



City of Naperville

400 S. Eagle Street
Naperville, IL 60540
<http://www.naperville.il.us/>

Meeting Minutes - Draft

City Council

Tuesday, May 19, 2026

7:00 PM

Council Chambers

TO WATCH OR LISTEN TO THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING LIVE: • Watch on WCNC GOVERNMENT ACCESS TELEVISION (Ch. 6-Astound, Ch. 10 - Comcast, Ch. 99 – AT&T U-verse) • Watch online at <https://naperville.legistar.com> or youtube.com/OfficialNapervilleIL

TO PROVIDE PUBLIC COMMENT:

The public may choose to provide public comment in any of the following ways:

1. Address the City Council live during the City Council meeting in-person in City Council Chambers. Individuals wishing to address the City Council during the meeting must sign up online at www.naperville.il.us/speakersignup by 6:30 p.m. on May 19.
2. Individuals can also have their name added to the speaker list by calling the Community Services Department at (630) 305-5300 by 6:30 p.m. on May 19.
3. Submit a written comment to the City in advance of the City Council meeting by 4 p.m. on May 19. Written comments will be compiled and posted with the electronic meeting agenda on the City's website prior to the start of the meeting. The cumulative number of comments will be announced during the City Council meeting.
4. Submit a one-word statement of "SUPPORT" or "OPPOSITION" regarding a specific agenda item by 4 p.m. on May 19. The names of participants who submitted position statements will be compiled and posted with the electronic meeting agenda on the City's website prior to the start of the meeting. The cumulative number of position statements will be announced during the City Council meeting.

There will be no on-site speaker sign up permitted.

PUBLIC ACCOMMODATION:

Any individual who may require an accommodation to listen to or participate in the meeting should contact the Community Services Department at (630) 305-5300 by 4 p.m. on May 19. Questions regarding online sign-up may be directed to the Community Services Department by calling (630) 305-5300.

PARTICIPATION GUIDELINES: The citizen participation guidelines are outlined in 1-5-6-6: - CITIZEN PARTICIPATION of the Naperville Municipal Code.

ALL VIEWPOINTS AND OPINIONS WELCOME: All viewpoints are welcome, positive comments and constructive criticism are encouraged. Speakers must refrain from harassing or directing threats or personal attacks at Council members, staff, other speakers or members of the public. Comments made to intentionally disrupt the meeting may be managed as necessary to maintain appropriate decorum and allow for city business to be accomplished.

SPEAKER TIME LIMITS: Speakers must limit their remarks to no more than three minutes. Petitioners may speak on an agenda item first and have up to 10 minutes and are also granted a five-minute rebuttal once all other speakers have commented.

IF YOU SIGNED UP TO SPEAK, staff will call your name at the appropriate time during the City Council meeting. Once your name is called you may identify yourself for the public record and then address remarks to the City Council as a whole. Speak clearly and try to limit remarks directly to the matter under discussion. Speakers are called in the order they sign up.

A. CALL TO ORDER:

Mayor Wehrli called the May 19, 2026 City Council meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.

B. ROLL CALL:

Present: 7 - Mayor Scott Wehrli
Councilwoman Mary Gibson
Councilman Ian Holzhauer
Councilman Patrick Kelly
Councilman Josh McBroom
Councilman Ashfaq Syed
Councilman Benjamin White

Absent: 2 - Councilwoman Supna Jain
Councilman Nathan Wilson

C. CLOSED SESSION - 6:30 p.m.

A motion was made by Councilman White, seconded by Councilman Holzhauer to recess to Closed Session to discuss [5 ILCS 120/2(c)(21)] Approval of Minutes and [5 ILCS 120/2(c)(2)] Collective Bargaining. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 7 - Wehrli, Gibson, Holzhauer, Kelly, McBroom, Syed, and White

Absent: 2 - Jain, and Wilson

OPEN SESSION - 7:00 p.m.

D. ROLL CALL:

Present: 8 - Mayor Scott Wehrli
Councilwoman Mary Gibson
Councilman Ian Holzhauer
Councilman Patrick Kelly
Councilman Josh McBroom
Councilman Ashfaq Syed
Councilman Benjamin White
Councilman Nathan Wilson

Absent: 1 - Councilwoman Supna Jain

Also Present

City Manager Doug Krieger; Deputy City Manager Pam Gallahue; City Attorney Mike DiSanto; City Clerk Dawn Portner; Assistant to the City Manager Marcie Schatz; Fire Chief Mark Puknaitis; Deputy Police Chief Jason Zbrozek; Director of Finance Ray Munch; Director of IT Jacqueline Nguyen; Director of TED Jennifer Louden; Deputy Director of TED Allison Laff; Deputy Director of TED, Andy Hynes; Director of Public Works Dan Randolph; Deputy Director of Public Utilities - Electric Olga Geynisman; Director of Public Utilities - Water Darryl Blenniss; Director of Community Services Melanie Marcordes; Director Human Resources Helga Oles; Senior Communications Specialist, Kelley Munch; Special Events Coordinator Jake Fiedler

Daily Herald, Naperville Sun, NCTV-17

Councilwoman Jain entered the meeting at 8:10 p.m.

E. PLEDGE TO THE FLAG:

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

Mayor Wehrli asked the residents of Naperville to keep Electric Utilities Director Brian Groth and his family in their thoughts as he recovers from a serious accident.

F. AWARDS AND RECOGNITIONS:

1. Present the Accessible Community Task Force and Advisory Commission on Disabilities student recognition awards

The awards were presented by Councilman McBroom.

2. Proclaim May 20 - 24, 2026 VFW Buddy Poppy Days in the City of Naperville

The proclamation was presented by Councilman McBroom.

