



Meeting Minutes

Transportation Advisory Board

Thursday, December 1, 2022

7:00 PM

Council Chambers

A. CALL TO ORDER:

Chairman Melaniphy called the December 1, 2022 Transportation Advisory Board Meeting to order.

This was closed.

B. ROLL CALL:

Student Member Dylan Swanson is present.

This was closed.

Present 6 - Michael Brown, Mary Howenstine, Suzanne Hart, Scott Hurley, Michael Melaniphy, and Matthew Seeberg

Absent 3 - Janet Blubaugh, Inga Orolin, and James Webb

C. PUBLIC FORUM:

There are no speakers for public forum.

This was closed.

D. REPORTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

Police Department Report

Sergeant Derek Zook states the police department conducted a grant on impaired driving and seatbelt check during Thanksgiving. He also states that residents should consider winter weather before driving.

Sergeant Zook states that Sergeant Krakow got promoted to Commander for the night shift.

This was closed.

1. Approve the minutes of the November 3, 2022 Transportation Advisory Board Meeting

A motion was made by Hurley, seconded by Hart, approved. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Howenstine, Hart, Hurley, Melaniphy, and Seeberg

Absent: 4 - Blubaugh, Brown, Orolin, and Webb

2. Receive Staff's Evaluation of Traffic Concerns on Shandrew Drive, Blakely Lane, and Fort Hill Drive

Project Manager Michael Prousa presents the traffic concerns on Shandrew Drive, Blakely Lane, and Fort Hill Drive.

Hurley asks Prousa to explain a rotating driver feedback sign.

Prousa states that they are used a lot throughout the city. Prousa states that they are out at a location for 2 weeks at a time because the message gets stale if out longer.

Seeberg asks about the crosswalks on Fort Hill Drive and if they are safe.

Prousa states that Fort Hill Drive does not have any midblock crossings and no crossings that are uncontrolled. Fort Hill and Sanctuary are currently getting looked at for stop compliance.

Melaniphy states that it is worth noting that any traffic calming tools that get used are continually evaluated to ensure they are working to what is desired.

Sergeant Zook states that PD works with TED to get information on enforcement times for high speeds.

This Report was received.

3. Approve a Recommendation for Alternative 2 to Establish Overnight Parking Exemptions for the Vintage Club (Item 1 of 2)

Prousa presents the three alternatives from staff for the overnight parking plan on Shandrew and Blakely for the Vintage Club. Staff recommends alternative 2.

Melaniphy states that staff received a letter from Joseph Geraci who lives in Sedgwick. The letter states, "I would support alternative 2.

1. It addresses all the safety concerns at the stop sign.
2. It provides overnight parking on south Shandrew where it is currently most used.
3. It keeps the front entrances of Shandrew duplexes from losing curb appeal and value with signs and parking.
4. Provides most overall overnight parking, 42 spots.
5. Allows daytime parking on west Shandrew to clear snow from Sedgwick parking issues.

I do think both communities should have access to all 42 spots, at least everyone in row homes. It is a public street that borders 2 multifamily home communities. I am not sure how 1/2 a guest spot is adequate anyways."

Jeff Allen supports alternative 3.

Kimberly Scott supports alternative 3. Gives residents an opportunity to park closer to home. She states that most vehicles that live in the neighborhood come from the south and this prevents them from an easy turn around.

Howenstine asks if there is an official statement from the HOA.

Kimberly Scott states that they do not have a petition. She states that currently residents park on the east side of the street anyway.

Prousa states Vintage Club sent a letter of intent that is attached in the agenda item.

Jim Schneck states that the Vintage Club supports 3.

Aviji Ghosh is from Sedgwick and states that both communities have 2 car garages and asks if we have exhausted every route before allowing overnight parking. He also states that speeding and snow removal are concerns.

Prousa states that the Vintage Club does not have sufficient guest parking. He states that the green space owned by the Vintage Club are detention areas. He also states that the maps provided are just representations and that all citywide parking ordinances are still in effect. He also states that staff see's no concerns with the speeds and volumes on Shandrew/Blakely as they are within the range. He also states that Sedgwick does not qualify for overnight parking because it was built after 2015. Prousa goes on to detail the overnight parking plan on Shandrew and Blakely.

Hurley asks if parking on the day is allowed and whether there are more cars on the street in the pilot program locations.

Prousa states parking is allowed on Shandrew and that the pilot program locations are using about 25 percent of the available hangtags.

Howenstine asks if there are any problems with trucks or commercial vehicles parking long term in the pilot program locations.

Prousa states that you are not allowed to park long term and vehicles over 8 tons are not allowed to park overnight.

Seeberg asks if the city favors alternative 2.

Prousa states that the city recommends alternative 2 because of the one switch of no parking to parking. Prousa states that because of Sedgwick wanting parking on their side of the street is why the parking goes back in forth.

Satish Adabala states that there would be a blind spot at Rosedale and Paisley because of the parking on Shandrew.

Prousa states that there is sufficient sight distance because the vehicles will be parking 20 feet from the intersection.

