

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Charles Brand [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, August 1, 2019 12:51 PM
To: mbriggs@lilfriends.com
Subject: Kroehler Memorial Hall

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Briggs,

It has been brought to my attention that there is a proposed redevelopment that could result in the demolition of Kroehler Memorial Hall. I ask that every effort be made to preserve the structure for adaptive reuse. With a bit of creative thinking you have the opportunity to retain an important part of the Naperville Historic District.

The architect of Kroehler Hall was my grandfather, Herbert A. Brand (1885-1972). He designed over 150 churches and educational buildings primarily in Illinois. Brand's work in Naperville include an addition to The Evangelical Theological Seminary and Chapel remodel 1930, Kaufman Hall 1928, raised 1965, Kroehler Hall 1948, First Methodist Church Educational Building 1925, First Methodist Episcopal Church 1925, and Bethany Ev. Lutheran Church 1929. In addition, Brand designed award winning churches in Hinsdale and Oak Park, IL. I published Sacred Spaces, The Architectural Designs of Herbert A. Brand in 2015. A copy can be found at the Naperville Library and The Naper Settlement.

Much of the charm of Naperville is do to extra time and effort on behalf of a few to put preservation first. Please go forward cautiously with as much professional input as possible for an historic solution.

Sincerely,
Charles M. Brand

Dear Marie,

I read your article about Little Friends rescinding the sale of the Kroehler property to North Central College and feel compelled to present information which you did not know when you wrote your story. First of all, the Kroehler home was built in 1907 as indicated by DuPage County tax records available on-line. Secondly, the dorms were not built at the same time. The south dorm was designed by Herbert Brand, a noted Chicago architect who specialized in church design and who previously designed the Kaufman Hall dormitory for NCC in 1928. In addition, he also designed buildings or additions for the following Naperville structures; the Bethany Evangelical Lutheran Church (1929), an addition to Kiekhofer Hall (then the Evangelical Theological Seminary) (1930), the First Evangelical Methodist Church educational building (1925), and the First Methodist Episcopal Church (1925). Brand's 1948 design of the south dorm or Peter Kroehler Dormitory borrowed some of the stylistic elements of the 1907 Kroehler mansion including the red tile roof, window treatments and wide porches. The north dorm was built rather utilitarian eight years later, in 1956, with little artistic design.

I agree with Mr. Briggs comment that the mission of Little Friends is to help children in need and "not undertak[e] historically themed renovations." I am not asking Little Friends to renovate their facility. I am hoping that a sympathetic buyer like the Van Itens or the Messrs. Stachowiak and Isaac who bought historically significant homes (the Moser home and the Bauer/Case home, respectively) and renovated them with modern luxury and conveniences will do the same for the Kroehler house. In addition, I believe a skilled developer could work with residents of the Naperville Historic District, the Historic Sites Commission, and City Council to renovate the 1948 Brand designed dorm into 3-5 large townhomes and the remaining property around the 1907 house into 9-10 nice sized lots with quality, architecturally-sympathetic designed homes. Kroehler Estates within the Historic District could be a national model of new construction and rehabilitation in an historic district.

While the homes of influential Naperville residents like Moser, Bauer and Case are now preserved, the legacy of Peter Kroehler is threatened. Kroehler's influence in Naperville is manifold. Like Case, he developed a business that employed not only Naperville residents, but thousands of men and women throughout the United States, Canada and Mexico. Peter Kroehler was a leader in the furniture industry not unlike Bauer who was published a nationally circulated harness racing trade journal, *The Horse Review*. Like Moser, though on a smaller scale, Kroehler developed land north of the furniture factory in a part of Park Addition sometimes referred to as K-town for his. Kroehler built a large impressive home as the captain of his growing industry.

Although his divorce from a Naperville-daughter, Josephine Stephens in 1910, forced Kroehler to leave Naperville, his heart and concern for Naperville never left. Kroehler built his furniture empire from Naperville and gave back generously to his alma mater, and his adopted home town. The YMCA and numerous buildings at North Central College are physical reminders of the many millions of dollars Peter (and his family!) donated to enrich and support Naperville.

If the erroneous logic that the Kroehler Home is not important because he did not live for more than a few years in the home were to be applied to Pinecraig, the George Martin home, then it too should be torn down as Martin only lived in Pinecraig for six years. However, George

Martin's family lived in the home exclusively until 1936 (53 years). Even though Peter Kroehler left Naperville in 1910, Josephine and their children lived in the home until 1945 (37 years). Pinecraig became a museum to tell Naperville stories in 1939 (Happy 80th Birthday!) at the behest and wise counsel of Naperville native and advocate, Judge Win Knoch. Knoch wanted to protect the interests and wishes of Mrs. Edward (Caroline Martin) Mitchell to preserve her family's story and the stories of her community with a generous donation of house and land. Unlike Mrs. Mitchell and Judge Knoch, the Kroehler Mansion has no advocate that is willing to find alternatives to destroying yet another piece of Naperville history. Returning the Kroehler Home and property to residential use is the only way to save this home, the Historic District and the community of Naperville from unchecked and careless development that benefits a few businesses or organizations.

Sincerely,
Bryan J. Ogg

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 18, 2019 2:14 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Liz Johnson

 Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 18, 2019 9:35 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Christine Briggs

 Naperville, Illinois, 60565

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 6:19 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Debbie Brenner


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 6:37 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Karen Barenbrugge

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60565

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 6:48 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Heather Ossey


 Downers Grove, Illinois, 60516

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 6:53 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Tom Connors


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 9:57 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Terese Krisch

 Naperville, Illinois, 60565

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 10:20 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Nicole Zienty

[REDACTED]
Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 10:58 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Stacia Taylor


Naperville, Illinois, 60565

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 10:59 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.


The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Katherine Truex


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 11:21 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Beth Burner


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 12:36 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Gail Iacovelli

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60565

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 12:40 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Rich Simon


Hinsdale, Illinois, 60521

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 1:04 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kristi Atwater

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60564

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 1:29 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jim Burner


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 2:07 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Maureen Cummings

Illinois

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 3:02 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Desiree Connors

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 3:30 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Rebeca Kitchen

 Lyons, Illinois, 60534

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 3:35 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Mary Schultz


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 3:43 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Karen Apicella

 Naperville, Illinois, 60565

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 3:46 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Colleen Clark


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 3:49 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Mike Briggs

 Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 3:52 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Paul Piraino

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Schaumburg, Illinois, 60194

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 4:00 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends’ special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Mary Taylor


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 4:13 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Cathy Lavin

 Sugar Grove, Illinois, 60554

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 4:18 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Aaron Setchell

[REDACTED]
Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 4:19 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Patti Boheme


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 4:21 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.


It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Devon Moon

 Naperville, Illinois, 60564

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 6:11 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.