3. Proclaim May 25, 2026 as Memorial Day and National Moment of Remembrance in Naperville

The proclamation was presented by Councilman McBroom.

G. PUBLIC FORUM:

SPEAKERS

SB3336 E-bike status

Derek Adam Hoover (Naperville) provided an update on his proposed amendments to the E-Bike ordinance first discussed at the April 7, 2026 Council meeting and requested assistance in presenting his ideas to State leaders.

Rejection of Springhill Park replacement

Whitney Glowacki (Naperville) discussed her concerns with the Naperville Park District and their proposed renovations at Springhill Park.

Public Comment regarding NPI's Preservation Plan

Bill Simon (Naperville - Naperville Preservation Inc.) discussed May as National Historic Preservation Month and in honor of the month Naperville Preservation Inc. published a document titled "Progress Through Preservation".

City's Sustainability events and NEST meetings.

Ted Bourlard (Naperville - NEST) discussed the recent compost event held on May 9, 2026, and upcoming events including the NEST monthly meeting on June 15, 2026, and a Styrofoam recycling event on July 18, 2026.

Marilyn Schweitzer (Naperville) discussed how recent utility work within private easements are engineered, reviewed, and carried out by the City.

Laura Leon (Naperville) is in support of the proposed due process ordinance.

Council discussed the relationship between the City and the Naperville Park District, erosion control mats, the Build Act, appreciation to Naper Settlement for providing historical data on diverse residents who contributed to the success of the City for possible future street names, the lack of visual connectivity between Naper Settlement and the entrance to the Riverwalk and downtown Naperville, and a possible remote airport check-in pilot program for the I-88 corridor.

WRITTEN COMMENTS ONLY

Steven Cushman (Naperville) I applaud Mayor Wehrli for providing comments that call out the Build Act HB 5626 for being about density, not affordability. I have read the bill, as written, and it will completely change the character of municipalities in Illinois and oppose it. I ask all City council members to oppose this bill as written. I noted almost all sponsors/cosponsors are Chicago Illinois

house members and have completely ignored that Illinois is more than Chicago.

Steven Cushman (Naperville) I just completed the 2026 Naperville Community Survey. A disappointment is that no provision was made for residents to provide comments. Such comments are important in that they help provide a place for survey takers to provide nuance that just answering a predetermined question does not. Seems odd a polling firm would not include something so important. I think polls are excellent for use by government to get feedback but no provision for comments in a major deficiency in my opinion. Thank you.

H. CONSIDERATION OF MOTION TO USE OMNIBUS METHOD FOR THE CONSENT AGENDA:

A motion was made by Councilman White, seconded by Councilman Kelly, to use the Omnibus method to approve the Consent Agenda. The motion carried by a voice vote.

I. CONSENT AGENDA:

A motion was made by Councilman White, seconded by Councilman Kelly to approve the Consent Agenda. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 8 - Wehrli, Gibson, Holzhauer, Kelly, McBroom, Syed, White, and Wilson

Absent: 1 - Jain

1. Approve the Cash Disbursements for the period of April 1, 2026, through April 30, 2026, for a total of \$45,554,928.77

Council approved.

2. Approve the May 5, 2026, regular City Council meeting minutes

Council approved.

3. Approve the City Council meeting schedule for June, July, and August 2026

Council approved.

4. Receive the year-to-date Investment and Cash Balance Report through March 31, 2026

Council received.

5. Receive the year-to-date budget report through April 30, 2026

Council received.

6. Accept the public improvements at Naperville Polo Club Phase 1 and authorize the City Clerk to reduce the corresponding public improvement surety

Council accepted.

7. Approve the award of Cooperative Procurement 26-120, Bucket Truck Replacement (Unit 516), to Altec Industries, Inc. for an amount not to exceed \$226,850

Council approved.
8. Approve the award of Bid 26-067, Pipefitting, Plumbing and Mechanical Services, to Dahme Mechanical Industries, Inc. for an amount not to exceed \$567,980 and for a one-year term

Council approved.
9. Approve the award of Change Order #2 to Contract 24-230, West Waterworks and PAS 15E Improvements, to Dahme Mechanical Industries, Inc. for an additional 91 days

Council approved.
10. Approve the award of Change Order #2 to Contract 23-296, Office Supplies, Operating Supplies and Small Equipment, to Amazon for an amount not to exceed \$200,000 and a total award of \$409,999

Council approved.
11. Approve the award of Change Order #5 to Contract 14-098, Harris Radio System Maintenance Agreement, to L3 Harris Corporation for an amount not to exceed \$53,526 and a total award of \$6,170,077.22, plus any additional as-needed costs defined in sections C and D of the Addendum, and for an additional one-month term

Council approved.
12. Pass the ordinance approving an amendment to the City of Naperville 2025 Annual Budget in the amount of \$3,074,800 (requires six positive votes)

ORD 26-046

Council passed.
13. Pass the ordinance approving variances for a private gym and lacrosse training facility for the property located at 655 N. Washington Street (Jax Lax) - DEV-0170-2025

ORD 26-047

Council passed.
14. Waive the first reading and pass the ordinance amending Section 1-8B-4 of the Naperville Municipal Code regarding ambulance and emergency response services billing

ORD 26-048

Council passed.
15. Waive the first reading and pass the ordinance to establish two-way stop control at the

intersection of Big Foot Ln/Belaire Ct and Zaininger Ave (requires 6 positive votes)

ORD 26-049

Council passed.