Satish Adabala states that he would want less parking on the west side of the street.

Sriram asks why we are allowing all of this parking when only 3-7 vehicles were observed at any given time.

Prousa states that staff is trying to maximize the amount of parking available while also abiding by the rules of the overnight parking program.

Sriram prefers alternative 2.

Avijit wants to mention that he has a petition about the street parking from the Sedgwick neighborhood. He recapped the concerns of the neighborhood. He clarifies that Sedgwick would not want parking on any part of Shandrew.

Prousa and Sergeant Zook state that there is no concern with increased crime or traffic with overnight parking.

Hurley states that the volume of spaces doesn't seem like an issue. He like alternative 2 because it only switches once and with signage it should be easily translated. He also states that with alternative 2 you have to turn around and that is a real concern. Hurley asks if there is a rule on parking against the direction you are traveling.

Sergeant Zook states that you have to park in the direction of travel.

Hurley states that he prefers alternative 3.

Hart states that she is not a fan of the overnight parking program. She states that she will not be in favor of any overnight parking and she feels that this will be a slippery slope and doesn't know where we draw the line.

Prousa states that after hearing public comment and comments from the board. Prousa would like TAB to approve alternative 3.

Melaniphy asks if we would be able to have the parking only on the east side of the street. The reasoning is that Sedgwick does not want parking on the west side of the street.

Prousa states that moving that would eliminate one spot in total.

Howestine states that she finds that option more attractive.

Seeberg is a supporter of the program but after having two meetings on the Vintage Club he has doubts about the program. He would like the parking between Paisley and Rosedale to be eliminated.

Howestine would like to modify alternative 3 by moving all the parking to the east side of Shandrew.

A motion was made by Howenstine, seconded by Hurley to recommend approval of overnight parking on the east side of Shandrew Drive and the south side of Blakely Lane. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 4 - Howenstine, Hurley, Melaniphy, and Seeberg

Nay: 2 - Brown, and Hart

Absent: 3 - Blubaugh, Orolin, and Webb

4. Approve a Recommendation for Alternative 2 to Establish No Parking on Shandrew Drive and Blakely Lane (Item 2 of 2)

Prousa presents a staff recommendation for no parking on the west side of Shandrew Drive and the north side of Blakely Lane.

Jeff Allen states that if we are banning parking for the reasons listed that Naperville should ban parking on all streets no matter if they are a part of the overnight parking. He states that this would a precedent. He states that the neighborhood would suffer a large loss of parking. He would like TAB to vote no on this ordinance change.

Prousa states that with the overnight parking and conversations with other city operational departments, staff would prefer to not have parking on both sides of the street. There could potentially be an increase in illegal overnight parking, double parking violations, public safety and snow removal would be impacted as well.

Jeff Allen states that it doesn't make any sense that it would only apply to the segments of Shandrew and Blakely but not every other street in Naperville.

Prousa states that in talks with the fire department, they only allow the overnight parking program if one side of the street does not have parking, and that includes all day.

Kimberly Scott asks if the pilot programs have this same rule for their program.

Prousa states that it was a new concern that was brought up and added into the overnight parking program.

Hynes states that it was a lesson learned from the pilot program, it was in the program that was approved by City Council in 2022.

Kimberly Scott states that when residents get the naper notify that Vintage Club residents abide by it just like any other resident in Naperville and they do not have parking limited to one side of the street but Shandrew will.

Jim Schneck believes that the street operates safely now and that we are attempting to solve a problem that most likely will not happen.

Prousa states that staff prioritizes city safety when making changes to parking

on-street.

Hurley asking if this is permanent and if we could make changes if concerns occur.

Prousa states that yes, the program will continually be evaluated.

Seeberg asks if parking is allowed on the other side of the street of the overnight parking.

Prousa states that in the program it states that parking is allowed on the side of the street that does not have fire hydrants. Prousa states that in this case we are going against the program by allowing parking on the side of the street with fire hydrants. The reason for that is because the HOA is located adjacent to Shandrew and not within Shandrew.

Seeberg asks for clarification on the fact that there does not appear to be a problem today and that the whole process has brought questions on the program.

Hurley asks what the width of the road is with cars parked on both sides of the street.

Hynes states that the fire department is concerned about getting their trucks through the street and then also about getting the out riggers through the street as well.

A motion was made by Howenstine, seconded by Hurley, to recommend approval of no parking on Shandrew Drive and Blakely Lane. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Brown, Howenstine, Hart, Hurley, and Melaniphy

Nay: 1 - Seeberg

Absent: 3 - Blubaugh, Orolin, and Webb

E. OLD BUSINESS:

There was no old business discussed.

This was closed.

F. NEW BUSINESS:

There was no new business discussed.

This was closed.

G. ADJOURNMENT:

A motion was made by Howenstine, seconded by Brown, to adjourn the meeting

at 9:00 p.m. The motion carried by unanimous vote.

Aye: 6 - Brown, Howenstine, Hart, Hurley, Melaniphy, and Seeberg

Absent: 3 - Blubaugh, Orolin, and Webb