It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Tara Homner

 Downers Grove, Illinois, 60515

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 6:14 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Beth Praetz


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 7:45 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kathy Waropay


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 7:49 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Bob Waropay


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2019 8:31 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Alivia Mekeel

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Joliet, Illinois, 60435

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 8:49 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Chris Iacovelli

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60565

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 8:58 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Diane Doti

 Carol Stream, Illinois, 60188

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 9:06 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Matthew Rupert


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 10:03 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kristina Martinez


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 10:22 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Camille Smith


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 10:23 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.


The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Delores Biegun


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 10:24 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Ronald Biegun


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 10:35 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Sue Ledebuhr


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 11:01 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Pete Ledebuhr

 Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 11:02 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Terry Flynn


Bolingbrook, Illinois, 60490

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 11:04 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I have worked for Little Friends for 7 years now, in our legacy building at 619 E Franklin. As Family Counselor, I directly serve the families of the many students who attend our facility.

I firmly support Little Friends in the decision to invest into our people and programs, not our legacy property. Despite recent renovations, the campus remains ill-suited to accommodate any organization, let alone an expanding population of students with severe behavioral and emotional needs and the masses of professional staff needed to serve them. There is no hot water in the bathrooms. There is no capacity for central air or heating, leaving some classrooms sweltering and others frigid during any given season. Walls, flooring, and ceilings in some areas of the school are literally crumbling apart. The buildings are beyond repair, and it is irresponsible and unethical to ask Little Friends to continue pouring resources into a property that has far outlived its use, simply because of a historic mansion that no one gave a second glance to until now. While I am an advocate for historical preservation, I have not heard any compelling arguments for why the Kroehler estate is worth preserving, whereas the sale of the property itself could make a drastic impact toward the betterment of Little Friends and the Naperville community.

Please support Little Friends and allow us to sell our legacy property without restrictions, so that we may continue to serve our growing population of clients with the standard of care that they deserve.

Thank you,

Sarah Czopek, MS, LCPC
Family Counselor
Little Friends, Inc.

Sarah Czopek


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 11:18 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Taylor Koranda


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 11:25 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Laura Defalco


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 11:44 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Darlene Kwasniewski


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 12:03 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Robert Burns

 Bartlett, Illinois, 60103

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 12:17 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Nicole Jurjovec


Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 12:29 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Joseph Ruble

 Naperville, Illinois, 60563

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 1:07 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Julie Drake



Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 1:12 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Michael Bender


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 1:23 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Nancy Pietrzak


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 1:24 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Karen Rauch

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 1:34 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kayla Mochel


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 1:51 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.


It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Gail Simms

 Downers Grove, Illinois, 60516

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 2:06 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Lisa Reiss

Illinois

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 2:07 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Sue Martens

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Romeoville, Illinois, 60446

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 2:10 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Elizabeth Kobe


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 3:13 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kayla Schultheis


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 3:15 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jennie Van Haelst


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 3:15 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Amanda Adamik

[REDACTED]
Glen Ellyn, Illinois, 60137

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 3:19 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Melissa Ward


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 4:05 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Cathy Bolger

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Wheaton, Illinois, 60189

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 4:12 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jenny Potanos

████████████████████
Wheaton, Illinois

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 5:55 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

David Surface


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 7:06 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Amanda Sievert


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 7:06 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Nicole Bozzano

Illinois

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 8:14 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Susan Bryan


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 8:18 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.


The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Barbara Kanzler


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 8:22 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kim McClure

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 8:23 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.
What Little Friends does...matters. How we do it matters more.

Pat Loy


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 8:32 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Becky Good


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 8:59 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Mary Obarski

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60564

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 9:16 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kevin Kearns

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 9:42 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Mary Savage


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 10:12 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Caroline Spreitzer

 Channahon, Illinois, 60410

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 6:08 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Ed Garcia


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 7:35 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Laura Crawford


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 8:25 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Bridget Hodina


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 8:45 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Nan Carlmark Dahlke


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 9:27 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Katie Todd


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 10:14 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Anthony Losurdo


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 10:17 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Ann Walanka


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 10:20 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

This school means alot to so many people. Please let them move without restriction so they can continue to help all the adults and children they serve.

Jennifer Feigl

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Lisle, Illinois, 60532

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 10:23 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Matthew Whitson

 Lisle, Illinois, 60532

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 10:25 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Courtney Rozek


Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 10:27 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Paul Rozek


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 10:31 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Annie McPherson



Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 10:33 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Dave Martinez

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 10:38 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kristin Szczesny


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 10:46 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Lauren Bezdek


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 11:01 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Janice Joergens


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 11:04 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Mindy Predovic


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 11:21 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Bernard Kobe



Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 12:01 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Denise Scott


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 12:15 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Anita Anderson

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Aurora, Illinois, 60505-5002

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 12:22 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Sophia Siambekos


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 1:05 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kelly Rooney


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 1:09 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jim Cummings


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 1:11 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

john rooney


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 1:14 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Erin Rooney


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 1:17 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Carolyn Rooney


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 1:22 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kathy Bezdek

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60563

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 1:48 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Nicole Wellner


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 2:09 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Talia Kulaga

60431

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 2:17 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Drew Rinehart


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 3:00 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.


It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Karly Bartolucci

 Wheaton, Illinois, 60189

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 3:41 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kathy Feigl


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 4:07 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Tricia Oostema

 Shorewood, Illinois, 60404

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 4:32 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Paul Koretke

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 4:33 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jennifer McKnight


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 4:48 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Tracy Novak

████████████████████
Illinois

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 5:24 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.


The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jessica Ancona


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 7:11 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Lynn Oconnor


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 7:29 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Diana Popielarz


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 7:32 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Stephanie Savage


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 7:32 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

brian dills


Naperville, Illinois, 60565

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 9:27 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jacque Clermont


 Naperville, Illinois, 60565

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 9:57 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Beth Ortega


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2019 11:57 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Karen D'Souza


Delaware

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 8:42 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Shannon Taylor


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 8:46 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Lupe Aguirre

████████████████████
Carol Stream, Illinois, 60188

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 9:09 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Becky Vercellotti


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 10:18 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kelly Becker


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 10:59 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Becky Pundy


Illinois

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 12:47 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Audrey Kapovich


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 2:00 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Gill Gillono


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 2:47 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Mandie Taylor

████████████████████
Illinois

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 3:39 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends’ special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kate Durham


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 4:41 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Karen Trybus

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60565

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 4:43 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Tom Trybus

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60565

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 7:10 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Debi Nebijinates


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 7:12 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kathy Evangelista


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 7:17 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Steve Johnson

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60654

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 7:21 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Matt Ishikawa


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 7:43 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I taught at Krejci Academy passionately for 10 years. I was always there first and foremost for my students despite having a paycheck 1000s of dollars less than public school teachers. The condition of the building and the current building does not meet the needs of our students. It was not up to code and there was no elevator. It is not ADA compliant. If you wanted to use your smart board you had to make sure your microwave was unplugged or else you would blow a fuse. The repairs were beyond what our amazing custodial staff could keep up on. When Mike took over, he invested in improving the looks of the building knowing that the building needed to reflect what he already knew, that the heart and soul of Krejci was not in the deteriorating building but in its employees who dedicated themselves to bettering the lives of others.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Stephanie Brasie