J. PUBLIC HEARINGS:

1. Concur with the petitioner, open the public hearing for the Bauer Road Duplexes (DEV-0162-2025), and continue the case to the June 16, 2026 City Council meeting

Mayor Wehrli opened the public hearing at 7:42 p.m. and continued the hearing to the June 16, 2026 Council meeting.

K. OLD BUSINESS:

L. ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS:

M. AWARD OF BIDS AND OTHER ITEMS OF EXPENDITURE:

1. Approve the award of Bid 26-015, North Central College Riverwalk Park - 430 S. Washington St., to Baumgartner Construction, Inc. for an amount not to exceed \$2,049,192.32, plus a 3% contingency

Mayor Wehrli recused himself and left the dais from 7:42 p.m. - 7:46 p.m.

SPEAKER

Marilyn Schweitzer (Naperville) expressed her concern about the financial arrangements between the City and North Central College for this project.

Council discussed ensuring that North Central College would cover the costs of the entrance sign and historical elements, while the City would cover the costs of the park.

Hynes stated that, within the bid for the project, the City will be responsible for installation of the sign foundation and electric service, while the remainder of the costs will be the responsibility of the College.

A motion was made by Councilman White, seconded by Councilman Kelly, to approve the award of Bid 26-015, North Central College Riverwalk Park - 430 S. Washington St., to Baumgartner Construction, Inc. for an amount not to exceed \$2,049,192.32, plus a 3% contingency. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 7 - Gibson, Holzhauer, Kelly, McBroom, Syed, White, and Wilson

Absent: 1 - Jain

Recused: 1 - Wehrli

2. Approve the award of Bid 25-253, 2026 Lead Water Service Replacement Program, to Trine Construction Corporation for an amount not to exceed \$3,393,800 plus a 3% contingency

A motion was made by Councilman White, seconded by Councilman Kelly, to approve the award of Bid 25-253, 2026 Lead Water Service Replacement Program, to Trine Construction Corporation for an amount not to exceed \$3,393,800 plus a 3% contingency. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 8 - Wehrl, Gibson, Holzhauer, Kelly, McBroom, Syed, White, and Wilson

Absent: 1 - Jain

N. PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS:

O. REPORTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. Authorize the City Manager to increase the 2026 personnel headcount and hire two full-time Medical Billing Representatives in the Fire Department

Puknaitis stated that this is a great opportunity for the City to handle its own billing for emergency medical services. This change will allow the Fire Department to eliminate the commission previously paid to a third-party billing service, resulting in an estimated annual savings of approximately \$300,000. It also enables the City to provide improved service to organizations such as Medicare and other insurance companies.

A motion was made by Councilman White, seconded by Councilman Kelly, to authorize the City Manager to increase the 2026 personnel headcount and hire two full-time Medical Billing Representatives. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 8 - Wehrl, Gibson, Holzhauer, Kelly, McBroom, Syed, White, and Wilson

Absent: 1 - Jain

2. Receive the Special Events and Community Arts (SECA) Grant Fund presentation and reach consensus on modifications to the SECA Policy and Procedures Manual

SPEAKERS

Judith Brodhead (Naperville) discussed the issues the Special Events and Community Arts (SECA) Commission addressed in preparation for the presentation, as well as the proposed new SECA mission.

Marilyn Schweitzer (Naperville) discussed the lack of transparency with SECA's City Obligations and is requesting a review of the SECA grant process.

Marcordes and Fiedler provided an overview of the SECA program, including how the program has evolved since its inception in 2004, what the program currently supports, and sought Council consideration and direction regarding the future funding of the Memorial Day Parade, the handling of change-of-scope requests, the development of a formal path to self-sufficiency for SECA applicants, and the standardization of parade operations. The SECA Commission will then update the SECA Policy and Procedures Manual, review the Mission Statement, and return to Council at a later date for formal approval.

Council inquired how the SECA allocation was increased to \$2.5 million for CY26 and whether, with 36% of the Food and Beverage Fund allocated to SECA grants, consideration should be given to establishing a cap if the fund increases or decreases in the future. Council also discussed the history of operational funding versus City services, the proposed non-compliance policy, and the need for greater transparency regarding City obligations in the future.

Munch stated that during the last budget process, the City evaluated several options regarding future funding for NCTV17, including increasing the annual allocation through SECA's City Obligations. As a result, the SECA cap was increased to \$2.5 million to accommodate that request. He noted that the funding level is a policy decision of the Council. The SECA cap also includes an annual increase of either 2% or the Consumer Price Index (CPI), whichever is less. Munch further stated that the balance between SECA funding and non-SECA uses within the Food and Beverage Fund has shifted, with obligations such as Police and Fire pensions, Social Service Grants, and debt service now exceeding the amount allocated to SECA grants. He added that if Food and Beverage tax revenues were to decline, which has not occurred since the COVID-19 pandemic, the City would reevaluate those percentages. However, based on the fund's historical performance, staff does not currently anticipate that occurring.

Decision Matrix and recommendations discussed during the presentation:

#1 - The Memorial Day Parade as a City Obligation

Council discussed adding additional cornerstone events as City Obligations.

Recommendation: Council directed staff to return at a future date with a proposal to formalize an approach that would include the Memorial Day Parade as a City Obligation.

#2 - Change of Scope

Marcordes defined a change of scope as a substantive change to the purpose, nature, or scale of the primary components of a project that was originally approved by the Council.

Council inquired whether there is a formal process for notifying Council when a change of scope is requested, particularly if funding is involved, and whether a proposed change of scope should first be reviewed by the SECA Commission before a recommendation is forwarded to Council. Council also asked how many change-of-scope requests the Commission receives annually and how event organizers formally notify the City of such changes. Additional discussion focused on the SECA Commission's role in defining changes of scope as either major or minor modifications to help establish when additional Council input or approval would be required.

