan, summarizes site-specific traffic characteristics, defines future roadway improvements, and develops future traffic projections for analysis.

## Development Characteristics

The proposed redevelopment would include an approximately 4,800 square-foot convenience store with twenty (20) vehicle fueling positions. The new Casey's General Store would replace an existing gas station with (16) fueling positions with a convenience store and car wash. The proposed redevelopment would maintain the existing full movement driveway on Naper Boulevard (Driveway 1) and the right-in/right-out only driveway on Naper Boulevard and modify the full-access driveway on Ogden Avenue (Driveway 4) to provide $3 / 4$ access. It should be noted that left-turns into Driveway 1 from Naper Boulevard are restricted via signage during the weekday morning and evening peak periods. The westmost existing full-access driveway along Ogden Avenue (Driveway 3) will be removed. Driveways 2 and 4 would include one inbound and one outbound lane and would be stop controlled on the outbound lane. Driveway 1 would provide one inbound lane and two outbound lanes: a separate right-turn and left-turn lane. All existing site driveway locations will remain for the proposed redevelopment, except for Driveway 3 as it is being removed. A conceptual site plan is provided in the appendix.

## Trip Generation

In order to calculate the trips generated by the proposed site, data was referenced from the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) manual titled Trip Generation, Eleventh Edition. Trip generation rates for the ITE Land Use Code (LUC) corresponding to the proposed use are shown in Table 3.1. LUC 945 (Convenience Store / Gas Station) offers multiple subcategories - gross floor area and vehicle fueling positions, of which gross floor area was chosen. A copy of the ITE data are provided in the appendix.

Table 3.1 ITE Trip Generation Data

| ITE Land Use | Unit | Weekday |  |  | Saturday |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Daily | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour | Midday Peak Hour |
| Convenience <br> Store/Gas Station <br> (LUC 945)Per vehicle <br> fueling positions |  | $27.04 X$ <br> $50 \%$ in $/ 50 \%$ out | $50 \%$ in $/ 50 \%$ out | $50 \%$ in $/ 50 \%$ out |  |
| $X=$ |  |  |  |  |

X = Vehicle Fueling Positions
For the purpose of this study, site generated trips are expected to exhibit multiple routing patterns when traveling to and from the subject site, as described below:

- The subject site is currently developed as a gas station. Existing site trips were removed from site generated trips to determine the net new trips generated by the site. Based on the assumptions in Table 3.3, the site trip assignment for new primary trips and new pass-by trips are illustrated on Exhibit 3 and Exhibit 4, respectively. The total new site-generated trips are depicted in Exhibit 5.
- Pass-By - Pass-by traffic reflects the travel patterns of motorists who are already traveling on the adjacent study roadways and stop at the site en route to another destination. Data in
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the ITE Trip Generation Handbook, Third Edition, reveals that roughly 62 percent of vehicles at a Convenience Market with a Gas Station are pass-by trips in the weekday morning peak hour and 56 percent of vehicles are pass-by trips during the weekday evening peak hour. ITE data is not provided for daily and Saturday midday pass-by trips; therefore, the weekday evening pass-by percentage was applied ( 56 percent).

- Primary Trips - Vehicles that travel to the subject development and then return directly to their place of origin are called "primary trips." Primary trips reflect new traffic volumes generated by the proposed development that would approach and depart on the same route. Trips to/from the site that are not pass-by trips are expected to be primary trips.
Table 3.2 shows the site generated traffic projections.
Table 3.2 Site-Generated Traffic Projections ${ }^{1}$

| Land Use | Size | Daily | Weekday |  |  |  |  |  | Saturday |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  | Midday Peak Hour |  |  |
|  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Convenience Store/Gas Station (LUC 945) | 20 Fueling Positions | 5,140 | 270 | 270 | 540 | 230 | 230 | 460 | 205 | 205 | 410 |
| Existing Site traffic |  | -- | 125 | 100 | 225 | 145 | 140 | 285 | 150 | 125 | 275 |
| Total New Site Trips |  | -- | 145 | 170 | 315 | 85 | 90 | 175 | 55 | 80 | 135 |
| Pass-By Trips ${ }^{2}$ |  | -- | 100 | 100 | 200 | 55 | 55 | 110 | 40 | 40 | 80 |
| Net New Site Trips |  | -- | 45 | 70 | 115 | 30 | 35 | 65 | 15 | 40 | 55 |

${ }^{1}$ In/Out volumes are rounded to the nearest multiple of five.
${ }^{2}$ Based upon the ITE Trip Generation Handbook, Third Edition, pass-by trips for the site are assumed to be 62 percent during the weekday morning, 56 percent during the weekday evening. ITE data is not provided for Saturday midday and daily pass-by trips; therefore, the weekday evening passby percentage was applied.

## Directional Distribution

The estimated distribution of site-generated traffic on the surrounding roadway network as it approaches and departs the site is a function of several variables, such as the nature of surrounding land uses, prevailing traffic volumes/patterns, characteristics of the street system, and the ease with which motorists can travel over various sections of that system. The anticipated directional distributions estimated for the primary trips and pass-by trips are outlined in Table 3.3.

Table 3.3 Estimated Trip Distribution

| Traveling to/from | Estimated Trip Distribution |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary Trips | Pass-By Trips ${ }^{1}$ |
| North on Naper Boulevard | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| South on Naper Boulevard | $40 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| East on Ogden Avenue | $30 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| West on Ogden Avenue | $25 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

[^0]ogden AVENUE
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## 4. FUTURE CONDITIONS

This section of the report outlines the proposed site plan, summarizes site-specific traffic characteristics, and develops future traffic projections for analysis.

## Future Background Traffic Projections

Background traffic volumes were estimated using data from the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP). Based on information received from CMAP, the following growth rates were determined for the roadway segments in the study area:

- Ogden Avenue east of Naper Boulevard: 0.43\%
- Naper Boulevard north of Ogden Avenue: 0.17\%
- Naper Boulevard south of Ogden Avenue: 0.43\%

To be conservative for the analysis, the 0.43 percent growth rate was applied to the entire study area for background traffic growth. An official letter from CMAP documenting the projected Year 2050 traffic volume on the study roadways is included in the appendix. The future background traffic volumes for Year 2027 are presented in Exhibit 6.

## Future Geometry

Future traffic projections for Year 2027 were calculated by adding the total new site trips (Exhibit 5) to future no-build traffic projections (Exhibit 6). Traffic projections for the future (2027) build scenario are illustrated in Exhibit 7. For the analysis of future traffic conditions, the existing intersection traffic geometrics and control was assumed with the exception of Driveway 3 as it is being removed, and Driveway 4 as it is being modified to $3 / 4$ access. A review of turn lane warrants was completed for the site driveway locations based on criteria outlined in the IDOT guidelines provided in the IDOT Bureau of Design and Environment (BDE) Manual.

At Driveway 1 on Naper Boulevard, IDOT BDE Manual volume guidance provided for unsignalized intersections on four-lane facilities identified that existing 2021 traffic conditions do not meet the criteria for a northbound right-turn lane at Driveway 1. However, with the addition of site-generated traffic, future traffic conditions for this movement meet the warrant criteria. While warranted, the turn lane was not included in the analysis based on acceptable operations and City staff direction.

At Driveway 2 on Naper Boulevard, IDOT BDE Manual volume guidance provided for four-lane facilities identified that future traffic conditions do not meet the criteria for a northbound right-turn lane at Driveway 2. As such, the turn lane was not included in the analysis.

At Driveway 4 on Ogden Avenue, IDOT BDE Manual volume guidance provided for unsignalized intersections on four-lane facilities identified that existing 2021 traffic conditions meet the criteria for a westbound left-turn lane at Driveway 4, but do not meet the criteria for an eastbound right-turn lane. Future traffic conditions continue to meet the criteria for a westbound left-turn lane at Driveway 4 and will now meet the criteria for an eastbound right-turn lane with the closure of Driveway 3. Due to the existing westbound left-turn lane associated with the signalized intersection of Ogden Avenue/ Naper Boulevard, the installation of a westbound left-turn lane was not included in this analysis. The closure of Driveway 3 provides space for an eastbound right-turn lane to be installed at Driveway 4. Based on BDE Manual guidance, a right-turn lane with 145 feet of storage and a 175 -foot taper should be installed at Driveway 4, however due to the proximity of Driveway 4 to the Ogden Avenue/Naper
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Boulevard intersection, the recommended turn lane storage and taper cannot be met, therefore, coordination with IDOT will be required to install the turn lane. For the purposes of this analysis, a right-turn lane with 100 feet of storage and a 50-foot taper were assumed.
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## Future No-Build Capacity Analysis

Based on the volume projections in Exhibit 6, capacity results were identified for the study intersections under future (2027) no-build conditions. The results of capacity analysis are summarized in Table 4.2 Consistent with the existing conditions analysis, the results for the study intersections are based on Synchro's HCM 6th Edition reports. The signal timings used in the analysis of the Ogden Avenue/ Naper Boulevard intersection were requested and obtained from IDOT.