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 7:47 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kathi Michalek

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] CHANNAHON, Illinois, 60410

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 8:09 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Nathan Mcpherson


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 8:10 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Joanne Boehm


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 8:25 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Laurie LoCoco



Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 8:33 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jean Brasie

 Elmhurst, Illinois, 60126

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 8:55 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Lori Deichstetter

[REDACTED]
Naperville, Illinois, 60108

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 9:12 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Alex DiSanti


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 9:12 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Lou DiSanti


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 9:14 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Alyssa Disanti


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 9:15 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Ben DiSanti

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Lombard, Illinois, 60148

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 9:15 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kylie DiSanti


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 9:18 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Ryan Green


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 9:22 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Joe DiSanti


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 9:23 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jennifer DiSanti


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 9:26 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Samantha Deichstetter

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Illinois, [REDACTED]

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 9:32 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kaitlin DiSanti


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 9:49 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Debbie Desimone


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 9:49 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Debbie Desimone


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 9:52 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Dan Desimone


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 9:54 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Brianna Mckinley


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 9:56 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Mike DiSanti


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 9:57 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jodie Colucci


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 9:59 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kris DiSanti



Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 10:07 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.


The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Gina Mago


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 10:14 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Bill Lavin


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 10:26 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Tara O'Hea


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 10:32 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Rachel Deichstetter


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 11:29 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.


The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

John Deichstetter


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 5:45 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Cortney Barnard


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 6:14 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Phillip Kapela

 Naperville, Illinois, 60564

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 6:22 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kevin Hill


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 6:36 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Emma DiSanti


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 7:34 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Martina Svehla


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 7:37 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Janelle Kamp


Naperville, Illinois, 60565

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 7:43 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.


It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Angela Martin

 Milford, Ohio, 45150

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 7:57 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Robert Hicks


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 8:12 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Tammy Pieczynski


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 9:11 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Andrea Durren


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 9:40 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Michael Hedl


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 10:04 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Natasha Marriner

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 10:27 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kristen Frederick


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 10:32 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Eric Pauga


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 12:35 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.


It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Sara Connelly


Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 12:36 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Lauren Minger


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 12:55 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Chris Connelly


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 1:10 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Marcie Pickelsimer


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 1:12 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Bree Minger


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 1:51 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Rebecca Adelman


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 2:25 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Aileen Pendleton

Western Springs, Illinois, 60558

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 2:25 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Megan Shields


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 3:16 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Melissa Green


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 3:29 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Barbara Nudo

[REDACTED]
Bloomington, Illinois, 60108

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 3:46 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jenni Hulsey



Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 3:57 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Teresa Unnerstall


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 5:21 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jolene Porter


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 5:42 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Joanna Kent

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 5:51 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Bethany Mullen


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 6:26 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Annette Panzek


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 7:21 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Robin Mauro

 Bloomingdale, Illinois, 60108

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 8:00 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Shaina Nejman


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 9:31 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Alyssa Czech


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 9:59 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jennifer Stock


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 10:37 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Christina Kitchel

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 10:54 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kelly Dunkel


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 5:28 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Gale Ganet

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 8:14 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Marquitta Harris


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 8:16 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Amy Labora


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 8:17 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

My son has been a recipient of the wonderful programs that are available at Little Friends for the past 7 years and will continue to be for several years at a minimum. Without Little Friends, many kids and adults would not be able to function in the community and live the healthy happy lives that Little Friends supports them to achieve. It is a wonderful organization that should be supported in its endeavors to grow and provide services to the Autism Community. While I would normally be in favor of preservation of historically significant buildings, I have been in the Little Friends facilities in downtown Naperville many times over the past several years and can vouch for the fact that the buildings do not represent historically significant buildings or structures. They are physically located in a historically significant neighborhood, but they are not historically significant themselves. They are in fact simple in design and structure with no obvious architecturally significant details from any design era.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville than it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Karen Rivara

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 9:21 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Sarah Starke


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 10:23 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Brenda Ulrich


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 11:23 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.


The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Evan Deichstetter


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 11:46 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jessica Oldham


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 11:48 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jacqueline Janas



Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 11:54 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

*****I have personally been inside these buildings several times and can attest that kids with autism and other intellectual disabilities, as well as the staff, need an updated facility. Moreover, the current buildings would be better suited for a developer to handle for either commercial or residential use. Thank you! Jodi Bernicky

Jodi Bernicky

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60564

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 12:03 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

stephanie watson


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 12:12 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Stephanie Galloway-Toreson


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 12:20 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kristin Schultz


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 12:36 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

When I lived in Illinois I drove Special Ed and had Little Friends and The Mansion children on my bus. Please listen to these people.

Mary Jane Struensee


Neillsville, Wisconsin, 54456

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Ellen Endres <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 1:10 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

When my child was initially diagnosed with autism, I was completely overwhelmed. I didn't know where to turn to find him the assistance he needs to improve his cognitive skills, so I was relieved to find Little Friends in Naperville. The organization quickly brought my son in for an evaluation and within just a few weeks he was beginning sessions with his therapist. He's been seeing his therapist for over a year, and in that time my child has begun expressing himself using first gestures, then a picture book, and now words. He can feed himself using utensils now. He's learning to dress himself. So much of this progress is thanks to Little Friends.

This past summer, my son's daytime caretaker underwent knee surgery. While we were able to find my son alternate transportation to and from the Little Friends center in Naperville, we had to arrange for someone to walk him up and down the three flights of stairs. His caretaker could not, as the building has no elevator. Even after a quick and full recovery, his caretaker finds that she must wait for the duration of his therapy sessions now, as going up and down the stairs more than once a day is too hard on her knee.

While this is an inconvenience at best, issues with the current state of the Little Friends facility can and will impact other families. What of the families whose children are in wheelchairs and cannot access the therapy center? What if a current client breaks a leg and cannot climb the stairs? You could argue that they can find other places for their therapy, but easier said than done. As a working parent, coordinating a therapy center with a daytime caretaker and figuring out transportation is not an easy task. Needing to ask my child's caretaker to drive him to and from a therapy center farther from our home base is not only costly, but incredibly inconvenient for all involved. And for patients with autism, changing from one therapist and/or therapy center to another can undo literally months of progress in their behavior.

What it comes down to is this: Little Friends is helping my son in ways I cannot provide, and they're doing exceptional work with him and so many of their other clients. But their facility is not up to standard, be it ADA-compliant or just in terms of quality. They can do *so much more* if only they weren't being held back by their old, decrepit facility. By forcing them to stay on in their current property, the city of Naperville is holding them back from offering children like mine so much better therapy. And better therapy means a better daily life for them all.