Krieger stated that once a change of scope is forwarded to the Council, there

would be a review period. If any member of Council takes exception to the Commission's intended action, the City Manager would be notified, and the item could be placed on the next Council agenda for further discussion and a formal vote.

Marcordes stated there are approximately three to four change of scope requests per year. These requests are submitted directly to the Special Events Coordinator and then forwarded to the SECA Commission for review and recommendations.

Recommendation Council concurred with the current process for handling change of scope requests and requested that the SECA Commission further define what constitutes a major change versus a minor change of request, and to outline how the process would be implemented going forward.

#3 - Formalizing a path to self sufficiency

Marcordes requested clarification on the extent to which SECA-funded initiatives should be expected to demonstrate progress toward long-term financial sustainability, and how that expectation should influence funding decisions over time.

Council discussed that SECA was originally intended to provide seed funding to help launch events and projects, and considered whether operational support should gradually decrease over time as events become more established and self-sustaining. Council noted that when SECA was first established, events such as Ribfest and Last Fling generated profits that were reinvested into the community through nonprofit organizations, and inquired whether additional consideration should be given to events that similarly return proceeds to the community when evaluating SECA funding requests.

Council also discussed that SECA-funded events and projects may not be suited to a one-size-fits-all approach, as some organizers may have limited ability to secure additional funding sources. Questions were raised about whether requiring increased self-sufficiency over time could result in the loss of certain events if organizers are unable to meet that expectation. Additional discussion focused on the need for greater clarity in defining long-term sustainability and whether more questions should be added to the grant application to better evaluate those considerations. Council further inquired how many event organizers pursue additional revenue sources, such as sponsorships or ticket sales, rather than hosting fully free events.

Recommendation: Council requested that the SECA Commission consider adding a question to the grant application requiring applicants to describe their efforts to secure private donations and sponsorships to supplement SECA funding.

Recommendation: Council suggested that events which include a charitable reinvestment component should be identified and potentially given additional weight or consideration in the evaluation process, as compared to events that do not reinvest their profits back into the community through charitable

donations.

#4 - Options for standardized parades

This question has a direct impact on public safety, City services costs and how SECA funds are administered.

Council inquired whether any input had been received from current parade organizers regarding proposed standardized parade routes, if the Police Department has any concerns with the City's current approach to event safety and the standardization of parade routes, and discussed that if a standardized route is recommended, it should be shorter rather than longer.

Marcordes stated that the Special Events Team has not yet engaged current parade organizers regarding a standardized route; however, their input will be sought based on Council direction. Marcordes further noted that the next step in the process for the anti-vehicle barrier system would be to coordinate with the City's Finance Department on issuing a Request for Proposals (RFP) for inclusion in the CY28 budget process.

Zbrozek stated that a vehicle attack is one of the City's primary safety concerns for events, and noted that the proposed anti-vehicle barrier system would serve as a strong additional layer of protection to enhance overall event safety and establishing a standardized parade route would benefit the City and also help standardize associated costs, particularly those related to event safety planning and implementation.

Recommendation: Council directed staff to engage in discussions with parade organizers regarding the establishment of a standardized parade route, determine the costs associated with the purchase of anti-vehicle barriers, and report back to Council at a future meeting.

WRITTEN COMMENT ONLY

Richard Gannon (Naperville) Background: I've been a member of Naperville's SECA Commission since May 2022. The following comment represents my personal view and not the view of the SECA Commission as a whole.

Comment: I've reviewed the policy recommendations regarding SECA that have been submitted to City Council for your consideration and possible action. My concern focuses on the recommendation dealing with SECA's Change of Scope Request Process. I should note that I have expressed this concern during SECA Commission meetings. Presently, applicants are allowed to approach the Commission and submit changes to the scope of their events/projects for its approval. I understand that at times applicants need to do so for good reason. If done prior to the SECA Commission submitting a unified funding recommendation to the City Council for approval, there is no problem. The applicant would be required to submit a revised application/proposal. However, the SECA Commission does occasionally

receive Change of Scope Requests later in time, even following City Council's approval of the applicant's award. It is important to remember that the award amount is based upon the SECA Commission's unified recommendation which, in turn, is based upon the written proposal submitted originally by the applicant. First, there appears to be no language in the SECA Grant Fund Program Award Agreement that addresses Change of Scope. To summarize, Article 1, A. Scope/Compensation of the Award Agreement states that the City will reimburse the applicant for the project "described in Grantee's approved SECA Grant Fund Program application..." One could argue that the SECA Commission currently doesn't have the authority to consider an applicant's Change of Scope Request. I believe it would be beneficial to add language addressing Change of Scope, including the procedure to be followed. SECA's Policy and Procedures Manual would then be revised to align with that language in the Agreement. Second, I have a concern about what constitutes Change of Scope and how the SECA Commission determines whether or not such changes are acceptable once funding has been approved. I recognize that some degree of subjectivity enters into this discussion and that each member of the SECA Commission decides for himself/herself what is acceptable and what is not. The document submitted to you tonight notes that Change of Scope, in part, includes:

"Changes that affect the primary audience, format, or core deliverables of the funded project..."

Later, the document states that certain changes are not considered Change of Scope:

- Change of venue within Naperville;
- Adjustment of event date or time;
- Minor adjustments to programming or event components;
- Vendor substitutions or operational adjustments; or
- Cost reductions or reallocations made to align with the awarded funding amount.