Table 4.2 Future No-Build Level of Service

| Intersection |  | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  | Saturday Midday Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (s/veh) } \end{aligned}$ | LOS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (s/veh) } \end{aligned}$ | LOS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (s/veh) } \end{aligned}$ | LOS |
| Ogden Avenue/ Naper Boulevard | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound |  | 82 | F | 61 | E | 52 | D1 |
| Westbound |  | 55- | $\mathrm{D}^{1}$ | 49 | D | 37 | D |
| Northbound |  | 25 | C | 34 | C2 | 34 | C2 |
| Southbound |  | 20- | $B^{3}$ | 32 | C | 29 | C |
| Intersection |  | 46 | D | 44 | D | 39 | D |
| Naper Boulevard/ Driveway 1/ Commercial Access $1 \triangle$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound |  | 10+ | B | 14 | B | 12 | B |
| Westbound |  | 23 | C | 41 | E | 32 | D |
| Southbound |  | 1 | A | 1 | A | 1 | A |
| Naper Boulevard/ Driveway 2 | $\triangle$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound |  | 14 | B | 12 | B | 13 | B |
| Ogden Avenue/ Driveway 3 | $\triangle$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound |  | 1 | A | 1 | A | 1 | A |
| Northbound |  | 17 | C | 21 | C | 16 | C |
| Ogden Avenue/ Driveway 4/ Commercial Access $2 \triangle$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound |  | 1 | A | 1 | A | 1 | A |
| Northbound |  | 21 | C | 26 | D | 23 | C |
| Southbound |  | 11 | B | 13 | B | 13 | B |
| $\star$-Signalized Intersection $\triangle$-Minor-Leg Stop-Controlled Intersection ${ }^{1}$ Through movement operates at LOS <br> 2Through movement operates at LOS D  $\quad$3Left turn movement operates at LOS C$\quad$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

At the signalized intersection of Ogden Avenue/ Naper Boulevard under Future No-Build conditions, individual intersection approaches operate at similar LOS with minor increases in delay as compared to Existing Conditions with the exception of the eastbound approach, which operates at LOS F instead of LOS E due to an increase in delay of 3 seconds during the AM peak hour. The eastbound through movement continues to operate at LOS E during the Saturday midday peak hour, the westbound through movement continues to operate at LOS E in the AM peak hour, and the southbound left-turn movement continues to operate at LOS C. With the increase in background traffic for the Future NoBuild condition, the northbound through movement operates at LOS D in the PM and Saturday peak hours. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue estimates for all approaches are similar to Existing Conditions, with the eastbound left-turn lane queue expected to continue exceeding the provided storage, and all other queues accommodated by the provided storage.

At the minor-leg stop-controlled intersection of Naper Boulevard and Driveway 1/Commercial Access 1, all approaches continue to operate at LOS E or better during the AM, PM and Saturday midday
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peak hours with minor increases in delay. Low levels-of-service are not uncommon for side street approaches, as vehicles may experience delays turning onto a major roadway. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues are estimated to be similar to Existing Conditions, with all queues accommodated by the provided storage.

At the minor-leg stop-controlled intersection of Naper Boulevard and Driveway 2, all approaches continue to operate at LOS B or better during the AM, PM and Saturday midday peak hours with no increase in delay or LOS. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues are estimated to be similar to Existing Conditions, with all queues accommodated by the provided storage.

At the minor-leg stop-controlled intersection of Driveway 3 and Ogden Avenue, all approaches continue to operate at LOS C or better during the AM, PM and Saturday midday peak hours with minor increases in delay compared to existing conditions. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues are estimated to be similar to Existing Conditions, with all queues accommodated by the provided storage.

At the minor-leg stop-controlled intersection of Driveway 4 and Ogden Avenue, all approaches continue to operate at LOS C or better during the AM, PM and Saturday midday peak hours and minor increases in delay compared to existing conditions with the exception of the northbound approach which operates at LOS D with an increase in delay of 2 seconds. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues are estimated to be similar to Existing Conditions, with all queues accommodated by the provided storage.
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## Future Build Capacity Analysis

Based on the volume projections presented in Exhibit 7, capacity results were identified for the study intersections under Future Year 2027 Build conditions. The results of capacity analysis are summarized in Table 4.3. Consistent with the No-Build Conditions analysis, the results for the study intersections are based on Synchro's HCM 6th Edition reports. The signal timings used in the analysis of the Ogden Avenue/ Naper Boulevard intersection were requested and obtained from IDOT.
Table 4.3 Future Build Level of Service

| Intersection |  | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  | Saturday Midday Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (s/veh) } \end{aligned}$ | LOS | Delay (s/veh) | LOS | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| Ogden Avenue/ Naper Boulevard | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound |  | 83 | F | 62 | E | 52 | D1 |
| Westbound |  | 54 | D | 48 | D | 37 | D |
| Northbound |  | 25 | C | 38 | D | 34 | C 2 |
| Southbound |  | 21 | C | 32 | C | 29 | C |
| Intersection |  | 46 | D | 44 | D | 39 | D |
| Naper Boulevard/ Driveway 1/ Commercial Access $1 \triangle$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound |  | 10+ | B | 14 | B | 12 | B |
| Westbound |  | 44 | E | 48 | E | 37 | E |
| Southbound |  | 0 | A | 0 | A | <1 | A |
| Naper Boulevard/ Driveway 2 | $\triangle$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound |  | 16 | C | 13 | B | 13 | B |
| Ogden Avenue/ Driveway 4/ Commercial Access $2 \triangle$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westbound |  | 1 | A | 1 | A | 1 | A |
| Northbound |  | 12 | B | 12 | B | 13 | B |
| Southbound |  | 11 | B | 13 | B | 13 | B |

*-Signalized Intersection $\triangle$-Minor-Leg Stop-Controlled Intersection $\quad$ 1Through movement operates at LOS E
${ }^{2}$ Through movement operates at LOS D
At the signalized intersection of Ogden Avenue/ Naper Boulevard under Future Build conditions, individual intersection approaches operate at similar LOS with minor increases in delay as compared to no-build conditions with the exception of the southbound approach during the AM peak hour which operates at LOS C with an increase in delay of 1 second as compared to the no-build scenario. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue estimates for all approaches are similar to future no-build conditions, with the eastbound left-turn lane queue expected to continue exceeding the provided storage, and all other queues are accommodated by the provided storage.

At the minor-leg stop-controlled intersection of Naper Boulevard and Driveway 1/Commercial Access 1, the eastbound approach continues to operate at LOS B during the AM, PM and Saturday midday peak hours. The westbound site access approach operates at LOS E in the AM, PM and Saturday peak hours. Low levels-of-service are not uncommon for side street approaches, as vehicles may experience delays turning onto a major roadway. The low level-of-service at this particular site access may be conservative since outbound site traffic is likely to make an alternative choice to avoid visible queues and delay when exiting the site. By providing two outbound lanes, the projected $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues for the westbound left-turn movement are projected to be approximately 3 vehicles ( 75 feet) or less during all peak hours and the projected $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues for the westbound
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right-turn movement are projected to be less than one vehicle during all peak hours, resulting in no impact to internal site circulation. It is likely that the recommended westbound left and right-turn lanes are only able to provide approximately 25 feet of useable storage due to the bend in Driveway 1. As mentioned above, the projected $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue for the westbound left-turn lane is 75 feet and as such, the vehicles queued for this movement will extend around the bend and may block access to the westbound right-turn lane. In the event that this scenario arises, it is likely that vehicles will utilize Driveway 2 to exit the site.

At the minor-leg stop-controlled intersection of Naper Boulevard and Driveway 2, all approaches currently operate at LOS C or better during the AM, PM and Saturday midday peak hours. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues are estimated to be similar to No-Build Conditions, with all queues accommodated by the provided storage.

At the minor-leg stop-controlled intersection of Driveway 4 and Ogden Avenue, the southbound approach continues to operate at LOS B or better during all peak hours. The northbound site access approach is projected to operate at LOS B during each peak hour. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue for the northbound right-turn lane is projected at one vehicle or less (approximately 25 feet) during all three peak hours. Based on the lengths of these projected northbound queues, internal site circulation will not be impacted.