I understand that the facility and the mansion are historic pieces of real estate. But is it fair to force Little Friends to spend what money they have on retrofitting outdated buildings to be ADA-compliant, when in reality it should be spent on better resources for their patients? No. To be frank, Naperville has plenty of buildings on the historic register already. The Little Friends campus brings little to a city already full of historic buildings. It isn't worth the negative impact that would be brought onto Little Friends' clients by forcing the center to stay and spend countless dollars on a fruitless rehab rather than on the children and adults they serve. Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction. For the sake of the people who could so greatly progress with the help of a new center, please consider allowing Little Friends to leave their Naperville campus without the economic punishment that's currently being considered.

I am an advocate for my child, and now I find myself an advocate for my child's therapists as well. Please support Little Friends. Thank you.

Ellen Endres

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 1:59 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Julie Andersen


Aurora, Illinois

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 1:59 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

TO Honorable Councilors of Naperville:

Form letter below. My child has benefitted greatly from Little Friends. This great outfit has to move forward and continue. Seems like the note below should be straightforward solution.

Thanks, Sean Adams

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

sean adams

naperville, Illinois, 60564

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 2:31 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Breanne Reinhard


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 3:05 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Zehra Jafri


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 3:53 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Emily Milleville

 Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 4:10 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Pete and Karla Harmon

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 4:12 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Cathy Briggs


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 4:32 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Srikanth Palle

[REDACTED]
Aurora, 60504

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 4:44 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Beth Schirott


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 5:30 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Shawn McCarthy


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 5:53 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.


The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jon Jocson


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 5:54 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Terrence Janas


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 6:19 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Lauren Bruni


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 7:22 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

please find a way, Naperville, to make it work for Little Friends. Make an exception, find a way. Do not hold this wonderful organization back.

Katie Clancy


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 7:40 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Ariel Schroeder


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 8:08 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Veronica Cwynar

[REDACTED]
Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 8:17 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Richard Zehnacker


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 8:33 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

I have used Little Friends services many times for my son and they are a great asset to my child and all of the funds should be used for programs, not historical preservation. The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction. Our family and my son would really appreciate it.

Thank you,

Jennifer Velchek

Jennifer Velchek


Naperville, Illinois, 60563

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 8:47 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Catherine Anderson

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Glen Ellyn, Illinois, 60137

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 8:49 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.


The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Cynthia Pirami


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 8:52 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Lisa Kelly


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 9:21 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kristina Nammari


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 9:34 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

Added: there are 20k people waiting for services in this state and thousands in DuPage county. Put your money where your mouth is, Naperville. Organizations like Little Friends barely get paid for \$10/hour direct support workers who are leaving disability services because they make more at McDonalds?

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

P.S. Don't you strike deals for for-profit businesses to attract them to Naperville? yet you are essentially asking Little Friends to spend money to deal with your restrictions.

Kish Pisani

[REDACTED]
Naperville, Illinois, 60563

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 9:38 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Norma Munguia-Marcelin

Warrenville, Illinois, 60555

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 5:18 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Derek McDaniel


Naperville

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 5:28 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Barbara Kenneally


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 6:05 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Marjorie Bannon


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 6:20 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Allison Haas

 Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 6:20 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Lori Balsamo


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 7:04 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Beatrice Iconaru


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 10:44 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

June Barnard


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 11:10 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Daria Skrzypczynski

 Chicago, Illinois, 60632

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 11:14 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Dirk Barenbrugge

Naperville, Illinois, 60565

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 11:22 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Laure Apicella


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 12:02 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Nicole Bayert

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60565

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 12:04 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Michael Bayert

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 50565

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 12:42 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Matt Briggs


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 12:51 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Ron Schulz

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 1:02 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends’ special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Emma Apicella


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 1:13 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

TOM APICELLA SR


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 4:07 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am a resident that lives near the historic district of Naperville. I regularly walk by the property en route to friends homes and to enjoy the restaurants, shopping and downtown scene. Whenever we walk by the campus, I am always proud to say Naperville is home to such a wonderful organization. I hope the city does not embarrass its residents by placing restrictions on the organization essentially taking away resources from those who need it. The City of Naperville needs to think bigger picture about this vote and the impacts it has on others. Please keep Naperville on the side of truly caring for others.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Sallie Alswager

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60563

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 5:03 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kim Pace

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Downers Grove, Illinois, 60516

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 7:15 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jeffrey Grimley

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60565

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 7:40 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

As it is a stretch to label the house on the property a 'mansion' - The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Ron Franczyk

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 7:55 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Brand and Mary Ann Bobosky

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 9:28 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Debbie Jeffery


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 9:57 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

- Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Julia Fresso

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Lake in the Hills, Illinois, 60156

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 10:19 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Doug Clermont



Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 26, 2019 12:41 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Eve Hushek


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 26, 2019 6:27 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends’ special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Rudi Gandy


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 26, 2019 6:54 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Susan Iona

 Westmont, Illinois, 60559

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 26, 2019 10:54 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Bill Cornish

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 69564

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 26, 2019 1:30 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

John Kapovich

 Downers Grove, Illinois, 60516

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 26, 2019 1:55 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville than it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Charles Wilmot


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 26, 2019 2:13 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Francesca Lenzi


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 26, 2019 5:01 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Mary hourihan


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 27, 2019 3:28 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

My daughter is, and has been a client of Little Friends for more than ten years. It truly troubles me that Little Friends may be forced to invest significant resources in their current site/buildings instead of using less funds to relocate and increasingly help people like my daughter. Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Larry Loehr

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 27, 2019 3:44 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

My sister has been a client of Little Friends for over 10 years. She and our family benefit from a multitude of services provided by Little Friends.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction, so that they can focus their energies on providing variable services for people like my sister.

Martha Cammarata

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60563

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 27, 2019 4:15 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville than it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville. My daughter, Katie, is one of the people whom Little Friends serves.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Molly Loehr

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 27, 2019 4:25 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

My sister is, and has been a client of Little Friends for more than ten years. It truly troubles me that Little Friends may be forced to invest significant resources in their current site/buildings instead of using these funds to relocate and increasingly help people like my sister.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Carrie Smolinski

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 27, 2019 6:26 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Nicole Fox

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Montgomery, Illinois, 60538

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 27, 2019 6:26 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Dawn Marchut

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Aurora, Illinois, 60502

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 27, 2019 6:27 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Julia Drying


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 27, 2019 6:30 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Anita Kostbade


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 27, 2019 6:47 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jennifer Reef


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 27, 2019 7:05 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Clark Smith

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 27, 2019 7:17 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Laurie McCollum




Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 27, 2019 7:52 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I'm a 15 year veteran employee of Little Friends. I have nothing but gratitude for the relationship between Little Friends and the City of Naperville. And now it's time for us to move and grow and for the city to use our current property in a more beneficial and functional way. Little Friends and the City of Naperville should not be at odds with one another. Please allow us to sell the property without restrictions so both parties can move forward with more worthwhile and life changing business.