Regarding change of venue or adjustment of event date or time, I recognize that these changes may not negatively affect the benefit the event/project brings to Naperville but they need to be reviewed and approved. Consider how such changes might affect those events that require city services and the estimate that the city services team provides. Consider how such changes might have a negative impact upon another applicant's event/project if we create a conflict by allowing the change to happen. Minor adjustments to programming or event components. What defines whether the adjustment is minor? What adjustments do or do not compromise the "primary audience, format, or core deliverables" of the project? And, finally, cost reductions or reallocations made to align with the awarded funding amount. I have serious reservations about accepting such changes. As a Commission member, I base my personal funding recommendation upon what the applicant proposes to do in its application. It constitutes the value proposition. Allowing the applicant to change its event/project in order to sync with the amount of the grant is a disruption of the value proposition. I'm certain that with some Change of Scope Requests I would not have and have not valued the revised event/project to the

same degree as I did the originally proposed. If the changes are significant, it follows that the amount awarded should also be reconsidered and the Award Agreement amended, if needed. Allowing such Change of Scope requests also ends up being an enabling action. No applicant should come before the SECA Commission and expect full funding. The applicant should recognize that given what is known about the total dollar amount of funding requests and the dollar amount made available to the SECA Commission for funding recommendations each funding cycle. Allowing an applicant to deliver less than what it proposed and still be able to claim reimbursement up to the original award amount conceivably gives that applicant an opportunity to approach full funding. Furthermore, I believe the City Council wants the SECA Commission to stress to our applicants the need to find additional sources of funding beyond SECA. The burden is on the applicant to deliver what it promises to deliver, and the fact that it has not received full funding for its event/project from the City should not be acceptable as a reason to make changes. Thank you for your consideration.

The meeting recessed from 9:19 p.m. to 9:25 p.m.

3. Receive the report and provide direction as to the special study to be initiated by the Planning Team in 2026

SPEAKER

Marilyn Schweitzer (Naperville) discussed concerns regarding the City selecting only one special planning project per year and expressed support for the 5th Avenue study project.

Council discussed the need for affordable housing within both the 5th Avenue and I-88 Corridor projects, establishing zoning and land-use plans for the I-88 Corridor so the Naperville Development Partnership's (NDP) work aligns with Council and community priorities, and ensuring the 5th Avenue project remains a future priority. Council also discussed the appropriate use of tax dollars for both projects, the People Over Parking Act, new businesses and future housing developments already located or committed to the I-88 Corridor, and inquired about the economic impact and return on investment for both projects.

Krieger stated that there are many factors to consider when evaluating a project's economic impact, with property taxes being only one component. Other considerations include sales tax revenue, job creation, and impacts on local school districts. He noted that the most recent study found the zoning within the area to be outdated, which staff has also observed through recent development proposals. In general, staff is recommending that the City focus on the I-88 Corridor in 2026. While the benefits may not be immediate, staff believes that dedicating time and funding to the I-88 Corridor project will provide the greatest long-term investment for the City.

WRITTEN COMMENT ONLY

John Doyle (Naperville) I'm sure this is no surprise, but I would really like to

see these funds go to affordability studies. Sorry I can't attend this week in person, I'll see you all in June with part 3 of my Affordable Electricity series.

A motion was made by Councilman White and seconded by Councilman Kelly to receive the report and direct staff to focus their efforts for the remainder of 2026 on the I-88 Corridor project. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 8 - Wehrli, Gibson, Holzhauer, Jain, Kelly, McBroom, White, and Wilson

Nay: 1 - Syed

4. Receive the staff report regarding the proposed "Naperville Due Process and Municipal Property Ordinance," consider the options set forth regarding local action on federal immigration enforcement activities, and provide direction to staff

SPEAKERS

Lili Burciaga (Naperville) is in support of the proposed due process ordinance.
Doreen Schweitzer (Naperville) is in support of the proposed due process ordinance.

Qasim Rashid (Naperville) is in support of the proposed due process ordinance.

Signe Gleeson (Naperville) is in support of the proposed due process ordinance.

Giselle Rodriguez (Bolingbrook) is in support of the proposed due process ordinance.

Kari Nicewander (Batavia - First Congregational Church of Naperville) is in support of the proposed due process ordinance.

Jane Brueggemann (Naperville) is in support of the proposed due process ordinance.

Yulisa Sanchez-Peña (Naperville) is in support of the proposed due process ordinance.

Marilyn L Schweitzer (Naperville) is in support of the proposed due process ordinance.

Elizabeth Schlueter is in support of the proposed due process ordinance.

Tim Kane (Wheaton) is in support of the proposed due process ordinance.

Eliabeth Navarro (Naperville) is in support of the proposed due process ordinance.

Christianne Lewis (Bartlett) is in support of the proposed due process ordinance.

Council thanked the speakers and organizers who requested the ordinance and discussed the proposed options in detail, along with the Due Process and Municipal Property Ordinance and the City's existing actions and policies related to the issue. Council also suggested that the City continue training staff, educating the public, and conducting community outreach on the matter.