Kimley") Horn
EXHIBIT 7
FUTURE (2027) BUILD TRAFFIC PROJECTIONS
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## 5. RECOMMENDATIONS \& CONCLUSIONS

Based on Kimley-Horn's review of the proposed site plan and evaluation of existing and future traffic conditions, the study intersections are projected to adequately accommodate the proposed redevelopment with the implementation of the following improvements:

- Naper Boulevard/ Driveway 1
- Provide one inbound lane and two outbound lanes (separate right-turn and left-turn lanes approximately 25 feet each) with minor leg stop control and a stop sign and stop bar
- Naper Boulevard/ Driveway 2
- Provide one inbound lane and one outbound lane with minor leg stop control and a stop sign and stop bar
- Ogden Avenue/ Driveway 4
- Provide a right-turn lane on Ogden Avenue with 100 feet of storage and a 50-foot taper
- Provide one inbound lane and one outbound lane with minor leg stop control and a stop sign and stop bar. Modify the access from full-access to provide $3 / 4$ access with a mountable curb median restricting outbound left-turn movements onto Ogden Avenue.

No improvements are recommended for the existing signalized intersection of Ogden Avenue/ Naper Boulevard. The study intersection does not warrant additional turn-lanes based on the future build traffic conditions presented in this analysis, however, a review of the existing traffic volumes and signal timings is recommended.

Regardless of the final configuration of the intersection geometrics, several additional items should be taken into consideration when preparing site and roadway improvement plans for the subject redevelopment. As the site design progresses, care should be taken with landscaping, signage, and monumentation at the site access locations to ensure that adequate horizontal sight distance is provided from the new stop bars. If alterations to the site plan or land use should occur, changes to the analysis provided within this traffic impact study may be needed.
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## TECHNICAL APPENDIX

Conceptual Site Plan
Traffic Count Data
CMAP Year 2050 Projections
Existing (2021) Capacity Reports
Data from the ITE Manual Trip Generation, Eleventh Edition
Data from the ITE Trip Generation Handbook, Third Edition
Future (2027) No-Build Capacity Reports
Future (2027) Build Capacity Reports


Christina Soteros
Kimley-Horn
4201 Winfield Road
Suite 600
Warrenville, IL 60555

## Subject: Ogden Avenue (US 34) @ Naper Boulevard IDOT

Dear Ms. Soteros:
In response to a request made on your behalf and dated May 18, 2021, we have developed year 2050 average daily traffic (ADT) projections for the subject location.

| ROAD SEGMENT | Current Volumes | Year 2050 ADT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Ogden Ave east of Naper Blvd | 23,500 | 27,200 |
| Naper Blvd north of Ogden Ave | 28,000 | 29,700 |
| Naper Blvd south of Ogden Ave | 21,000 | 24,300 |

Traffic projections are developed using existing ADT data provided in the request letter and the results from the December 2020 CMAP Travel Demand Analysis. The regional travel model uses CMAP 2050 socioeconomic projections and assumes the implementation of the ON TO 2050 Comprehensive Regional Plan for the Northeastern Illinois area. The provision of this data in support of your request does not constitute a CMAP endorsement of the proposed development or any subsequent developments.

If you have any questions, please call me at (312) 386-8806.
Sincerely,


Jose Rodriguez, PTP, AICP
Senior Planner, Research \& Analysis

[^1]| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | 性 |  | 7 | 性 | F | 7 | 个 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | \％ | 性 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 155 | 620 | 115 | 60 | 465 | 115 | 180 | 995 | 35 | 140 | 355 | 20 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 155 | 620 | 115 | 60 | 465 | 115 | 180 | 995 | 35 | 140 | 355 | 20 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1826 | 1811 | 1826 | 1856 | 1844 | 1811 | 1856 | 1870 | 1767 | 1856 | 1969 | 1618 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 163 | 653 | 121 | 63 | 489 | 121 | 189 | 1047 | 37 | 147 | 374 | 21 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 5 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 19 |
| Cap，veh／h | 234 | 707 | 131 | 132 | 754 | 411 | 620 | 1863 | 66 | 313 | 1950 | 806 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.07 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.04 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.06 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.05 | 0.52 | 0.52 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1739 | 2899 | 536 | 1767 | 3504 | 1535 | 1767 | 3501 | 124 | 1767 | 3741 | 1372 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 163 | 387 | 387 | 63 | 489 | 121 | 189 | 531 | 553 | 147 | 374 | 21 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1739 | 1721 | 1715 | 1767 | 1752 | 1535 | 1767 | 1777 | 1848 | 1767 | 1870 | 1372 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 10.0 | 32.9 | 33.0 | 4.1 | 19.1 | 9.4 | 7.4 | 29.9 | 29.9 | 5.8 | 8.0 | 1.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 10.0 | 32.9 | 33.0 | 4.1 | 19.1 | 9.4 | 7.4 | 29.9 | 29.9 | 5.8 | 8.0 | 1.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.31 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.07 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 234 | 419 | 418 | 132 | 754 | 411 | 620 | 945 | 983 | 313 | 1950 | 806 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.70 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.48 | 0.65 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.47 | 0.19 | 0.03 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 234 | 424 | 423 | 182 | 864 | 459 | 643 | 945 | 983 | 355 | 1950 | 806 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（I） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 44.6 | 55.4 | 55.4 | 46.4 | 53.7 | 43.6 | 14.7 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 18.6 | 19.1 | 12.9 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 8.8 | 28.4 | 28.8 | 2.7 | 4.3 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％／ile BackOfQ（95\％），veh／ln | 9.1 | 24.4 | 24.4 | 3.5 | 13.7 | 6.8 | 5.4 | 18.8 | 19.4 | 4.3 | 6.3 | 0.6 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 53.4 | 83.7 | 84.2 | 49.1 | 58.0 | 45.5 | 15.0 | 25.8 | 25.7 | 19.7 | 19.3 | 13.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | F | F | D | E | D | B | C | C | B | B | B |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 937 |  |  | 673 |  |  | 1273 |  |  | 542 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 78.6 |  |  | 54.9 |  |  | 24.2 |  |  | 19.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phs Duration（ $G+Y+R \mathrm{C}$ ），$s$ | 9.2 | 43.1 | 13.0 | 84.7 | 13.5 | 38.8 | 11.4 | 86.3 |
| Change Period（ $Y+R \mathrm{R}$ ）， s | 3.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 6.5 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 10.0 | 37.0 | 11.5 | 71.5 | 10.0 | 37.0 | 11.5 | 71.5 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 6.1 | 35.0 | 9.4 | 10.0 | 12.0 | 21.1 | 7.8 | 31.9 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 0.1 | 25.6 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 44.3
HCM 6th LOS D

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\mathbf{T}$ | 个 |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 20 | 1190 | 5 | 0 | 530 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 20 | 1190 | 5 | 0 | 530 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | Stop | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 21 | 1253 | 5 | 0 | 558 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 629 | 0 | 0 | - |


| Conflicting Flow All | - | 629 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | 7 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | 3.35 | - | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | 418 | - | - | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | 418 | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 14.1 | 0 | 0 |

HCM LOS ..... B

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBT |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -418 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | 0.05 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 14.1 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | $B$ | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.2 | - |



HCM LOS B C

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBR EBLn1WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 711 | 244 | 549 | - |



| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 836 | 0 | 1146 | 418 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 802 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 344 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.14 | - | 6.84 | 7.06 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.84 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.84 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.22 | - | 3.52 | 3.38 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 794 | - | 193 | 567 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 402 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 689 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 794 | - | 192 | 567 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 192 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 402 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 685 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0.1 | 16 |
| HCM LOS |  | $C$ |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 343 | - | - | 794 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.046 | - | -0.007 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 16 | - | - | 9.6 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | - | - | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | 性 |  | \％ | 性 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 155 | 635 | 190 | 115 | 740 | 170 | 215 | 640 | 30 | 220 | 840 | 65 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 155 | 635 | 190 | 115 | 740 | 170 | 215 | 640 | 30 | 220 | 840 | 65 |
| Initial Q（Qb），veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1856 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1969 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1856 | 1856 | 1969 | 1618 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 163 | 668 | 200 | 121 | 779 | 179 | 226 | 674 | 32 | 232 | 884 | 68 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 19 |
| Cap，veh／h | 248 | 805 | 241 | 200 | 1058 | 593 | 344 | 1437 | 68 | 411 | 1610 | 695 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.08 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.06 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.08 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.09 | 0.43 | 0.43 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1767 | 2695 | 807 | 1781 | 3741 | 1585 | 1781 | 3454 | 164 | 1767 | 3741 | 1372 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 163 | 440 | 428 | 121 | 779 | 179 | 226 | 347 | 359 | 232 | 884 | 68 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1767 | 1777 | 1725 | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1841 | 1767 | 1870 | 1372 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 9.7 | 34.7 | 34.7 | 7.2 | 28.3 | 12.0 | 11.1 | 21.2 | 21.3 | 11.1 | 26.4 | 3.9 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 9.7 | 34.7 | 34.7 | 7.2 | 28.3 | 12.0 | 11.1 | 21.2 | 21.3 | 11.1 | 26.4 | 3.9 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.47 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.09 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 248 | 530 | 515 | 200 | 1058 | 593 | 344 | 739 | 766 | 411 | 1610 | 695 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.66 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.61 | 0.74 | 0.30 | 0.66 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.56 | 0.55 | 0.10 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 248 | 563 | 546 | 227 | 1185 | 646 | 344 | 739 | 766 | 615 | 1610 | 695 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 37.0 | 49.1 | 49.1 | 38.9 | 48.7 | 33.1 | 25.2 | 31.8 | 31.8 | 22.9 | 31.9 | 19.2 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 6.1 | 14.0 | 14.4 | 3.6 | 4.6 | 1.3 | 4.5 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.3 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（95\％），veh／In | 8.1 | 24.2 | 23.7 | 6.0 | 19.9 | 8.4 | 8.7 | 14.5 | 15.0 | 8.2 | 17.8 | 2.3 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 43.1 | 63.1 | 63.5 | 42.5 | 53.3 | 34.4 | 29.7 | 33.9 | 33.8 | 24.2 | 33.2 | 19.5 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | E | E | D | D | C | C | C | C | C | C | B |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 1031 |  |  | 1079 |  |  | 932 |  |  | 1184 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 60.1 |  |  | 48.9 |  |  | 32.9 |  |  | 30.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 12.7 | 51.3 | 15.0 | 71.1 | 15.0 | 48.9 | 17.2 | 68.9 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 3.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 6.5 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 11.5 | 47.5 | 11.5 | 59.5 | 11.5 | 47.5 | 31.0 | 40.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 9.2 | 36.7 | 13.1 | 28.4 | 11.7 | 30.3 | 13.1 | 23.3 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.1 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 19.1 | 0.0 | 12.2 | 0.6 | 9.4 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 43.0
HCM 6th LOS D