Liz Behnken


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 27, 2019 8:14 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Liz Mekeel


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 27, 2019 8:32 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.


It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Ana Martinez

 Naperville, Illinois, 60564

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 27, 2019 9:00 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jayma Griffin


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, September 27, 2019 11:35 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Erin Boyle


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 28, 2019 7:53 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Amanda McCallum

[REDACTED]
Plainfield, Illinois

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 28, 2019 10:59 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Martha Nemtusak


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 28, 2019 1:56 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.


It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Mik Bytner

 Naperville, Illinois, 60563

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 28, 2019 3:24 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Ryan Schloesser


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 28, 2019 3:43 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kristina Stackhouse


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 28, 2019 5:29 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

RAJ RAJKARNE

 NAPERVILLE, Illinois, 60565

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 28, 2019 5:32 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

ANU RAJKARNE

 NAPERVILLE, Illinois, 60565

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 28, 2019 6:13 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Patricia Pellegrini

 Villa Park, Illinois, 60181

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 28, 2019 6:42 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Megan Roche


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 28, 2019 7:07 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Roxanna Pinnow


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, September 28, 2019 7:16 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Carl Pinnow


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 29, 2019 9:26 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Heather Jelic

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Romeoville, Illinois, 60446

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 29, 2019 2:16 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Joe & Kathy Straka

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60565

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 29, 2019 5:53 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Caroline Spreitzer

 Channahon, Illinois, 60410

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 29, 2019 5:55 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jaimy Spreitzer


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 29, 2019 8:32 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends


CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

As a parent of two children with autism who have both benefitted tremendously from the programs at Little Friends over the years, I beg of the city to consider the needs of actual people - your citizens, over those of a mishmash of bricks and mortar that have no remaining architectural significance. There is no reason to believe that helping actual human beings live richer and more fulfilling lives could possibly be less important than burdening this amazing non-profit with the costs of preserving a hodgepodge of buildings with no actual legacy value. If the city deems that there needs to be some remembrance of Peter Kroehler and his extramarital abandonment of his family on the property, a plaque to that effect should certainly suffice. Forcing Little Friends to waste millions of dollars that could go into enriching the lives of actual human beings is folly.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction. Please help Naperville be a city that values the lives of its citizens over artificial attachment to days long past.

Adam Hoffman

 Naperville, Illinois, 60565

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 29, 2019 8:39 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Zach Fox


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, September 29, 2019 9:14 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Cindy Tschetter


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 30, 2019 7:13 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Michael Holy


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 30, 2019 11:27 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Mary Giddings


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 30, 2019 11:29 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Russ Weiland


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 30, 2019 4:17 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Mary Taylor


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 30, 2019 8:40 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Gail Hoffman


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, September 30, 2019 9:38 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jenny Golovin


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 1, 2019 8:10 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Cheryl Newman

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 1, 2019 8:36 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jacqui Guy


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 1, 2019 9:45 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Walter Guy


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 1, 2019 10:24 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs. Little Friends has grown over the years and has added new programs and now needs the new building in Warrenville.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property. People with special needs are more important than brick and mortar.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Harmon Barnard

 Carol Stream, Illinois, 60188

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 1, 2019 12:15 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Dominique Galloway


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 1, 2019 3:30 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Megan Marshall


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 1, 2019 3:51 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Ellen Smith

[REDACTED]
naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 1, 2019 5:54 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jen Fletcher


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 1, 2019 6:48 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Gloria Deguisne

 Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 1, 2019 6:50 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Larry Deguisne

 Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 1, 2019 6:51 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Katie Marzahl

 Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 1, 2019 8:08 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Megan Anderson


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2019 9:17 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Michelle Dugan


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2019 12:40 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Andrea Boll

 Downers Grove, Illinois, 60515

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2019 1:44 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. I am a teacher at Krejci Academy and the building does not meet the physical and academic needs of our students and staff. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Erin Setchell

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2019 5:06 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kathy Bytner

 Wheaton, Illinois, 60189

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2019 6:57 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Bruno Ojer


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2019 7:03 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Debra McGuffey

[REDACTED]
naperville, Illinois, 60565

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 3, 2019 5:28 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

K Hermes


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 3, 2019 7:18 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Belinda Cheek

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60564

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 3, 2019 7:20 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Marilyn Mason

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60564

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 3, 2019 7:32 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Susan Booton


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 3, 2019 7:47 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends’ special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Wendy Piepho


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 3, 2019 9:17 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Rose Perez



Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 3, 2019 9:25 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Agustin Perez


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 3, 2019 12:28 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Ron Amato


Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 3, 2019 1:06 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Dave Miech

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, 60564

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 3, 2019 3:43 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Julie Nelson-Kuna

 Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 3, 2019 4:36 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Annie Milne


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 3, 2019 8:48 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Felipe Perez


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 3, 2019 10:45 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Cindy Mooney


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 4, 2019 6:41 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.


It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Patricia Fauth


Montgomery, Illinois, 60538

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 4, 2019 8:28 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Mike Walsh

[REDACTED]
Naperville, Illinois, 60565

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 4, 2019 12:36 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Deborah O'Malley

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60563

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 4, 2019 8:22 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jean Verde

[REDACTED]
Naperville, Illinois, 60563

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 5, 2019 7:56 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Sherry Voicak

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60565

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 5, 2019 8:48 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Nicole Sroka


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 5, 2019 9:03 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Gaby Sroka

Chicago, Illinois, 60634

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 5, 2019 9:06 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

steven schultheis

[REDACTED] palos hills, Illinois, 60465

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 5, 2019 9:52 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Beth Van Opdorp

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 5, 2019 9:56 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

MY PERSONAL RESPONSE

Little Friends is so much more than a building. It is a place of love, compassion, learning, support and so much more! Do not let a building stand in the way of important lives. Put your heart into the organization that helps a countless number of people, the clients and their families. Be grateful for this wonderful organization and its growth that is so necessary. When our son was born, we never planned to be part of this organization. Today, we are so thankful that Little Friends is there for us and the many who will be served by them in the future who will be grateful when they need them.

Sincerely and humbly,
Jeanne Koepke

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jeanne Koepke

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 5, 2019 10:37 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jim Koepke

 Woodridge, Illinois, 60517

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 5, 2019 11:23 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Robert Gecielewski


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 5, 2019 12:12 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Marlee Polak


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 5, 2019 3:37 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Mary Dolak


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 5, 2019 3:56 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends’ special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jeffrey Schmitt



 Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 5, 2019 4:04 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Tina Hartman


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 5, 2019 7:20 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Mary Abhalter


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 5, 2019 7:32 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Keith Zmijewski


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 5, 2019 8:34 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Janet Tunget


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 6, 2019 5:22 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Michael Naset

 Naperville, Illinois, 60565

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 6, 2019 7:09 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Hutha Hicks


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 6, 2019 7:37 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Monica Reed


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 6, 2019 7:45 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Sue McCue


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 6, 2019 2:52 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Mary Ann Burns


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 6, 2019 11:39 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Nancy Couch


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, October 7, 2019 9:23 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Suzanne Nyhan

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, October 7, 2019 11:14 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

To whom it may concern. My brother has been a client of Little Friend's for most of his life. He is disabled to the point of not being able to speak or care for himself without 24-hour supervision. He went to school through Little Friends in his youth and now, in his adulthood, he is able to live in a group home and work in a workshop setting only because of the attentive, humane and professional care of Little Friends. Throughout the years I have watched them navigate the eccentricities of Illinois budget, working creatively to make sure the clients never felt the affect of the financial strain inflicted by the state. I am shocked and saddened that the City of Naperville would now add to Little Friends' financial burden. Do you not see how they care for the least of these in our society with cheerfulness, optimism and ingenuity?