POSITION STATEMENTS

SUPPORT

Diana Torres Hawken (Naperville)

Sandra Martinich (Naperville)
Rebecca Klein-Collins (Naperville)
Katie Mineck (Naperville)
Elizabeth Thompson (Naperville)
Gerry Roethel (Naperville)
Amanda McMillen (Naperville)

WRITTEN COMMENTS ONLY

Signe C Gleeson (Naperville) Thank you to Mr. DiSanto and city staff for their comprehensive report. I am gratified that staff determined that the Ordinance is not in violation of a federal law and is in fact supported by the 10 Amendment of the US Constitution. I want to address 2 of the issues raised in the report - 1) The ordinance is symbolic in nature. I do not argue with that contention. I would argue that symbols are powerful ways to create unity within a county and community - the flag, the cross, "moments of silence" are all meaningful and honorable symbols. Likewise, a "symbolic" ordinance speaks to the power, effectiveness and values of our community in the face of assaults on the dignity and sense of security and safety of Naperville residents, especially the most vulnerable. Because the authority of the city is limited does not absolve our city leaders from taking meaningful action that demonstrates support of immigrant neighbors. - 2) Documentation of violations of the ordinance is in keeping with the IL Trust Act which requires an annual report of contact with federal immigration officers to the IL attorney general. I urge the council to direct the staff to prepare for the first reading of the ordinance and for adoption of the ordinance.

Payton Reicherts (Naperville) As a member of this community, I believe this ordinance reflects our city's core values of People, Trust, Respect, and Pride - and I ask for your support. Even as a white person, I have seen how the community in Naperville has been greatly affected by the presence of Immigration Enforcement Agents. Being out in public means that fear is always present. I have seen ICE agents in the community and have had to worry about something happening even if it didn't happen to me. My business has seen less people when ICE is more active. While it does not fix everything, if the Naperville Due Process and Municipal Property Ordinance can make things even a little bit safer, our community will prosper.

Lisa Polsby (Naperville) This ordinance will support the Constitution of the United States, help our citizens especially those whose skin tones are black and brown and will help our community support the Rule of Law. It will promote accountability for ICE agents who break the law and prevent them from having extra assistance in harming our neighbors and disrupting our community.

Anne Swanson (Naperville) Dear Mayor Wehrli and Members of the Naperville City Council: I am writing to urge the Naperville City Council to adopt the Naperville Due Process and Municipal Property Ordinance. As a member of this community, I believe this ordinance reflects the city's core values of People, Trust, Respect and Pride. I both work with and have several friends who feel threatened with the current Federal ICE activity. Though that activity

has lessened since last fall, it is still happening. These are good people who work hard and benefit the Naperville community. They should not feel threatened when they are in public places, especially on municipal property. The proposed Due Process and Municipal Property Ordinance would affirm Naperville's commitment to constitutional rights, including due process protections under the 1st, 4th and 14th Amendments. City staff has reviewed the proposed ordinance and found that it does not conflict with the Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution. It would let those who live in Naperville feel more at ease and protected against unreasonable searches. Please support the Naperville Due Process and Municipal Property Ordinance. Thank you for your service and for taking these comments into consideration when voting.

and Municipal Property Ordinance would affirm Naperville's commitment to constitutional rights, including due process protections under the 1st, 4th and 14th Amendments. City staff has reviewed the proposed ordinance and found that it does not conflict with the Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution. It would let those who live in Naperville feel more at ease and protected against unreasonable searches. Please support the Naperville Due Process and Municipal Property Ordinance. Thank you for your service and for taking these comments into consideration when voting.

Julie Niles (Naperville) Dear Mayor Werhli and City Council - Please consider options 1, 2, or 3 described in the Staff Report File #26-0614, that will be included in the 5/19/26 City Council agenda. Report title: Receive staff report regarding the proposed "Naperville Due Process and Municipal Property Ordinance, consider the options set forth regarding local action on federal immigration enforcement activities, and provide direction to staff." Federal agents have proven through their actions that they will disregard constitutional rights, disrespect property, and apprehend people who are actively complying with legal requirements (e.g., carrying a passport or proper papers, showing up for court dates to keep their status current). Agents' likely increase in activities with the warmer weather warrants Naperville making it publicly and officially clear that it stands for constitutional rights, due process, and protection of its municipal property.

Linda M Rodriguez (Naperville) Dear Mayor Wehrli and Council Members, I am writing to urge the Naperville City Council to adopt the Naperville Due Process and Municipal Property Ordinance. As a member of this community for more than twenty years, I believe this ordinance reflects our city's core values of People, Trust, Respect, and Pride, and I ask for your support. Why This Matters to Me Personally: For more than twenty years, Naperville has been my home, the place where I've raised my family, built relationships, and contributed to the community. In recent years, I've seen how uncertainty around immigration enforcement creates fear and confusion, not only for immigrants but also for neighbors, coworkers, and families who simply want to feel safe in their daily lives. When people do not know what to expect, trust erodes. This ordinance helps restore that trust by ensuring our city operates with clarity, fairness, and respect for everyone who lives and works here. What I am asking the Council to support: The proposed ordinance would:

- Affirm Naperville's commitment to constitutional rights, including due process protections under the First, Fourth, and Fourteenth Amendments.
- Clarify how City-owned property and resources, including buildings, parking lots, parks, and facilities, may be used in the context of civil immigration enforcement activities.
- Help ensure City personnel and resources remain focused on local responsibilities, consistent with the Illinois TRUST Act and Illinois Way Forward Act.
- Provide guidance to City departments regarding documentation and reporting procedures involving City property.
- Maintain compliance with federal and state law, including allowing access when a valid judicial warrant or court order is presented.
- Operate within the City's authority over municipal property and resources without interfering with federal immigration enforcement. This ordinance is about clarity, consistency, transparency, and ensuring that all Naperville residents can trust that their city government is operating with clear and accountable policy. I respectfully urge you to move this ordinance forward. Thank you for your service to our community and for considering my comments.

