# JANUARY 16, 2024 CITY COUNCIL MEETING POSITION STATEMENTS & WRITTEN COMMENTS

## **PUBLIC FORUM**

#### WRITTEN COMMENT ONLY

- 1. Steve Cahill (Naperville) The traffic light at the intersection of Naper Blvd and Stoney Brook Drive turns red for northbound and southbound Naper Blvd traffic when there is no eastbound or westbound traffic on Stoneybrook. Stoneybrook is a relatively insignificant street and the red light stopping traffic on Naper Blvd occurs early in the morning (I drive on Naper at around 5:00 AM every day) and late at night. In addition, the northbound traffic that is stopped at the red light is unnecessarily stopped longer than the southbound, because the traffic light gives southbound traffic a left turn arrow when there are no cars turning left. Not sure if my comment belongs on this form. Please forward it to the correct department or please do whatever is best to address my issue. Thank you for all you do for Naperville, a city where my family and I have lived for 19 years and a city the we love! Best, Steve Cahill
- 2. Marilyn L Schweitzer (Naperville) I much appreciate the City's proclamations. This proclamation honoring the work Kristy Kennedy and Kelly Dougherty did for our community regarding the 2021 Naperville Tornado Relief particularly pleases me. Thank you for honoring all such people dedicated to the betterment of our community.

## 117 Ordinance amending Section3-3-11:1 Municipal Code to keep the cap on the Class

#### **POSITION STATEMENT**

**SUPPORT** 

1. Marilyn L Schweitzer (Naperville)

### WRITTEN COMMENT ONLY

## 118 Ordinance Establishing modifications to parking restrictions in the Park Addition

### **POSITION STATEMENT**

SUPPORT

1. Marilyn L Schweitzer (Naperville)

## I19 No parking zone 80 feet north and south of centerline of Porter Ave on Loomis St

## **POSITION STATEMENT**

**SUPPORT** 

1. Marilyn L Schweitzer (Naperville)

## 121 Repealing and replacing Title 2 (Boards and Commissions) of the Municipal Code

#### WRITTEN COMMENT ONLY

1. Marilyn L Schweitzer (Naperville) I appreciate staff's recognition in this agenda item's text of my public comment made on 12/19/2023 for the first reading. I agree some of my comments could be considered procedural. But, where and when will the procedures be made public? It is particularly a disservice that the public at times is given less and sometimes no information on a board or commission agenda item than is given to board members and commissioners for discussion before or during a meeting.

# 126 Downtown Wayfinding Master Plan and the associated multi-year implementation

#### WRITTEN COMMENT ONLY

1. Marilyn L Schweitzer (Naperville) Please do not endorse the Downtown Wayfinding Master Plan. Please do not have the city pay 2/3 of the cost as requested. Please take a more balanced approach to planning. 1) Planning and signage should be done for all of Naperville, not just for businesses in the downtown. I strongly disagree with the plans claim that "average citizen or visitor does not make a distinction between City signs and DNA signs". 2) Most people use navigation devices to find their way. Even the plan says there isn't statistical data to know the current signage effectiveness. Wayfinding signage should be limited to no further than 1/2 mile of the downtown. Cluttering our public right of way in favor of one business district over other Naperville business districts in this day and age is unnecessary. 3) Placing Illuminated Panels in planters instead of living plant material not only creates a more lifeless downtown environment, but has nothing to do with wayfinding nor is compatible with the 2018 Downtown Naperville Streetscape plan. These large bulky panels do not belong in the narrow Washington Street pedestrian corridor. 4) The Illuminated Panels amount to 53% of the cost 2024 installation. The \$\$220,000 would be better spent to help business across Naperville improve on public safety. For example, cost sharing with businesses to a traffic signal on Jefferson near the Block 59 Business District. 5) There is no justification as to why the city should pay 2/3 of the cost and the SSA only 1/3. The signage and art is not necessary infrastructure, but rather advertising. This proposal is neither fair to the city taxpayers or business owners outside of the downtown.