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Susan Dudek

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Willow Springs, Illinois, 60480

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, October 7, 2019 5:48 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Rosa Perez


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, October 7, 2019 7:47 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrentonville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Lawrence Ulrich

 Oswego, Illinois, 60543

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, October 7, 2019 9:13 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Amy Carrier


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, October 7, 2019 10:41 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.


The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Claudia Hutchison


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 8, 2019 8:23 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Janet Wehrli


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 8, 2019 5:11 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Mara Overbey

 Evanston, Illinois, 60202

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 8, 2019 8:55 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Alice Mann


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 8, 2019 9:39 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Virginia Gecielewski


Westchester, Illinois, 60154

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 10:37 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kay Hill


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 2:49 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Catherine Dalzell

 Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 4:08 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kelly Krohn


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 4:09 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Mary Johnson
mfj0847@gmail.com

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 4:13 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Dean Myles

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Aurora, Illinois, 60506

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 4:49 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Angela Garcia


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 5:13 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Mark Galati


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 5:19 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kathleen Warzecha


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 5:24 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jo-Ann Johanson



Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 5:42 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Cathy Bolger

Wheaton, Illinois, 60189

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 5:49 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Do Not Impose Costly Restrictions On Little Friends

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I support Little Friends and urge the City of Naperville to not impose costly restrictions on their legacy property. As a non-profit serving a growing segment of our population, Little Friends should be allowed to invest in their people and programs, not be forced to divert resources into their legacy property.

The facts are clear – the campus is beyond its useful economic life. It would cost twice as much to stay, retrofit and expand the property in downtown Naperville then it will cost to relocate to a new, modern Warrenville facility designed to meet Little Friends' special and unique education needs.

It is not appropriate to force Little Friends to divert resources away from their transformation for the purpose of historic preservation or re-creation of an estate-like property with a mega-mansion. For the last seven decades, the buildings have been used for institutional and educational use and are now obsolete, outdated and not code compliant.

The decision you will make impacts families from across the region. Little Friends serves approximately 800 people annually from 52 area school districts. Demand and need for their services are growing. All of these families and individuals will be negatively impacted if the City of Naperville requires a substantial diversion of resources away from new investments in people, programs and a modern educational facility, for the purpose of preserving or re-creating an estate-like environment in downtown Naperville.

The costs of preservation and re-creation of an estate far outweigh the limited historical and architectural value of the property.

Please support Little Friends and allow them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Dawn Biersborn

 Collinsville, Illinois, 62234

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 6:18 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming. Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Brenda Wild


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 6:55 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Lauren Collander


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 6:56 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kathy Hydo


Winfield, Illinois, 60190

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 6:58 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jason DIMambro


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 7:21 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming. Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Katherine Melsa

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 7:23 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programing.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kelly Wallace


Downers Grove, Illinois, 60515

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 7:44 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programing.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kimberly DeBoer


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 7:47 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programing.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kathy Feigl

Woodridge, Illinois

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 8:16 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programing.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Francesca Rosanova

Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 8:25 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Lyndsey Wolverton


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 8:36 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programing.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Wendy Pekarscik


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 8:46 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programing.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Heather Sweeney


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 8:48 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Amanda OHalloran


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 9:11 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Amanda Whitmore


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 9:12 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Margaret Mink


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 5:31 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Derek McDaniel

[REDACTED]
Naperville, Illinois, 60565

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 10:10 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Eileen Saccomonto



Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 2:08 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Rae Cooke

Naperville, Illinois, 60504

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 4:51 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programing.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Josn Wagner



Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 5:19 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programing.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Mary Saba

Naperville

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 5:22 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programing.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Mary Fissinger


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 5:34 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Maureen Stahulak


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 6:10 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Karol Priddy

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 6:11 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Linda Kemp
lkemp@kw.com
(630)688-5121

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 6:12 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Peter Fissinger


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 6:24 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Laura Jacques

[REDACTED]
Winfield, Illinois, 60190

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 6:36 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Dawn Marchut


Aurora, Illinois, 60502

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 6:46 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jenna Spaniol


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 7:02 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programing.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

Although I no longer reside in Naperville, I called it home for nearly 40 years and rode by it on my way to Ellsworth school and friends homes. My family was one of the original participants and contributors to the annual benefit. Our priority is to the clients it serves and will serve in the future not to the few who appear a need to validate some historical fantasy.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Priscilla Laubscher

 Oswego, Illinois, 60543

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 7:37 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Roxane Pappa


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 7:45 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programing.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.


Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Patricia Seidel


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 8:17 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jamie Fries


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 8:21 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Margaret Montes

[REDACTED]
Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 8:24 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programing.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Susan Edgeworth


Bolingbrook, Illinois

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 9:13 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Taylor Johns


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 9:43 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

This issue is critical to families and children! I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programing.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Gretchen Knowlton


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 9:52 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Alicia Fallon


Downers Grove, Illinois, 60515

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 9:57 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programing.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jenna Johnson


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 10:01 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Marion Ziroli


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 10:15 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programing.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Denise Cattoni



Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 10:24 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Cari Hammar


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 10:41 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Gloria Velino


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2019 4:52 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Roz Jasillo

Naperville, Illinois, 60564

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2019 5:13 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Sarah Janssen


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2019 6:35 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Lindsey Wade

[REDACTED]
Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2019 7:24 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Carrie Gasik


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2019 7:30 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

John Wyzkiewicz

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2019 9:39 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Clark Smith


Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2019 9:40 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programing.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Diane Duke


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2019 10:22 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programing.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Sharon Maroni

[REDACTED]
Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2019 10:51 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programing.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Mark Fisher

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Rule, Texas, 79547

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2019 10:56 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Sam Lostrocio


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, October 14, 2019 5:22 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Dianne Gerlach

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60565

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, October 14, 2019 3:59 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Susan Sheehan

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60565

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, October 14, 2019 2:55 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Katherine Howell

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] naperville, Illinois, 60517

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, October 14, 2019 1:34 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Katie Maloney
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, October 14, 2019 12:45 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Julie Behrel


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, October 14, 2019 11:43 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Heidi Fisher


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Steve O'Reilly <storeilly95@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 14, 2019 11:18 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Naperville Historic Preservation Commission

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Mattingly:

It's unclear to me on what the role of a Historic Preservation Commission is if there is intent to do the exact opposite of what the Commission was established to preserve. The Kroehler Mansion sits squarely in the heart of Naperville's historic district which would leave Naperville residents to believe that whatever buildings are in existence there are to remain in order to preserve the historic integrity of the area.