Laura (Naperville) I am writing to urge the Naperville City Council to adopt the Naperville Due Process and Municipal Property Ordinance. As a 13-year Naperville resident, a mother, a volunteer with district's dual language program, and a co-founder of ALMAS, the Alliance of Latinos Motivating Action in the Suburbs, I have spent years building the kind of community this city aspires to be. This ordinance reflects that work, and I am here to ask this Council to match it. I chose Naperville because of its commitment to inclusion and the belief that every person who lives, works, or learns here belongs. A truly global city earns that title by how it treats its most vulnerable and this ordinance is how we do that. Naperville has always been a city that leads with heart. Mayor Emeritus George Pradel, our beloved Officer Friendly, built his entire legacy on the belief that every person in this community deserves to feel safe and welcomed. This ordinance honors that same spirit. Right now, families and employees in our community are living with real uncertainty - uncertain whether they can trust public spaces, attend school events, or simply go about their lives without fear. That uncertainty has a cost. It weakens the fabric of a city that so many people have worked hard to strengthen. This ordinance is a clear, lawful, and measured step. It does not interfere with federal law. It affirms constitutional protections that apply to everyone. It clarifies how our city's own property and resources may be used, something municipalities have every right to define. It is the kind of policy that says: we see you, you are part of us, and this city will act accordingly. I urge the Council to adopt the Naperville Due Process and Municipal Property Ordinance and to demonstrate, once again, that Naperville is a city where all who live and work here can do so with dignity. Thank you for your service and for considering my comments.

Rebecca Klein-Collins (Naperville) I have lived in Naperville since 2003. I'm writing today to urge the City Council to adopt the Naperville Due Process and Municipal Property Ordinance. Naperville prides itself on being a welcoming community. To live up to that value, we must ensure that all residents and

visitors receive due process consistent with the U.S. Constitution. In the last year or so, there have been troubling reports (and video evidence) of federal enforcement actions by ICE and CBP that raise civil rights concerns-particularly when actions appear to proceed without judicial warrants or probable cause. Adopting this ordinance would send a clear message to our immigrant neighbors that we value their contributions and that the City will not facilitate enforcement actions against law abiding individuals on municipal property without appropriate legal process. I appreciate the staff report indicating that the ordinance does not conflict with federal law and is aligned with the Illinois TRUST Act. Please schedule a formal hearing on the Naperville Due Process and Municipal Property Ordinance and vote to approve it at an upcoming meeting. Thank you for your service and for considering my comments.

Theresa Hus (Naperville) I'm writing in support of the proposed Naperville Due Process and Municipal Property Ordinance. Like many families in Naperville, my husband's and my ancestors arrived in this country as immigrants, some with legal status and others in more uncertain circumstances. Three of our four sets of grandparents spoke different languages. They came for different reasons, but each hoped to build a better life. They found communities that treated them with kindness and dignity, and although they had little formal education and spoke broken English, they worked hard, raised families, and contributed to this country. That history is part of why I care so deeply about ensuring that everyone in our community, regardless of immigration status, is treated with the same respect that helped our families thrive. I've also worked with refugee families and tutored immigrants in ESL. I've seen how much people want to contribute, how hard they work, and how fear or uncertainty can keep them from reporting crimes, seeking help, or fully participating in the community. Policies that reinforce trust and clarity truly make a difference. This ordinance is a reasonable and lawful step. It affirms constitutional rights, clarifies the use of City property, and aligns with the Illinois TRUST Act and Way Forward Act, which Naperville already follows. It does not interfere with federal immigration enforcement; it just ensures our municipal resources are used consistently with state law and with the values we say we uphold. For me, this is about who we are as a city. Naperville prides itself on being welcoming and safe. This ordinance reflects those values and provides clarity for staff and reassurance for residents. I respectfully ask you to adopt the Naperville Due Process and Municipal Property Ordinance.

Rebecca Hinrichs Glimco (Naperville) I am writing to urge the Naperville City Council to adopt the Naperville Due Process and Municipal Property Ordinance. As a member of this community, I believe this ordinance reflects our city's core values of People, Trust, Respect, and Pride - and I ask for your support. Mayor Wehrli and City Council members, I respect your commitment to keep our city safe and to follow the rule of law. As someone with family members in Minnesota who saw the devastation of their communities by ICE and Customs and Border Enforcement overstepping the rights of the people. I trust you will protect our community by adopting this Ordinance. We are a community that cares for all and I hope you continue to show that by your support of this ordinance. What I am asking the Council to support: The proposed ordinance would:

- * Affirm Naperville's commitment to constitutional rights, including due process protections under the 1st, 4th, and 14th Amendments.
- * Clarify how City-owned property and resources, including buildings, parking lots, parks, and facilities, may be used in the context of civil immigration enforcement activities.
- * Help ensure City personnel and resources remain focused on local responsibilities, consistent with the Illinois TRUST Act and Illinois Way Forward Act.
- * Provide guidance to City departments regarding documentation and reporting procedures involving City property.
- * Maintain compliance with federal and state law, including allowing access when a valid judicial warrant or court order is presented.
- * Operate within the City's authority over municipal property and resources without interfering with federal immigration enforcement. This ordinance is about clarity, consistency, transparency, and ensuring that all Naperville residents can trust that their city government is operating with clear and accountable policy. I respectfully urge you to move this ordinance forward. Thank you for your service to our community and for considering my comments.