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\mathbf{7}$ | 个 |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 15 | 870 | 10 | 0 | 1145 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 15 | 870 | 10 | 0 | 1145 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | Stop | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 16 | 916 | 11 | 0 | 1205 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 464 | 0 | 0 | - |


| Conflicting Flow All | - | 464 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Criticat Hdwy | - | 7.06 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | 3.38 | - | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | 529 | - | - | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | 529 | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 12 | 0 | 0 |

HCM LOS B

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBT |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -529 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | 0.03 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 12 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | $B$ | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay，s／veh | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement E | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  | 「7 |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | 中t |  |  | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 0 | 0 | 30 | 20 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 850 | 35 | 1 | 1095 | 50 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 0 | 0 | 30 | 20 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 850 | 35 | 1 | 1095 | 50 |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | － | － | Stop | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | None |
| Storage Length | － | － | 0 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | \＃ | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Grade，\％ | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 32 | 21 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 895 | 37 | 1 | 1153 | 53 |



| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay，s | 13.8 | 38.2 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B | E |  |  |


| Minor Lane／Major Mvmt | NBT | NBR EBLn1WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity（veh／h） | - | -440 | 160 | 730 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V／C Ratio | - | -0.072 | 0.329 | 0.001 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay（s） | - | -13.8 | 38.2 | 9.9 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | B | E | A | - |
| HCM 95th \％tile Q（veh） | - | - | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0 | - |



| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 931 | 0 | 1448 | 466 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 889 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 559 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.14 | - | 6.84 | 6.94 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.84 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.84 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.22 | - | 3.52 | 3.32 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 731 | - | 122 | 543 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 362 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 536 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 731 | - | 120 | 543 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 120 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 362 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 528 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0.1 |  | 20.4 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL WBT |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 250 | - | - | 731 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.063 | - |  | 0.014 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 20.4 | - | - | 10 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | C | - | - | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.2 | - | - | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个t |  | \％ | 个4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | 7 | 个 $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 195 | 705 | 210 | 130 | 670 | 165 | 240 | 715 | 50 | 175 | 550 | 80 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 195 | 705 | 210 | 130 | 670 | 165 | 240 | 715 | 50 | 175 | 550 | 80 |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1969 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1969 | 1841 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 205 | 742 | 221 | 137 | 705 | 174 | 253 | 753 | 53 | 184 | 579 | 84 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Cap，veh／h | 316 | 828 | 247 | 211 | 1049 | 579 | 438 | 1252 | 88 | 339 | 1329 | 705 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.10 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.07 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.10 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.08 | 0.36 | 0.36 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1781 | 2699 | 804 | 1781 | 3741 | 1585 | 1781 | 3368 | 237 | 1781 | 3741 | 1560 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 205 | 489 | 474 | 137 | 705 | 174 | 253 | 397 | 409 | 184 | 579 | 84 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1781 | 1777 | 1726 | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1828 | 1781 | 1870 | 1560 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 9.5 | 31.5 | 31.5 | 6.5 | 20.1 | 9.4 | 10.7 | 21.7 | 21.7 | 7.7 | 14.2 | 3.7 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 9.5 | 31.5 | 31.5 | 6.5 | 20.1 | 9.4 | 10.7 | 21.7 | 21.7 | 7.7 | 14.2 | 3.7 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.47 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.13 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 316 | 545 | 529 | 211 | 1049 | 579 | 438 | 660 | 679 | 339 | 1329 | 705 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.65 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.65 | 0.67 | 0.30 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.54 | 0.44 | 0.12 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 342 | 597 | 580 | 230 | 1144 | 619 | 438 | 660 | 679 | 582 | 1329 | 705 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（1） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 27.9 | 39.8 | 39.8 | 31.6 | 38.3 | 27.2 | 21.7 | 30.5 | 30.5 | 23.2 | 29.5 | 19.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 3.8 | 15.3 | 15.7 | 5.6 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.3 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（95\％），veh／ln | 7.7 | 22.3 | 21.8 | 5.5 | 14.3 | 6.4 | 8.0 | 14.9 | 15.2 | 5.9 | 10.6 | 2.5 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 31.7 | 55.1 | 55.5 | 37.2 | 39.7 | 27.5 | 23.6 | 34.5 | 34.4 | 24.6 | 30.5 | 19.4 |
| LnGrp LOS | C | E | E | D | D | C | C | C | C | C | C | B |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 1168 |  |  | 1016 |  |  | 1059 |  |  | 847 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 51.1 |  |  | 37.2 |  |  | 31.9 |  |  | 28.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 11.9 | 43.3 | 15.6 | 49.1 | 15.1 | 40.2 | 13.6 | 51.1 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 3.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 6.5 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 9.7 | 40.3 | 12.1 | 37.9 | 13.3 | 36.7 | 26.5 | 23.5 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋I1），s | 8.5 | 33.5 | 12.7 | 16.2 | 11.5 | 22.1 | 9.7 | 23.7 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.1 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 |

## Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 37.9 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | D |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement WBL | VBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | 1 | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 中4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 15 | 990 | 5 | 0 | 890 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 15 | 990 | 5 | 0 | 890 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized |  | Stop | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 16 | 1042 | 5 | 0 | 937 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 524 | 0 | 0 | - |


| Conflicting Flow All | - | 524 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Criticat Hdwy | - | 6.94 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | 3.32 | - | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | 498 | - | - | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | 498 | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 12.5 | 0 | 0 |

HCM LOS B

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -498 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.032 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | -12.5 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | $B$ |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.1 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay，s／veh | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement E | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | 瑯 |  |  | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 0 | 0 | 30 | 15 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 960 | 35 | 5 | 845 | 40 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 0 | 0 | 30 | 15 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 960 | 35 | 5 | 845 | 40 |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | － | － | Stop | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | None |
| Storage Length | － | － | 0 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | \＃ | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Grade，\％ | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 32 | 16 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 1011 | 37 | 5 | 889 | 42 |


HCM LOS B D

| Minor Lane／Major Mvmt | NBT | NBR EBLn1WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity（veh／h） | - | -543 | 194 | 660 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V／C Ratio | - | -0.058 | 0.271 | 0.008 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay（s） | - | - | 12 | 30.3 | 10.5 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | B | D | B | - |
| HCM 95th \％tile Q（veh） | - | - | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0 | - |



| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 978 | 0 | 1452 | 489 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 934 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 518 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.14 | - | 6.84 | 6.94 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.84 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.84 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.22 | - | 3.52 | 3.32 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 701 | - | 121 | 525 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 343 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 563 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 701 | - | 120 | 525 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 120 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 343 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 559 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0.1 | 16.1 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | C |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 336 | - | - | 701 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.038 | - | -0.008 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 16.1 | - | - | 10.2 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | - | - | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 「 |  | \& |  |  |  | F' |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 855 | 1 | 15 | 875 | 65 | 10 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 85 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 855 | 1 | 15 | 875 | 65 | 10 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 85 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | Stop |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | 5 | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 900 | 1 | 16 | 921 | 68 | 11 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 89 |



# Land Use: 945 Convenience Store/Gas Station 

## Description

A convenience store/gas station is a facility with a co-located convenience store and gas station. The convenience store sells grocery and other everyday items that a person may need or want as a matter of convenience. The gas station sells automotive fuels such as gasoline and diesel.