Not in Naperville. The rules can change on a dime if it fits the Planning Commission's will.

Perhaps if Naperville's City Council and Planning Commission had the foresight in years past to not approve so many ugly strip malls, many of which sit half vacant, and preserved some open land there would be some other locations suitable for Little Friends. But this being Naperville, the Planning Commission has routinely approved one unsuitable project after another (Shops on Washington) so that the town now finds itself in this situation: wanting to destroy a piece of its historic past to accommodate what will most likely be another ugly building in its place.

Here's a suggestion: tear down the ugly Fair Oaks Ford site at the corner of Naper Blvd and Ogden Ave and let Little Friends construct something there. Have you been by that location? It's an eyesore if there ever is one and it's right at the gateway to the city. Welcome to Naperville.

Steve O'Reilly
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, October 14, 2019 10:53 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Veronica Porter

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, October 14, 2019 8:09 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Michelle Ortiz


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, October 14, 2019 8:02 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jeanie Brasie

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Elmhurst, Illinois, 60126

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, October 14, 2019 2:21 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Larissa Olson
[REDACTED]
Bartlett, Illinois

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 13, 2019 10:54 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Marcy Miller
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60564

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 13, 2019 9:12 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kristin Scharping


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 13, 2019 9:03 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Linda DeNicolo


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 13, 2019 6:16 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Chân Trần


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 13, 2019 6:08 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Mary Lukas


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 13, 2019 5:29 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Lou Ann Portincasa

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Naperville,, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 13, 2019 3:19 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Michael Wyszynski


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 13, 2019 3:14 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Colleen Johnson
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 13, 2019 1:26 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kristine Legler


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 13, 2019 12:04 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Alyssa Doweidt


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 13, 2019 10:48 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Catherine Morrin

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Lockport, Illinois, 60441

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 13, 2019 10:34 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kevin Crawford
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 13, 2019 9:42 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Laurie Lesley


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 13, 2019 9:05 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Barb Whiteaker


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 13, 2019 8:54 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.


Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Lael Laning


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 13, 2019 8:43 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jeff Baumgartner


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 13, 2019 8:07 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Christy Gerut


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 13, 2019 8:01 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Anne Verbic
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 13, 2019 4:21 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Bill Mahoney

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60563

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 13, 2019 4:14 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Ruth Boccuzzi


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 13, 2019 2:06 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Mary Glynn


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 10:19 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Michelle Jacinto


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 9:46 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Paige Hoogerhyde


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 9:36 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

KAREN CANINO

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Plainfield, Illinois, 60586

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 9:25 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Nicole Murray


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 9:23 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Brooke Mullins


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 9:16 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Caitlin Thomas

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Bellingham, Washington, 98226

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 9:07 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kristen Kania


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 8:22 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Danielle Juedes

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Neenah, Wisconsin, 54956

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 8:06 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Denise Kachlic
[REDACTED]

Illinois, 60560

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 8:03 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Pam Foster


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 8:02 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Sarah Ward


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 7:26 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jeffrey Ross


Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 6:51 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Thomas Holtorf sr


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 5:52 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Collette Holtorf


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 4:51 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kathryn Horn


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 4:42 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Maricela urbieta


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 4:20 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Cristian Quintana


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 4:19 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Dana Quintana


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 3:55 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Karen Moe-Stephens


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 3:47 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kari McIntosh


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 3:35 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Sabrina Riemer

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60564

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 3:33 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Lori Dano


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 3:17 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kathleen Knapp

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 70564

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 3:11 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Lauren Baumgartner
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Aurora, Illinois, 60502

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 2:33 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Valerie Kachlic
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 2:27 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Caroline Hopkinson

Illinois

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 2:12 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kim Baumgartner


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 2:09 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Danielle Sherry


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 1:50 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jami Bhatti


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 1:49 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Terri Wignall


North Carolina

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 1:46 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Tanya Kolb


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 1:23 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Bridget Shanks


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 1:11 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Sharon Hefler
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 12:42 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Sarah Thielk


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 12:36 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kristi Van Ham


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 12:35 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.


Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Melissa DeWitt


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 12:33 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Casey Kurtz


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 12:29 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Robyn Ticknor


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 12:21 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Allyson Muirhead


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 12:18 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Peter Nassos


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 12:16 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jennifer Wenc


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 12:12 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Brooke Baumgartner


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 11:59 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Katherine Rodriguez

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Glendale Heights, Illinois, 60139

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 11:57 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are the longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kelly Baumgartner DeBoeuf


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 11:11 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Michelle Rafacz


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 10:51 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Katherine Cox
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 10:02 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Christopher Owens


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 9:45 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Cierra Chapman
[REDACTED]

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 9:09 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kathleen Lojas
[REDACTED]

Illinois

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 8:18 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kathleen Wyszynski


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 8:15 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Amy Simler


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 7:49 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Deana Lunn


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 7:25 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Sarah Dore


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 6:13 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Deborah Barnat


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2019 11:37 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Amy Blaylock

████████████████████
60538

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2019 11:03 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Tammie Svestka
[REDACTED]

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2019 10:46 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Mary Ellen Gardels

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2019 10:26 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Maurissa Murakami


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2019 9:23 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Linda Doyle


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2019 8:13 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Vince Apke


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2019 7:53 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Lauren Horn


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2019 7:08 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.


Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jerry Kuhn


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2019 6:02 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Patricia Wagner


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2019 5:50 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Carol Naveja


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2019 4:26 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Leanne Vos


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2019 3:46 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Rosemarie Gossett

████████████████████

████████████████

████████████████ Oswego, Illinois, 60543

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2019 3:29 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Frank Van Haelst III

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Braidwood, Illinois, 60408

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2019 2:32 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Diane Banowetz


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 17, 2019 8:06 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Dennis Papiernik

██

████████████████████

████████████████████ Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 17, 2019 7:59 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kay Kellogg
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 16, 2019 8:17 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

dan brosseau


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 16, 2019 5:53 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

tami Harkins


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 16, 2019 3:17 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Althea Wasilewski

████████████████████

████████████████

████████████████████ Naperville, Illinois, 60564

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 16, 2019 3:02 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Sheryl Baumgarten

████████████████████

████████████████████

████████████████████ Naperville, Illinois, 60563

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 15, 2019 10:29 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Ashley Manthei


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 15, 2019 6:56 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Laurie Oberhelman


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 15, 2019 4:54 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Sheila McCarthy

████████████████████
Chicago, Illinois, 60643

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 15, 2019 1:05 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jenna Kauppi
[REDACTED]

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 15, 2019 10:01 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Constance Merritt

██████████

██████████ Naperville, Illinois, 60563

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 15, 2019 9:53 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Brian Minnis


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 15, 2019 9:46 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Michele Gomez-Rubio


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 15, 2019 9:39 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Ray Kinney
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Naperville, Illinois, 60540

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 17, 2019 1:43 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Mark Anderson
[REDACTED]

I live in the Hobson Village neighborhood, and have been a Naperville resident for 33 years.

