

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Tim Messer <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, May 31, 2023 4:20 PM
To: Planning
Subject: Franklin Flats PZC 23-1-014

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

Planning staff: Please forward these comments to the Planning and Zoning Commission. Thank you!

===

Commissioners:

First, as I often do, I'll note that these comments are my own and not those of any board or group of which I am a member.

While I'm not entirely thrilled with the proposal for Franklin Flats, I don't think it's the end of the world, either. I appreciate that the developers have put together a design that complies with setback requirements, and are not seeking variances for height or additional stories. I also concur with the need for a diversity of housing types in Naperville and understand what the builder is seeking to do here.

Nevertheless, I take issue with a couple of items in the petitioner's response to the standards for granting a variance. First, there is this statement on page 5: "The neighborhood surrounding the Property is in transition. Many of the older homes have been replaced with large teardowns. While some of the older homes remain, the general character of the area has certainly shifted towards much larger teardowns." This really isn't accurate when looking at the immediate area. Huffman Street is on the eastern edge of the East Central Homeowners Organization territory, which includes the Historic District where teardowns remain rare. Outside of the Historic District boundaries, Julian Street north of Chicago Avenue has seen some redevelopment activity in recent decades, but relatively little when compared to the West Side, or East Highlands to the south. Several homes on Julian, one block west of Huffman, have undergone significant remodels rather than full teardowns. Huffman Street has had very few new large homes constructed, much of this owing to a floodplain designation at the bottom of the hill which was removed in August 2019. While there are duplexes at the top of the hill, what little new construction has occurred more recently on Huffman has generally been single-family detached homes. An attempt at building a duplex at 125 N. Huffman in mid-2019 sought variances for height and number of stories, and ended up failing to obtain City Council approval; a single-family detached home has since been constructed on that lot.

More notably, I feel the standards are not met for a zoning variance. The petitioner first states that the variance meets the intent of the zoning code and master plan by arguing that City code should have a different lot coverage requirement for duplexes than for single-family detached homes. I think there is a reason the requirement is the same: to provide continuity and maintain neighborhood character. There are several duplex units on Huffman that comply with lot coverage requirements while still fitting in with the character of the neighborhood. This proposal doesn't do the former and I'm not certain it really does the latter. I also don't see a hardship here. Again, while I appreciate the developer's intent, having to change the design to remove first-floor bedrooms hardly seems like grounds to seek a hardship-related variance. There isn't anything particularly unique or difficult about developing these two lots that

would justify granting one.

Those of us who live on Huffman Street expect change. The removal of the floodplain designation in 2019 has opened the door to redevelopment on a street near downtown that has largely been otherwise skipped by this trend. Duplexes can be built by right in R2 zoning, and I have no problem with this. Diversity of housing types is a stated goal of the City, and many duplexes exist in this neighborhood. However, it had been my hope that the first new duplex construction on lower Huffman in recent years would not seek variances. While variances are reviewed on their individual merits and are not supposed to set precedent, I fully expect this variance to be sought on other lots in this neighborhood if this petition is approved.

As always, thank you for your time and service to this community.

Sincerely,

Tim Messer

██████████
██████████████████
██████████████
██████████████████

Mattingly, Gabrielle

From: Marilyn L Schweitzer <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, June 6, 2023 12:22 PM
To: Planning
Subject: PZC 6/7/2023 Agenda Item D-2: 818 E. Franklin Avenue and 25 N. Huffman Street (Franklin Flats) - PZC 23-1-014

CAUTION: This e-mail originated outside of the City of Naperville (@naperville.il.us).

DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you confirm the incoming address of the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Naperville Planning and Zoning Commissioners,

I concur with Mr Messer's public comments. Like him, while I too appreciate that these plans comply with setback and height requirements of R2 Zoning, I do not believe that the standards requesting a zoning ordinance have been met. I too take issue with the petitioner's statement that "The neighborhood surrounding the Property is in transition. Many of the older homes have been replaced with large teardowns. While some of the older homes remain, the general character of the area has certainly shifted towards much larger teardowns."

In addition please note that increasing the lot coverage reduces the open space required for R2 and does both from a visual and environment viewpoint alter the character of the neighborhood. While much detail as the the interior design is be presented to the PZC, there are scant details as to exterior elevations and site plans from the neighborhood viewpoint which this variance would affect. What I can tell is:

- The existing parkway trees (city property) will be removed and there is no plan to replace them.
- All trees on the property will be removed and there is no plan to replace any. Granted, these lots are not extensively landscaped, but there does seem to be a relatively large and healthy tree on the property of 818 E Franklin. Thus like many redevelopments that caused the teardown/infill regulations to be created, if this variance is granted, the residents will be relying on neighboring properties for any tree canopy and neighboring properties will not be getting any reciprocal tree canopy. While there is no requirement that private properties provide tree canopy, the benefits of trees is well recognized along with the need to increase rather than decrease our urban tree canopy. Granting this variance limits the ability to sufficiently landscape the lots and should be a consideration. Again, it was a driving force of the need for teardown/infill regulations.
- This variance will cause the impervious area to be 12% more that a development that did not require increase the lot coverage. Yet, there is no discussion of this beyond the engineering notes stating that "The new impervious area is greater that 2,500 s.f., but less that 25,000 s.f. so formalized detention is not required." The amount of impervious area is being almost tripled, which is understandable given the small nature of the existing homes, but an increase of 12% beyond what code would call for warranted discussion rather that assuming it will have no effect on the surrounding area.

Thank you for you consideration,

Marilyn L. Schweitzer
Naperville, Illinois

—
Marilyn L. Schweitzer