A convenience store/gas station is typically located along a major thoroughfare to optimize motorist convenience. Extended hours of operation (with many open 24 hours, 7 days a week) are common at these facilities.

The convenience store product mix typically includes pre-packaged grocery items, beverages, dairy products, snack foods, confectionary, tobacco products, over-the-counter drugs, and toiletries. A convenience store may sell alcohol, often limited to beer and wine. Coffee and premade sandwiches are also commonly sold at a convenience store. Made-to-order food orders are sometimes offered. Some stores offer limited seating.

The sites in this land use include both self-pump and attendant-pumped fueling positions and both pre-pay and post-pay operations.

Convenience store (Land Use 851), gasoline/service station (Land Use 944), and truck stop (Land Use 950) are related uses.

## Land Use Subcategory

Multiple subcategories were added to this land use to allow for multi-variable evaluation of sites with single-variable data plots. All study sites are assigned to one of three subcategories, based on the number of vehicle fueling positions (VFP) at the site: between 2 and 8 VFP , between 9 and 15 VFP, and between 16 and 24 VFP. For each VFP range subcategory, data plots are presented with GFA as the independent variable for all time periods and trip types for which data are available. The use of both GFA and VFP (as the independent variable and land use subcategory, respectively) provides a significant improvement in the reliability of a trip generation estimate when compared to the single-variable data plots in prior editions of Trip Generation Manual.

Further, the study sites were also assigned to one of three other subcategories, based on the gross floor area (GFA) of the convenience store at the site: between 2,000 and 4,000 square feet, between 4,000 and 5,500 square feet, and between 5,500 and 10,000 square feet. For each GFA subcategory range, data plots are presented with VFP as the independent variable for all time periods and trip types for which data are available. The use of both VFP and GFA (as the independent variable and land use subcategory, respectively) provides a significant improvement in the reliability of a trip generation estimate when compared to the single-variable data plots in prior editions of Trip Generation Manual.

When analyzing the convenience store/gas station land use with each combination of GFA and VFP values as described above, the two sets of data plots will produce two estimates of sitegenerated trips. Both values can be considered when determining a site trip generation estimate.

Data plots are also provided for three additional independent variables: AM peak hour traffic on adjacent street, PM peak hour traffic on adjacent street, and employees. These independent variables are intended to be analyzed as single independent variables and do not have subcategories associated with them. Within the data plots and within the ITETripGen web app, these plots are found under the land use subcategory "none."

## Additional Data

ITE recognizes there are existing convenience store/gas station sites throughout North America that are larger than the sites presented in the data plots. However, the ITE database does not include any site with more than 24 VFP or any site with gross floor area greater than 10,000 square feet. Submission of trip generation data for larger sites is encouraged.

The technical appendices provide supporting information on time-of-day distributions for this land use. The appendices can be accessed through either the ITETripGen web app or the trip generation resource page on the ITE website (https://www.ite.org/technical-resources/topics/trip-and-parking-generation/).

The sites were surveyed in the 1980s, the 1990s, the 2000s, and the 2010s in Alberta (CAN), Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington, and Wisconsin.

## Source Numbers

$221,245,274,288,300,340,350,351,352,355,359,385,440,617,718,810,813,844,850,853$, $864,865,867,869,882,883,888,904,926,927,936,938,954,960,962,977,1004,1024,1025$, 1027, 1052

## Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (2-4k) <br> (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions
On a: Weekday

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 48
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 8
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 265.12 | $68.50-701.00$ | 142.37 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (2-4k) <br> (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 76
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 8
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16.06 | $3.75-50.00$ | 8.79 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (2-4k) <br> (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 93
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 8
Directional Distribution: $50 \%$ entering, $50 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18.42 | $5.75-57.80$ | 10.16 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (2-4k) <br> (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions
On a: Weekday,
AM Peak Hour of Generator

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 77
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 8
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16.46 | $5.40-50.00$ | 8.75 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (2-4k) <br> (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions
On a: Weekday, PM Peak Hour of Generator

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 93
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 8
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19.13 | $6.75-57.80$ | 10.15 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (2-4k) <br> (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions
On a: Saturday, Peak Hour of Generator

| Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Studies: 6 |  |
| Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 14 |  |
| Directional Distribution: $50 \%$ entering, $50 \%$ exiting |  |
| Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position |  |
| Range of Rates |  |
| $9.80-32.71$ |  |

Data Plot and Equation


# Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (4-5.5k) (945) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions
On a: Weekday

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 5
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 14
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 257.13 | $193.00-324.17$ | 57.53 |

Data Plot and Equation


# Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (4-5.5k) (945) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 18
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 13
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27.04 | $7.78-44.38$ | 9.88 |

Data Plot and Equation


# Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (4-5.5k) (945) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 23
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 14
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22.76 | $9.78-37.50$ | 8.49 |

Data Plot and Equation


# Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (4-5.5k) (945) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions
On a: Weekday,
AM Peak Hour of Generator

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 18
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 13
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27.04 | $7.83-44.38$ | 9.87 |

Data Plot and Equation


# Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (4-5.5k) (945) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions
On a: Weekday, PM Peak Hour of Generator

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 23
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 14
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23.88 | $12.20-37.50$ | 7.95 |

Data Plot and Equation


# Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (4-5.5k) (945) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions
On a: Saturday

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 1
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 12
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 291.67 | $291.67-291.67$ | $* * *$ |

Data Plot and Equation


# Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (4-5.5k) (945) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions
On a: Saturday, Peak Hour of Generator

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 7
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 14
Directional Distribution: 51\% entering, 49\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20.44 | $10.94-33.17$ | 8.08 |

Data Plot and Equation


# Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (4-5.5k) (945) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions
On a: Sunday, Peak Hour of Generator

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 1
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 18
Directional Distribution: 49\% entering, $51 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.28 | $8.28-8.28$ | $* * *$ |

Data Plot and Equation
Caution - Small Sample Size


# Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (5.5-10k) <br> (945) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions
On a: Weekday

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 1
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 12
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 345.75 | $345.75-345.75$ | $* * *$ |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (5.5-10k) <br> (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 29
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 14
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31.60 | $12.58-49.31$ | 9.10 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (5.5-10k) <br> (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 29
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 14
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26.90 | $15.50-45.25$ | 6.87 |

Data Plot and Equation


# Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (5.5-10k) <br> (945) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions
On a: Weekday,
AM Peak Hour of Generator

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 28
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 14
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31.31 | $16.33-49.31$ | 8.74 |

Data Plot and Equation


# Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (5.5-10k) <br> (945) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions
On a: Weekday, PM Peak Hour of Generator

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 28
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 14
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28.03 | $18.69-45.25$ | 6.19 |

Data Plot and Equation


# Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (5.5-10k) <br> (945) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions
On a: Saturday, Peak Hour of Generator

Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban<br>Number of Studies: 4<br>Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 15<br>Directional Distribution: 49\% entering, $51 \%$ exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29.77 | $24.88-39.50$ | 5.91 |

Data Plot and Equation


# Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (5.5-10k) <br> (945) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions
On a: Sunday, Peak Hour of Generator

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 1
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 12
Directional Distribution: 51\% entering, 49\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25.67 | $25.67-25.67$ | $* * *$ |

Data Plot and Equation
Caution - Small Sample Size


# Convenience Store/Gas Station - VFP (2-8) <br> (945) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 34
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 3
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 624.20 | $115.13-1167.27$ | 283.35 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - VFP (2-8) (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 57
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 3
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, $50 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40.59 | $6.30-104.76$ | 19.18 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - VFP (2-8) (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 67
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 3
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48.48 | $9.66-115.71$ | 22.59 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - VFP (2-8) (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
AM Peak Hour of Generator

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 58
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 3
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41.48 | $14.71-104.76$ | 18.80 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - VFP (2-8) (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
PM Peak Hour of Generator

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 67
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 3
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, $50 \%$ exiting

## Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50.19 | $11.34-115.71$ | 21.98 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## Convenience Store/Gas Station - VFP (9-15) <br> (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 11
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 4
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting

## Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 700.43 | $419.93-1125.00$ | 206.44 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## Convenience Store/Gas Station - VFP (9-15) <br> (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 34
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 4
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting

## Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56.52 | $14.17-150.67$ | 27.56 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - VFP (9-15) <br> (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 39
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 4
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting

## Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54.52 | $19.23-157.41$ | 23.69 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - VFP (9-15) <br> (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
AM Peak Hour of Generator

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 34
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 4
Directional Distribution: 51\% entering, 49\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57.56 | $14.17-150.67$ | 26.67 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - VFP (9-15) <br> (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
PM Peak Hour of Generator