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Wednesday, October 23, 2019 7:34 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Anne Sedore



Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Bryan Ogg [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, October 23, 2019 4:00 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Cc: Hinterlong, Paul; Coyne, Kevin; Gustin, Patty; Sullivan, Theresa; White, Benny; Krummen, John; Kelly, Patrick
Subject: Save the Historic District

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

To the members of HPC and the Naperville City Council,

Please read the attached article by Justin Davidson in regards to historic districts.

<http://nymag.com/intelligencer/2016/01/atlantic-hates-historic-districts.html>

I found this section particularly poignant:

Tearing down fine old buildings doesn't always, or even often, lead to greater equity or civic virtue. But it *always* [Davidson's emphasis] leads to the obliteration of memory. Sacrificing gracious old residential districts to the unfeeling predations of the market is an act of willful amnesia...Historic districts [are] like museums, libraries, archives, and any institution that nourishes collective memory. History can't always defend itself against momentary desires or the indifferent marketplace. That's why we need to protect it with laws and a culture of respect. We will always have to keep debating where the proper boundaries lie between preservation and change, between cultivating the past and living in the present. **But abandoning historic districts to the whims of buyers, sellers, and developers would be a form of cultural vandalism we would come to regret** [my emphasis].

Bryan Ogg

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 22, 2019 2:05 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Debbis Pack


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 24, 2019 8:30 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

Little Friends is an organization that is very near and dear to our hearts. When our son, Evan, was very young we had a very difficult time communicating with him and understanding the way his mind worked. With the help of Little Friends, we were able to identify his cognitive strengths and unique personality. It allowed us to share these findings with his school teachers and doctors so we could create a rich environment for him to learn and grow. Today, Evan is a very happy and successful High School Junior who is discovering his gifts everyday. If it wasn't for Little Friends my wife and I would have struggled in helping Evan be the person he is today. Autism and Cognitive Learning Behaviors are difficult to understand and diagnose, especially for the parents. They require extensive resources and professionals who are passionate for the work they do and the children they support. Taking these resources and talents away from families like ours would be a disservice to them and the community as a whole. As a former benefactor of Little Friends' Family Services, I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's

brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

John Daly



Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 22, 2019 1:26 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Nancy Knott
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Monday, October 21, 2019 6:18 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

Do the right thing!

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Jacqueline Chaidez
[REDACTED]

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 20, 2019 7:11 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Linda Rosenthal


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 20, 2019 6:32 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kaci Steder


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Alva Chastain [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, October 20, 2019 2:14 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Little Friends COA

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

My house has been passed through the generations, many changes have occurred some good some bad. Wiping out the Kroehler home is like wiping out a Towns History, not only is the home on the National Register (supposedly protected) but the good that the Kroehler's did during hard times saved the city and people of Naperville , giving jobs and a future for the town.

Here's hope,

Alva Jean Chastain
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 19, 2019 11:12 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Cullen Stewart
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 19, 2019 10:23 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Marilyn Johnson


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 19, 2019 7:11 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Sarah Prinner
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 19, 2019 6:24 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Erik Johnson


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 19, 2019 4:24 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Megan Marshall


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 19, 2019 1:37 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Erin Franczyk
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 18, 2019 9:16 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Julie Andersen


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 18, 2019 9:06 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Kelli Keena


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 18, 2019 6:31 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Alyssa Figueroa


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Bryan Ogg [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 18, 2019 4:48 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: A few historical note

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Gabby,

Regarding the Little Friends fact sheet. Little Friends were tenants of NCC from 1974 to 1978. After the City of Naperville bought the se corner of the property in 1978, just four years AFTER the National Landmark designation, Little Friends rented from the City of Naperville for \$10. The CoN bought the land with funds from DuPage County that required stipulations for preserving the integrity of the National Register Landmark. When the property was sold to LF for \$1 in 1989 (I have found no record of a contract for deed), the local Historic District was already 3 years old. LF bought the property within the parameters of the Historic District and should be accountable as every other home owner in the district is.

Unfortunately, I am unable to make the meeting. Please add my comments to the record.

Thank you.

Bryan Ogg

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 18, 2019 11:23 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi. I am from Wheaton. I always thought Naperville was kind and caring. This is sad.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Xavier Christopher
[REDACTED]

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 18, 2019 11:18 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Meg Foody


Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 18, 2019 6:27 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

Little Friends serves a constituency much larger than Naperville, and it is shameful that the city council would be so short-sighted that they would restrict the care Little Friends provides to save a dilapidated building. You are better than that, Council. Demonstrate it.

RBG

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Rosemarie Breske Garvey

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Friday, October 18, 2019 5:42 AM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Karyn Charvat
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Little Friends <info@supportlittlefriends.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 17, 2019 8:15 PM
To: Mattingly, Gabrielle
Subject: Support Little Friends - Not The Re-Creation Of An Estate

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to urge the City of Naperville to consider all the facts and circumstances as it decides whether to impose costly preservation restrictions on Little Friends' legacy and outdated campus. I support Little Friends' request to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness, because they need the financial resources to invest in their people and programming.

Historic preservation is a worthy goal, but preservation should weigh the significance of the specific property versus the costs associated with re-creation. In this case, it is clear that the campus is beyond its useful economic life. Little Friends has invested in its property over the years, but it is functionally obsolete. That is why it is appropriate for the City to grant permission for demolition of the campus.

Little Friends has been at the legacy campus for nearly 44 years and they are longest user of the property. Little Friends serves nearly 800 families per year. It would not be unreasonable to say that they have had more of an impact on our community and families than any other previous occupant of the property.

I do not believe it is appropriate for the City of Naperville to impose costly restrictions on the property that will require the diversion of financial resources away from Little Friends' people and programs, and into this legacy property for the purpose of preservation or re-creation of an estate like setting.

Someone has to shoulder the enormous costs associated with any restriction imposed. It is clear that no government or agency wants to bear the burden, as they have not responded to the offers to buy the property at market value. Why would it be appropriate for the City to force Little Friends to lose the economic benefits of their property? I don't believe that it is.

Families across the region are counting on Naperville's leaders. Individuals from 52 different school districts benefit through Little Friends' programming. A costly restriction that hurts Little Friends is not consistent with Naperville's brand, heritage or the purpose of a historical preservation policy. Something appropriate for the neighborhood can take this legacy campus' place.

I support Little Friends and I hope you will too by allowing them to sell their legacy campus without restriction.

Nancy Ferrarini