Elisabeth Pynn Himmelman (Naperville) I am writing to urge the Naperville City Council to adopt the Naperville Due Process and Municipal Property Ordinance. As a member of this community, I believe this ordinance reflects our city's core values of People, Trust, Respect, and Pride - and I ask for your support. Why This Matters to Me Personally: As a resident of Naperville, I have always been proud to call this city home because of the values we claim to uphold: People, Trust, Respect, and Pride. Those values are not just words on a website or ideas we mention at public events - they shape how neighbors care for one another and how we choose to build community together. I am writing to urge the Naperville City Council to adopt the Naperville Due Process and Municipal Property Ordinance because I believe it reflects those values in meaningful and practical ways. Like many people in our community, I want to live in a city where every person is treated with dignity, where families can feel safe participating in daily life, and where trust between residents and local government is strengthened rather than weakened. Naperville has long been a place where people from different backgrounds come together to build lives, raise families, contribute to schools, churches, businesses, and neighborhoods, and care for one another. Policies grounded in due process and fairness help ensure that all members of our community are treated with respect and that municipal resources remain focused on serving the public good. Supporting this ordinance is one way we can demonstrate that Naperville's values are more than aspirational - they are commitments we are willing to live out. I respectfully ask you to vote in support of the Naperville Due Process and Municipal Property Ordinance. What I am asking the Council to support: The proposed ordinance would: Affirm Naperville's commitment to constitutional rights, including due process protections under the 1st, 4th, and 14th Amendments. Clarify how City-owned property and resources, including buildings, parking lots, parks, and facilities, may be used in the context of civil immigration enforcement activities. Help ensure City personnel and resources remain focused on local responsibilities, consistent with the Illinois TRUST Act and Illinois Way Forward Act. Provide guidance to City departments regarding

documentation and reporting procedures involving City property. Maintain compliance with federal and state law, including allowing access when a valid judicial warrant or court order is presented. Operate within the City's authority over municipal property and resources without interfering with federal immigration enforcement. This ordinance is about clarity, consistency, transparency, and ensuring that all Naperville residents can trust that their city government is operating with clear and accountable policy. I respectfully urge you to move this ordinance forward. Thank you for your service to our community and for considering my comments.

Jessica Doucette (Naperville) I am writing to urge the Naperville City Council to adopt the Naperville Due Process and Municipal Property Ordinance. As a member of this community, I believe this ordinance reflects our city's core values of People, Trust, Respect, and Pride - and I ask for your support. Why This Matters to Me: I am a 4th generation Naperville resident raising a 5th generation in Naperville. This city has benefited from the labor of immigrants since Joe Naper stopped by the DuPage River. In that time, the process to become a citizen has become arduous, expensive, and time consuming. And frankly, racist with quotas. My neighbors from other countries contribute to our success as a community and consistently uphold the values we claim we hold dear. We should be honoring that by doing everything in our power as a community to preserve their rights and refuse to cooperate with federal entities that are operating beyond the scope the law allows. Due process is for everyone. What I am asking the Council to support: The proposed ordinance would:

- Affirm Naperville's commitment to constitutional rights, including due process protections under the 1st, 4th, and 14th Amendments.
- Clarify how City-owned property and resources, including buildings, parking lots, parks, and facilities, may be used in the context of civil immigration enforcement activities.
- Help ensure City personnel and resources remain focused on local responsibilities, consistent with the Illinois TRUST Act and Illinois Way Forward Act.
- Provide guidance to City departments regarding documentation and reporting procedures involving City property.
- Maintain compliance with federal and state law, including allowing access when a valid judicial warrant or court order is presented.
- Operate within the City's authority over municipal property and resources without interfering with federal immigration enforcement.

This ordinance is about clarity, consistency, transparency, and ensuring that all Naperville residents can trust that their city government is operating with clear and accountable policy. I respectfully urge you to move this ordinance forward. Thank you for your service to our community and for considering my comments.

Christina Harding (Naperville) I am writing to urge the Naperville City Council to adopt the Naperville Due Process and Municipal Property Ordinance. As a member of this community, I believe this ordinance reflects our city's core values of People, Trust, Respect, and Pride - and I ask for your support. Growing up in District 203, I saw, through the lens of a child, different racial

groups experience social friction due to world events. And while there was a sense of relief and resolution when the focus was targeted elsewhere, that mindset was inaccurate. Because, as you see the world through the lens of an adult, you realize hate is a mindset that can shift at any time to anyone. When there is ambiguity about procedures, there will be fear about how far someone's hate can reach. The proposed ordinance would:

- Affirm Naperville's commitment to constitutional rights, including due process protections under the 1st, 4th, and 14th Amendments.- Clarify how City-owned property and resources, including buildings, parking lots, parks, and facilities, may be used in the context of civil immigration enforcement activities.
- Help ensure City personnel and resources remain focused on local responsibilities, consistent with the Illinois TRUST Act and Illinois Way Forward Act.
- Provide guidance to City departments regarding documentation and reporting procedures involving City property.
- Maintain compliance with federal and state law, including allowing access when a valid judicial warrant or court order is presented.
- Operate within the City's authority over municipal property and resources without interfering with federal immigration enforcement.

This ordinance is about clarity, consistency, transparency, and ensuring that all Naperville residents can trust and feel safe that their city government is operating with clear and accountable policy. I respectfully urge you to move this ordinance forward. Thank you for your service to our community and for considering my comments.

A motion was made by Councilman Kelly, seconded by Councilman White, to receive the staff report concerning the proposed "Naperville Due Process and Municipal Property Ordinance" and direct staff to prepare an ordinance for a first reading at the June 2, 2026 Council meeting. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 6 - Gibson, Holzhauer, Jain, Kelly, Syed, and White

Nay: 3 - Wehrli, McBroom, and Wilson

P. NEW BUSINESS:

No New Business was discussed.

Q. ADJOURNMENT:

A motion was made by Councilman White, seconded by Councilman Kelly, to adjourn the Regular City Council Meeting of May 19, 2026, at 10:30 p.m. The motion carried by a voice vote.

/s/ Dawn C. Portner
Dawn C. Portner

City Clerk