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 39
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 4
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56.38 | $25.75-157.41$ | 22.74 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - VFP (9-15) <br> (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Saturday

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 1
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 5
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 700.00 | $700.00-700.00$ | $* * *$ |

Data Plot and Equation
Caution - Small Sample Size


# Convenience Store/Gas Station - VFP (9-15) <br> (945) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Saturday, Peak Hour of Generator

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 8
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 4
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting

## Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 64.13 | $25.72-192.76$ | 42.59 |

## Data Plot and Equation



# Convenience Store/Gas Station - VFP (9-15) <br> (945) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Sunday, Peak Hour of Generator

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 1
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 10
Directional Distribution: 51\% entering, 49\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31.85 | $31.85-31.85$ | $* * *$ |

Data Plot and Equation
Caution - Small Sample Size


# Convenience Store/Gas Station - VFP (16-24) <br> (945) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 8
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 3
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1283.38 | $620.83-2466.48$ | 581.47 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - VFP (16-24)

(945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 32
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 5
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, $50 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 91.35 | $26.92-143.41$ | 27.59 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - VFP (16-24)

(945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 39
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 5
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 78.95 | $33.85-213.17$ | 25.75 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - VFP (16-24)

(945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
AM Peak Hour of Generator

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 31
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 5
Directional Distribution: $50 \%$ entering, $50 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90.59 | $27.12-150.82$ | 27.65 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - VFP (16-24)

(945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
PM Peak Hour of Generator

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 39
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 5
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83.57 | $43.65-225.64$ | 25.32 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - VFP (16-24)

(945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Saturday, Peak Hour of Generator

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 9
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 5
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, $50 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70.14 | $37.88-113.70$ | 20.97 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - VFP (16-24)

(945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Sunday, Peak Hour of Generator

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 1
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 5
Directional Distribution: $49 \%$ entering, $51 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28.65 | $28.65-28.65$ | $* * *$ |

Data Plot and Equation
Caution - Small Sample Size


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - VFP (2-8) (945)

Walk+Bike+Transit Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 1
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 4
Directional Distribution: Not Available
Walk+Bike+Transit Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.23 | $2.23-2.23$ | $* * *$ |

Data Plot and Equation
Caution - Small Sample Size


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - VFP (2-8) (945)

Walk+Bike+Transit Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 1
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 4
Directional Distribution: Not Available
Walk+Bike+Transit Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.49 | $10.49-10.49$ | $* * *$ |

Data Plot and Equation
Caution - Small Sample Size


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - VFP (9-15) <br> (945)

Walk+Bike+Transit Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 1
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 3
Directional Distribution: Not Available
Walk+Bike+Transit Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.31 | $0.31-0.31$ | $* * *$ |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - None (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: AM Peak Hour Traffic on Adj. St.
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 19
Avg. AM Peak Hour Traffic on Adj. St.: 1859
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per AM Peak Hour Traffic on Adj. St.

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.15 | $0.03-0.45$ | 0.10 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - None (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: AM Peak Hour Traffic on Adj. St.
On a: Weekday,
AM Peak Hour of Generator

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 8
Avg. AM Peak Hour Traffic on Adj. St.: 2146
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per AM Peak Hour Traffic on Adj. St.

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.20 | $0.08-0.45$ | 0.09 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - None (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: PM Peak Hour Traffic on Adj. St.
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 19
Avg. PM Peak Hour Traffic on Adj. St.: 2103
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per PM Peak Hour Traffic on Adj. St.

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.12 | $0.04-0.35$ | 0.07 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - None (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: PM Peak Hour Traffic on Adj. St.
On a: Weekday, PM Peak Hour of Generator

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 8
Avg. PM Peak Hour Traffic on Adj. St.: 2310
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, $50 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per PM Peak Hour Traffic on Adj. St.

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.15 | $0.06-0.38$ | 0.08 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - None (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Employees
On a: Weekday

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 30
Avg. Num. of Employees: 7
Directional Distribution: $50 \%$ entering, $50 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Employee

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 241.21 | $91.33-497.40$ | 73.12 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - None (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Employees
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 31
Avg. Num. of Employees: 7
Directional Distribution: $49 \%$ entering, $51 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Employee

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17.63 | $5.00-31.00$ | 5.52 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - None (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Employees
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 31
Avg. Num. of Employees: 7
Directional Distribution: $51 \%$ entering, $49 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Employee

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19.25 | $7.67-47.00$ | 7.64 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## Convenience Store/Gas Station - None (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Employees
On a: Weekday,
AM Peak Hour of Generator

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 32
Avg. Num. of Employees: 7
Directional Distribution: $50 \%$ entering, $50 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Employee

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18.30 | $8.00-31.67$ | 5.13 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## Convenience Store/Gas Station - None (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Employees
On a: Weekday,
PM Peak Hour of Generator

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 32
Avg. Num. of Employees: 7
Directional Distribution: $51 \%$ entering, $49 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Employee

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21.31 | $9.00-47.00$ | 7.02 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - None (945)

Walk+Bike+Transit Trip Ends vs: Employees
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 1
Avg. Num. of Employees: 4
Directional Distribution: Not Available
Walk+Bike+Transit Trip Generation per Employee

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.50 | $2.50-2.50$ | $* * *$ |

Data Plot and Equation
Caution - Small Sample Size


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - None (945)

Walk+Bike+Transit Trip Ends vs: Employees
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 1
Avg. Num. of Employees: 4
Directional Distribution: Not Available
Walk+Bike+Transit Trip Generation per Employee

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11.75 | $11.75-11.75$ | $* * *$ |

Data Plot and Equation
Caution - Small Sample Size


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | 性 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 个4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 |  | \％ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 160 | 635 | 120 | 60 | 475 | 120 | 185 | 1020 | 35 | 145 | 365 | 20 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 160 | 635 | 120 | 60 | 475 | 120 | 185 | 1020 | 35 | 145 | 365 | 20 |
| Initial Q（Qb），veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1826 | 1811 | 1826 | 1856 | 1844 | 1811 | 1856 | 1870 | 1767 | 1856 | 1969 | 1618 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 168 | 668 | 126 | 63 | 500 | 126 | 195 | 1074 | 37 | 153 | 384 | 21 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 5 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 19 |
| Cap，veh／h | 231 | 709 | 134 | 128 | 760 | 417 | 613 | 1852 | 64 | 306 | 1936 | 801 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.07 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.04 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.07 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.05 | 0.52 | 0.52 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1739 | 2889 | 544 | 1767 | 3504 | 1535 | 1767 | 3505 | 121 | 1767 | 3741 | 1372 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 168 | 397 | 397 | 63 | 500 | 126 | 195 | 544 | 567 | 153 | 38 | 21 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1739 | 1721 | 1713 | 1767 | 1752 | 1535 | 1767 | 1777 | 1849 | 1767 | 1870 | 1372 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 10.0 | 34.0 | 34.1 | 4.1 | 19.6 | 9.8 | 7.7 | 31.2 | 31.2 | 6.1 | 8.3 | 1.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 10.0 | 34.0 | 34.1 | 4.1 | 19.6 | 9.8 | 7.7 | 31.2 | 31.2 | 6.1 | 8.3 | 1.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.32 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.07 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 231 | 422 | 420 | 128 | 760 | 417 | 613 | 939 | 977 | 306 | 1936 | 801 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.73 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.49 | 0.66 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.50 | 0.20 | 0.03 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 231 | 424 | 423 | 178 | 864 | 462 | 633 | 939 | 977 | 345 | 1936 | 801 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 45.3 | 55.5 | 55.6 | 46.4 | 53.7 | 43.4 | 14.9 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 19.2 | 19.4 | 13.2 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 10.8 | 31.3 | 31.7 | 2.9 | 4.4 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（95\％），veh／ln | 3.6 | 25.3 | 25.3 | 3.5 | 14.0 | 7.1 | 5.6 | 19.5 | 20.1 | 4.6 | 6.6 | 0.6 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 56.1 | 86.8 | 87.2 | 49.4 | 58.1 | 45.2 | 15.2 | 26.6 | 26.5 | 20.5 | 19.7 | 13.2 |
| LnGrp LOS | E | F | F | D | E | D | B | C | C | C | B | B |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 962 |  |  | 689 |  |  | 1306 |  |  | 558 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 81.6 |  |  | 54.9 |  |  | 24.9 |  |  | 19.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 9.2 | 43.3 | 13.3 | 84.1 | 13.5 | 39.0 | 11.7 | 85.8 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 3.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 6.5 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 10.0 | 37.0 | 11.5 | 71.5 | 10.0 | 37.0 | 11.5 | 71.5 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋I1），s | 6.1 | 36.1 | 9.7 | 10.3 | 12.0 | 21.6 | 8.1 | 33.2 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 0.1 | 25.7 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 45.5
HCM 6th LOS D


| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 645 | 0 | 0 | - |


| Conflicting Flow All | - | 645 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | 7 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | 3.35 | - | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | 408 | - | - | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | 408 | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, S | 14.3 | 0 | 0 |

HCM LOS ..... B

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -408 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.052 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | -14.3 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | $B$ |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.2 |
| H | - |  |  |



HCM LOS B C

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBR EBLn1WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 703 | 233 | 534 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | 0.03 | 0.136 | 0.01 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 10.3 | 22.9 | 11.8 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | B | C | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |





| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 个 ${ }_{\text {P }}$ |  | \％ | 个个 | 「 | \％ | 中 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  | \％ | 个个 | ${ }^{7}$ |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 160 | 655 | 195 | 120 | 755 | 175 | 220 | 655 | 30 | 225 | 860 | 65 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 160 | 655 | 195 | 120 | 755 | 175 | 220 | 655 | 30 | 225 | 860 | 65 |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1856 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1969 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1856 | 1969 | 1618 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 168 | 689 | 205 | 126 | 795 | 184 | 232 | 689 | 32 | 237 | 905 | 68 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 19 |
| Cap，veh／h | 248 | 813 | 242 | 198 | 1075 | 604 | 334 | 1415 | 66 | 403 | 1593 | 689 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.08 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.06 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.08 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.09 | 0.43 | 0.43 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1767 | 2700 | 803 | 1781 | 3741 | 1585 | 1781 | 3458 | 161 | 1767 | 3741 | 1372 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 168 | 453 | 441 | 126 | 795 | 184 | 232 | 354 | 367 | 237 | 905 | 68 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1767 | 1777 | 1726 | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1841 | 1767 | 1870 | 1372 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 10.0 | 35.9 | 35.9 | 7.4 | 28.8 | 12.2 | 11.5 | 22.0 | 22.1 | 11.4 | 27.5 | 3.9 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 10.0 | 35.9 | 35.9 | 7.4 | 28.8 | 12.2 | 11.5 | 22.0 | 22.1 | 11.4 | 27.5 | 3.9 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.47 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.09 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 248 | 535 | 520 | 198 | 1075 | 604 | 334 | 727 | 754 | 403 | 1593 | 689 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.68 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.64 | 0.74 | 0.30 | 0.69 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.59 | 0.57 | 0.10 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 248 | 563 | 547 | 223 | 1185 | 650 | 334 | 727 | 754 | 603 | 1593 | 689 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（I） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 36.9 | 49.2 | 49.2 | 38.9 | 48.4 | 32.5 | 26.2 | 32.7 | 32.7 | 23.5 | 32.6 | 19.5 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 7.2 | 15.2 | 15.6 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 1.3 | 6.1 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0.3 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（95\％），veh／ln | 8.4 | 25.1 | 24.5 | 6.3 | 20.3 | 8.5 | 9.2 | 15.0 | 15.5 | 8.4 | 18.4 | 2.3 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 44.1 | 64.4 | 64.8 | 43.7 | 52.9 | 33.8 | 32.3 | 35.0 | 34.9 | 24.9 | 34.1 | 19.8 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | E | E | D | D | C | C | D | C | C | C | B |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 1062 |  |  | 1105 |  |  | 953 |  |  | 1210 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 61.4 |  |  | 48.7 |  |  | 34.3 |  |  | 31.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 12.9 | 51.7 | 15.0 | 70.4 | 15.0 | 49.6 | 17.5 | 67.9 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 3.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 6.5 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 11.5 | 47.5 | 11.5 | 59.5 | 11.5 | 47.5 | 31.0 | 40.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 9.4 | 37.9 | 13.5 | 29.5 | 12.0 | 30.8 | 13.4 | 24.1 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.1 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 0.6 | 9.2 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 43.8
HCM 6th LOS D

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 474 | 0 | 0 | - |


| Conflicting Flow All | - | 474 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Criticat Hdwy | - | 7.06 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | 3.38 | - | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | 521 | - | - | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | 521 | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, S | 12.1 | 0 | 0 |

HCM LOS B

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBT |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -521 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.03 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | -12.1 | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | $B$ | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay，s／veh | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  |  | 「 |  | \＄ |  |  | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 0 | 0 | 30 | 20 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 870 | 35 | 1 | 1125 | 50 |  |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 0 | 0 | 30 | 20 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 870 | 35 | 1 | 1125 | 50 |  |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control Star | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | － | － | Stop | － | － | None | － | － | None | － |  | None |  |
| Storage Length | － | － | 0 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | \＃ | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |  |
| Grade，\％ | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 32 | 21 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 916 | 37 | 1 | 1184 | 53 |  |



| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay，s | 14.1 | 41.1 | 0 | 0 |


| Minor Lane／Major Mvmt | NBT | NBR EBLn1WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity（veh／h） | - | - | 429 | 151 | 717 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V／C Ratio | - | - | 0.074 | 0.349 | 0.001 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay（s） | - | - | 14.1 | 41.1 | 10 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | B | E | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \％tile Q（veh） | - | - | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0 | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay，s／veh | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 虫 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 「 |  | \＄ |  |  |  | 「 |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 0 | 840 | 1 | 10 | 1000 | 35 | 15 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 0 | 840 | 1 | 10 | 1000 | 35 | 15 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | Stop |
| Storage Length | － | － | － | 5 | － | 5 | － | － | － | － | － | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | \＃ | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Grade，\％ | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 884 | 1 | 11 | 1053 | 37 | 16 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 42 |



| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 个t |  | \％ | 个个 | 「 | \％ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 个个 | F |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 200 | 725 | 215 | 135 | 685 | 170 | 245 | 735 | 50 | 180 | 560 | 80 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 200 | 725 | 215 | 135 | 685 | 170 | 245 | 735 | 50 | 180 | 560 | 80 |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1969 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1969 | 1841 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 211 | 763 | 226 | 142 | 721 | 179 | 258 | 774 | 53 | 189 | 589 | 84 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Cap，veh／h | 319 | 844 | 250 | 212 | 1070 | 591 | 427 | 1222 | 84 | 329 | 1303 | 697 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.10 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.07 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.09 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1781 | 2703 | 801 | 1781 | 3741 | 1585 | 1781 | 3375 | 231 | 1781 | 3741 | 1560 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 211 | 502 | 487 | 142 | 721 | 179 | 258 | 407 | 420 | 189 | 589 | 84 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1781 | 1777 | 1726 | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1829 | 1781 | 1870 | 1560 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 9.7 | 32.5 | 32.5 | 6.7 | 20.5 | 9.6 | 11.2 | 22.8 | 22.8 | 8.0 | 14.6 | 3.8 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 9.7 | 32.5 | 32.5 | 6.7 | 20.5 | 9.6 | 11.2 | 22.8 | 22.8 | 8.0 | 14.6 | 3.8 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.46 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.13 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 319 | 555 | 539 | 212 | 1070 | 591 | 427 | 643 | 662 | 329 | 1303 | 697 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.66 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.30 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.57 | 0.45 | 0.12 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 342 | 597 | 580 | 228 | 1144 | 623 | 427 | 643 | 662 | 567 | 1303 | 697 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（I） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 27.5 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 31.4 | 37.9 | 26.6 | 22.5 | 31.7 | 31.7 | 24.0 | 30.2 | 19.4 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 4.3 | 16.6 | 17.0 | 6.8 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.4 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（95\％），veh／ln | 7.9 | 23.0 | 22.6 | 5.8 | 14.5 | 6.5 | 8.4 | 15.6 | 15.9 | 6.1 | 10.9 | 2.5 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 31.8 | 56.1 | 56.5 | 38.1 | 39.3 | 26.9 | 24.9 | 36.4 | 36.3 | 25.6 | 31.4 | 19.8 |
| LnGrp LOS | C | E | E | D | D | C | C | D | D | C | C | B |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 1200 |  |  | 1042 |  |  | 1085 |  |  | 862 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 52.0 |  |  | 37.0 |  |  | 33.6 |  |  | 29.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 12.1 | 44.0 | 15.6 | 48.3 | 15.3 | 40.8 | 14.0 | 49.9 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 3.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 6.5 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 9.7 | 40.3 | 12.1 | 37.9 | 13.3 | 36.7 | 26.5 | 23.5 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 8.7 | 34.5 | 13.2 | 16.6 | 11.7 | 22.5 | 10.0 | 24.8 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.1 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 38.8 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | D |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．


| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 537 | 0 | 0 | - |


| Conflicting Flow All | - | 537 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | 6.94 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | 3.32 | - | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | 488 | - | - | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | 488 | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 12.6 | 0 | 0 |

HCM LOS B

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -488 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.032 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | -12.6 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | $B$ |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.1 |
| H | - |  |  |






| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay，s／veh | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement E | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 車 $\hat{*}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 中4 | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  | F＇ |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 0 | 880 | 1 | 15 | 900 | 65 | 10 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 85 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 0 | 880 | 1 | 15 | 900 | 65 | 10 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 85 |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control Fr | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | Stop |
| Storage Length | － | － | － | 5 | － | 5 | － | － | － | － | － | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Grade，\％ | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 926 | 1 | 16 | 947 | 68 | 11 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 89 |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 15.5 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -400 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.145 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | -15.5 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | C |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.5 |
| H | - |  |  |




| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 10.3 | 44 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B | E |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBR EBLn1WBLn1WBLn2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SBT | SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 706 | 76 | 410 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | 0.03 | 0.554 | 0.205 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 10.3 | 99.9 | 16 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | $B$ | F | C | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.1 | 2.4 | 0.8 | - | - |




|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | \％ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 个t |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 个4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 个个 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 160 | 665 | 195 | 120 | 730 | 175 | 255 | 655 | 30 | 230 | 855 | 65 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 160 | 665 | 195 | 120 | 730 | 175 | 255 | 655 | 30 | 230 | 855 | 65 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1856 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1969 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1856 | 1856 | 1969 | 1618 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 168 | 700 | 205 | 126 | 768 | 184 | 268 | 689 | 32 | 242 | 900 | 68 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 19 |
| Cap，veh／h | 256 | 821 | 240 | 197 | 1080 | 609 | 334 | 1404 | 65 | 404 | 1588 | 687 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.08 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.06 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.08 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.10 | 0.42 | 0.42 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1767 | 2711 | 794 | 1781 | 3741 | 1585 | 1781 | 3458 | 161 | 1767 | 3741 | 1372 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 168 | 459 | 446 | 126 | 768 | 184 | 268 | 354 | 367 | 242 | 900 | 68 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1767 | 1777 | 1728 | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1841 | 1767 | 1870 | 1372 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 9.9 | 36.4 | 36.4 | 7.4 | 27.6 | 12.1 | 11.5 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 11.7 | 27.3 | 3.9 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 9.9 | 36.4 | 36.4 | 7.4 | 27.6 | 12.1 | 11.5 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 11.7 | 27.3 | 3.9 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.46 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.09 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 256 | 538 | 523 | 197 | 1080 | 609 | 334 | 721 | 748 | 404 | 1588 | 687 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.66 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.64 | 0.71 | 0.30 | 0.80 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.60 | 0.57 | 0.10 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 256 | 563 | 547 | 221 | 1185 | 653 | 334 | 721 | 748 | 601 | 1588 | 687 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 36.4 | 49.2 | 49.2 | 38.9 | 47.7 | 32.2 | 31.1 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 23.6 | 32.7 | 19.6 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 5.9 | 15.7 | 16.1 | 5.1 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 13.0 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0.3 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（95\％），veh／ln | 8.3 | 25.4 | 24.9 | 6.3 | 19.4 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 15.1 | 15.5 | 8.6 | 18.4 | 2.3 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 42.3 | 64.8 | 65.3 | 44.0 | 51.7 | 33.5 | 44.2 | 35.4 | 35.3 | 25.1 | 34.2 | 19.9 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | E | E | D | D | C | D | D | D | C | C | B |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 1073 |  |  | 1078 |  |  | 989 |  |  | 1210 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 61.5 |  |  | 47.7 |  |  | 37.8 |  |  | 31.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 12.9 | 51.9 | 15.0 | 70.2 | 15.0 | 49.8 | 17.8 | 67.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 3.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 6.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 11.5 | 47.5 | 11.5 | 59.5 | 11.5 | 47.5 | 31.0 | 40.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 9.4 | 38.4 | 13.5 | 29.3 | 11.9 | 29.6 | 13.7 | 24.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.1 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.6 | 9.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 44.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\mathbf{7}$ | 个 |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 35 | 905 | 10 | 0 | 1170 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 35 | 905 | 10 | 0 | 1170 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | Stop | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 37 | 953 | 11 | 0 | 1232 |


| Major/Minor |  | Minor1 |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 482 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | 7.06 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | 3.38 | - | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | 515 | - | - | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | 515 | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 12.5 | 0 | 0 |

HCM LOS B

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBT |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 515 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.072 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 12.5 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | $B$ | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.2 | - |






|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 个 ${ }_{\text {P }}$ |  | ＊ | 个个 | 「 | ＊ | 性 |  | ${ }_{7}$ | 个个 | F |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 200 | 730 | 215 | 135 | 670 | 170 | 270 | 735 | 50 | 180 | 560 | 80 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 200 | 730 | 215 | 135 | 670 | 170 | 270 | 735 | 50 | 180 | 560 | 80 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1969 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1969 | 1841 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 211 | 768 | 226 | 142 | 705 | 179 | 284 | 774 | 53 | 189 | 589 | 84 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Cap，veh／h | 325 | 848 | 250 | 211 | 1073 | 593 | 426 | 1218 | 83 | 329 | 1300 | 695 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.10 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.07 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.09 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1781 | 2707 | 797 | 1781 | 3741 | 1585 | 1781 | 3375 | 231 | 1781 | 3741 | 1560 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 211 | 504 | 490 | 142 | 705 | 179 | 284 | 407 | 420 | 189 | 589 | 84 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 1781 | 1777 | 1727 | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1829 | 1781 | 1870 | 1560 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 9.7 | 32.6 | 32.6 | 6.7 | 19.9 | 9.6 | 12.1 | 22.8 | 22.8 | 8.1 | 14.6 | 3.8 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 9.7 | 32.6 | 32.6 | 6.7 | 19.9 | 9.6 | 12.1 | 22.8 | 22.8 | 8.1 | 14.6 | 3.8 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.46 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.13 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 325 | 557 | 541 | 211 | 1073 | 593 | 426 | 641 | 660 | 329 | 1300 | 695 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.65 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.67 | 0.66 | 0.30 | 0.67 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.58 | 0.45 | 0.12 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 348 | 597 | 580 | 227 | 1144 | 623 | 426 | 641 | 660 | 567 | 1300 | 695 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 27.3 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 31.3 | 37.6 | 26.5 | 23.5 | 31.8 | 31.8 | 24.1 | 30.3 | 19.5 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 3.8 | 16.8 | 17.2 | 6.9 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 3.9 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.4 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（95\％），veh／ln | 7.8 | 23.2 | 22.7 | 5.8 | 14.1 | 6.4 | 9.4 | 15.6 | 16.0 | 6.2 | 10.9 | 2.5 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 31.1 | 56.3 | 56.7 | 38.2 | 38.9 | 26.8 | 27.4 | 36.5 | 36.4 | 25.7 | 31.5 | 19.8 |
| LnGrp LOS | C | E | E | D | D | C | C | D | D | C | C | B |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 1205 |  |  | 1026 |  |  | 1111 |  |  | 862 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 52.1 |  |  | 36.7 |  |  | 34.1 |  |  | 29.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration $(G+Y+R c)$ ，s | 12.1 | 44.1 | 15.6 | 48.2 | 15.3 | 40.9 | 14.0 | 49.8 |
| Change Period $(\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc})$ ，s | 3.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 6.5 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 9.7 | 40.3 | 12.1 | 37.9 | 13.3 | 36.7 | 26.5 | 23.5 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 8.7 | 34.6 | 14.1 | 16.6 | 11.7 | 21.9 | 10.1 | 24.8 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.1 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 |

## Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 38.9 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | $D$ |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay，s／veh | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\mathbf{7}$ | 个t |  |  | 个个 |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 0 | 30 | 1025 | 5 | 0 | 910 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 0 | 30 | 1025 | 5 | 0 | 910 |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | Stop | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade，\％ | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 32 | 1079 | 5 | 0 | 958 |



| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay，s | 12.9 | 0 | 0 |

HCM LOS B

| Minor Lane／Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity（veh／h） | - | -485 | - |
| HCM Lane V／C Ratio | - | -0.065 | - |
| HCM Control Delay（s） | - | -12.9 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | $B$ |
| HCM 95th \％tile Q（veh） | - | - | 0.2 |
| H | - |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay，s／veh | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  |  | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | 个 ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  | 中 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 970 | 55 | 5 | 865 | 40 |  |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 970 | 55 | 5 | 865 | 40 |  |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | － | － | Stop | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | None |  |
| Storage Length | － | － | 0 | 0 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | \＃ | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |  |
| Grade，\％ | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 1021 | 58 | 5 | 911 | 42 |  |





| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0.3 | 12.7 | 13 |
| HCM LOS |  | $B$ | B |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 558 | - | -681 | - | -541 |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.16 | - | -0.046 | - | -0.165 |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 12.7 | - | -10.5 | - | - | 13 |
| HCM Lane LOS | $B$ | - | - | $B$ | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.6 | - | - | 0.1 | - | - |
| B | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |



## Kimley»)Horn


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pass-by trips are categorized by the trip's origin.

[^1]:    cc: Rios (IDOT)
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